

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 1 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
1	RFP Cover, 4, 7	4	What time of day is the proposal submission deadline? The cover page and p. 4 indicate "proposals due at 11:00 A.M.PDT", whereas page 7 indicates "Proposals must be received NO LATER THAN 11:00 P.M. PDT, on August 18, 2017"	Proposals must be received NO LATER THAN 11:00 A.M. PDT, on August 18, 2017.
2	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, Technical Proposal Volume II, Item 5.i.i, page 12	4	Item 5.i.i indicates that required tower structure submittals be specified in the Appendices. Attachment 1-Scope of Services does not define what is required for the tower structure submittals. Please clarify what is required for the tower structure submittals.	The tower structure submittal must contain documentation to demonstrate that the requirements in Section 4.5 are satisfied.
3	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, Table IV, page 4	4	Table IV conveys the timeline and sequence of events up to the due date of the RFP response deadline (August 18, 2017). In addition, would you please provide the timeline and sequence of events for the following events: Proposal Evaluation Complete; Oral Presentation/System Demonstration; Vendor Selection; Best and Final Offer; Contract Award.	The timeline after the RFP response date will not be shared at this time. The Department will adequately communicate timeframes and deadlines.
4	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, RFP Evaluation Criteria, and Section IX - Selection Process, pages 5, 16	3	Section IV provides the RFP Evaluation Criteria but does not include the percentage weighting each criterion will receive in the final RFP scoring. Please provide the percentage weight each of the listed criteria will have in the final RFP scoring and any sub-percentages within the identified criteria.	The percentage weight of each Evaluation Criteria cannot be shared per NRS 333.335(4), "...weight of each factor must not be disclosed..."

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 2 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
5	RFP Section IV- Instructions to Proposers, RFP Evaluation Criteria, and Section IX - Selection Process, pages 5, 16	3	Please describe the method that will be used to rank and score the RFP responses and select a Proposer? Please elaborate upon how the individual members will perform the scoring (e.g. as a team or as individuals) and/or the voting process leading to the selection of a Proposer. Will the votes of each member of the evaluation team have the same weight?	The evaluation committee members will individually review and score each factor of each proposal by reference to the requirements of the RFP noting any strengths and weaknesses. The committee will identify all of those strengths and weaknesses discovered by the committee members and arrive at a consensus as to an appropriate score based on those identified strengths and weaknesses. As presented and agreed to by the Transportation Board, each Member carries the same weight.
6	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, Item 4, page 7	2	Item 4 indicates the Department may reject a proposal containing conditions and assumptions. Our understanding of the approach to this project is that many of the repeater sites will be unseen until after contract award. Therefore, each proposer will be required to make assumptions concerning such repeater sites to develop the scope, cost and schedule of the overall project. This is an example of assumptions that will need to be made in order to develop the RFP response. Will such assumptions be allowed?	The RFP provided Attachment 9 which is a report on 21 existing LMR sites. Proposers shall provide a detailed pricing proposal for each of these 21 LMR sites. Proposers shall use the Site Survey Datasheet for Schader to develop the pricing proposal for the balance of the sites.
7	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, RFP Evaluation Criteria, Item 5 and Technical Proposal Volume II, Item 5, pages 6, 10, 11	4	These two sections may be contradictory. On page 6, the Respondent Qualification and Experience requirements indicate "a minimum of one to a maximum of five references." On page 10-11, the Qualification and Experience section requires a minimum of three (3) projects of similar size and complexity. Please clarify the required number of references?	Clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 3 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
8	Attachment 1, Section 1.1, Item F, page 7	3	Please provide the site names and locations of the 17 extender RF sites. Please describe the type of antenna support structure (e.g. tower or other structure) at each site.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alder Hill - Self Supporting angle leg tower; 39°-21'-08.7", 120°-11'-43.7" 2. Amargosa Valley - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 36°-32'-26.8", 116°-26'-13.2" 3. Buster - 40 foot monopole tower; 39°-21'-27", 115°-28'-16" 4. Caliente - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 37°-36'-03", 114°-30'-35" 5. Emigrant - 40 foot monopole tower; 40°-39'-18", 116°-16'-28" 6. Flatcreek - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 41°-43'-43.8", 117°-43'-08.52" 7. HD Summit - 60 foot monopole tower; 41°-20'-53", 114°-48'-17" 8. High Camp - Angle leg chair lift tower; 39°-11'-35.7", 120°-15'-33.7" 9. Irish - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 37°-36'-39", 115°-24'-06" 10. Kinkaid - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 38°-30'-33.7", 118°-26'-09.5" 11. Likely - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 41°-09'-18.6", 120°-33'-49.8" 12. Loray - 60 foot monopole tower; 41°-08'-43.0", 114°-17'-13.4" 13. New Pass - Self Supporting pipe leg tower; 39°-33'-51.7", 117°-28'-54.3" 14. Ragged Ridge – Unknown tower type; 37°-42'-44.9", 116°-05'-34.2" 15. Secret Pass - 60 foot monopole tower; 40°-49'-56.7", 115°-08'-49.1" 16. Timber - 40 foot monopole tower; 38°-22'-18.8", 115°-29'-41" 17. Wildoat - 80 foot monopole tower (leased); 38°-42'-36", 119°-32'-32"

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 4 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
9	Attachment 1, Section 1.2, Item D, page 8	3	Section 1.2 Item D notes the design considerations should be based on an "excess of 15,000 users" and Section 1.4.2 Item A notes the NSRS "supports over 16,000" users. How many users is the system expected to support at the completion of system cutover?	System shall support the quantity of radios shown in Attachment 1, Section 10, Table 8, Page 105 - 106. There are currently 15,000 users on the system. However, the reference to "supports over 16,000... users" refers to the physical limitations of the existing EDACS radio system.
10	Attachment 1, Section 1.2.2, Item J, page 12	4	We assume this item is provided as informational only since the Members are providing the new backhaul links. Is this correct, or do you require the proposers to provide requisite encryption capabilities in the backhaul termination equipment (e.g. routers) provided as part of the radio network?	Informational item only.
11	Attachment 1, Section 1.4.1, Item A.1, page 15	4	Please provide shape files for all Nevada state-maintained highways.	See new Attachment 10 to the RFP, included herein, and to be incorporated in a forthcoming Addendum.
12	Attachment 1, Section 1.4.1, Item A.2, page 15	3	In addition to the information provided in Attachment 2, please provide tower elevation drawings depicting the space available for the installation of new antennas. This will dictate the parameters used to develop coverage prediction maps. As an alternative, please provide the height of each tower and confirm that the initial design can be based on an assumption that antennas will be placed at the highest points on each tower.	Tower elevation drawings are not available. Proposers shall base their proposals on receive antenna centerline at 100 ft AGL, transmit antenna centerline at 80 ft AGL, and tower space being available at all sites.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 5 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
13	Attachment 1, Section 1.4.1, Item A.9, page 17	3	For the purpose of establishing consistency among Proposers in the initial design, do you prefer the Proposer includes TTAs for all sites and then finalize the design through site visits, evaluating the noise floor, etc., or do you prefer Proposers exclude all TTAs in the initial design. It is understood the reality of site conditions will dictate the final design; however, this question is posed as a means of establishing an "apples to apples" comparison of scope and price among the multiple RFP responses.	Proposer shall include TTAs for all sites, and the Successful Proposer will then finalize the design through site visits.
14	Attachment 1, Section 1.4.2, Item D, page 18	4	The traffic loading data of Attachment 5 is from 2015. Should Proposers add 2% growth in 2016 and 2017 as well such that the resulting traffic benchmark is used to determine the capacity and GOS of the new system? Are there any other factors you would like to add to the 2015 data, or should the 2015 data be considered representative of current loading conditions?	2015 data is representative of current loading conditions. However, the new system shall accommodate loading growth of 2% per year over 10 years as stated in Section 1.2.B.8.
15	Attachment 1, Section 1.7.4, Item C, page 22	3	Do the numbers specified in Section 1.7.4 Items C.1-C.3 indicate the number of transportable TRFs each member currently owns (NDOT-2, NV Energy-2, Washoe County-1) that are to be equipped with the specified 700 MHz trunked repeater site equipment?	Correct. The numbers specified in Section 1.7.4.C indicate the number of TRFs that each Member currently owns. The Successful Proposer shall provide, install, and configure 700 MHz trunked repeater site equipment for those TRFs.
16	Attachment 1, Section 2.2, Item D, page 28	3	Please provide the locations where Proposers are required to install the Network Management Terminals for each of the Members. Also, will a UPS be required to backup the terminals at these locations?	State of Nevada, NDOT – Las Vegas, two (2) terminals at NDOT Yard; Reno, two (2) terminals at NDOT yard; Elko, two (2) terminals at NDOT yard; Carson City, four (4) terminals at NDOT HQ. NV Energy – Las Vegas, three (3) terminals; Reno, three (3) terminals. Washoe County – ten (10) locations to be determined in the Reno and Sparks area. All locations shall be equipped with UPS. Clarification will be provided in a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 6 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
17	Attachment 1, Section 2.3, Item A, page 28	3	The RFP generally indicates the Members will provide all manner of backhaul connectivity through the ongoing, separate microwave system procurement effort. As such, the Proposer is expected to provide only the RF sub-system and can assume the Members will complete the build-out of microwave sites as necessary to connect the new RF repeater sites to the network. Do you require the Proposer to provide RTU equipment at your existing/future microwave-only sites? If yes, please provide the quantity of microwave-only sites.	RTU equipment shall be provided only for new microwave-only site(s) that the Proposer is including in their new system design.
18	Attachment 1, Section 2.3, Item B.2, page 28	3	At sites containing a combination of Proposer-provided RF equipment and Member-provided microwave equipment, do you require the RTU equipment to monitor both the RF and microwave equipment?	New RTU to monitor RF equipment only.
19	Attachment 1, Section 3.4, Item A, page 33	3	Please update the listing of existing and candidate sites to include an indication of sites containing the existing 700/800MHz base stations supporting mutual aid and interoperability channels. Please also indicate the quantity, exact frequencies, and number and method of frequencies supported by each repeater (e.g. T2/R2) of the mutual aid channels at each site.	See new Attachment 11 and new Attachment 14 to the RFP , included herein, and to be incorporated in a forthcoming Addendum.
20	Attachment 1, Section 3.5, Item A, page 33	3	Three of the 38 NCRN repeater sites don't appear to be listed in Attachment 2. They are: Diamond Peak, Montgomery, and Pahrnagat. Please clarify the locations of these sites and indicate if the Proposer is required to include new antenna systems and implementation services for the new NCRN VHF and 800MHz repeaters. If the new NCRN repeaters require new antenna systems, are the new 800MHz antenna systems to be integrated with the antenna systems of the 700/800MHz mutual aid and P25 trunked repeater antenna systems referenced in Attachment 1, Section 3.4, Item A? In addition, please provide the cross-band repeater frequencies.	These three (3) sites, Diamond Peak, Montgomery Pass, and Pahrnagat are identified but not installed so no information for these locations is available. These sites will be deleted from Section 3.5, Item A, and the NCRN number will be reduced from 38 to 35 in an upcoming Addendum to the RFP.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 7 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
21	Attachment 1, Section 3.4, item B and Section 3.6, page 33, 34	3	Please provide a network topology diagram depicting the repeater site and NDIP node locations, and the backhaul network connectivity method for the NCORE, NDIP and NRCN/Cross-band repeater networks. The topology information is needed to accurately account for the network design and scope of implementation services associated with enabling these resources to be accessible by each communications center.	See map new Attachment 12 to the RFP, included herein, and to be incorporated in a forthcoming Addendum.
22	Attachment 1, Section 3.6, page 34	4	Please provide a description of the equipment and functionality of the NDIP system.	Proposers equipment must be capable of providing 4-wire input/output audio. The purpose of the NDIP system is to connect various PSAPs and dispatch centers around the State with a direct means of communication. A dispatcher initiates a call; the call goes into a gateway board as 4-wire audio; the call comes out of the destination gateway as 4-wire audio and gets imported into the receiver's console by whatever method their radio system uses. The backhaul method varies depending on the dispatch center; primarily microwave or leased telco circuits. NDIP is a collection of gateway boards all over the State, connected with IP routers.
23	Attachment 1, Section 4.3.1, page 38	4	Will the Site Acquisition Project Manager have the authority to sign contractual change orders during the course of monitoring, reviewing, and overseeing the Proposer's work related to all site acquisitions?	Yes. This will require that the PM obtain the approval from his/her respective Member's authority prior to signing the change order.
24	Attachment 1, Section 4.3.1B, page 38	3	Please confirm that the assistance described in 4.3.1 (B) is limited to the services described in Sections 4.3.1 (C-L). If a new site is proposed during the site acquisition process, will the Proposer be entitled to a change order to add services for the newly proposed site?	Correct. The approved change order process by each respective Member will be followed.
25	Attachment 1, Section 4.3.1I, page 39	4	Please describe the process by which the Members will "review and approve" work product or deliverables provided by the contractor as it relates to this requirement.	A typical review and approve process is where deliverables are checked for content, completeness, and accuracy. Marked up deliverables are provided back to the vendor for updating.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 8 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
26	Attachment 1, Section 4.3.1.C, page 39	4	Please confirm that the "due diligence" described in this section is an exhaustive list of the requirements of the Due Diligence Report.	Yes, this is the minimum requirements that the report should contain.
27	Attachment 1, Section 4.3.2 C, page 39	4	Please refer us to a specific Best Management Practices (BMPs).	A specific BMP is not referenced. Section 4.3.2.C identifies some practices which could be considered a best practice, however local regulations concerning BMP's must be followed.
28	Attachment 1, Section 4.4, Item B.1, page 44	3	This requirement seems to imply that some of the existing shelters may need to be replaced because they are not large enough to accommodate legacy and new equipment, nor accommodate the required 40% growth factor. This also seems to imply that legacy equipment would be removed from an existing shelter and placed in the new shelter. Is this the correct interpretation? If yes, please provide as-built floor plans of the existing shelters so that the Proposer can determine the extent of such replacements and identify the legacy equipment that is intended to be moved to the new shelter. If this information is not available, please offer a list of assumptions supporting consistency among Proposers in the evaluation of the scope and cost of the RFP responses.	Objective is not to replace existing shelters. Entire Section 4 is for new site development only.
29	Attachment 1, Section 4.4, Item H, page 47	3	This section defines the DC power system requirements for new shelters. However, we are in need of clarification regards to existing shelters. Please provide the DC power system requirements for existing shelters that will be reused in the new system. Will the Department provide/supply DC power for the new equipment that is proposed to be housed in existing shelters?	The NSRS Members will be upgrading the DC Power plants at our existing sites prior to the installation of the replacement system.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 9 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
30	Attachment 1, Section 4.5, Item B, page 52	3	This section seems to imply the need to replace existing towers due to excessive loading conditions. For the sake of consistency among RFP responses, do you prefer the Proposers include tower mapping and structural analyses for every tower in the RFP response, such that the identification of towers in need of replacement (and pricing thereof) will be determined after contract award, or do you prefer the price and scope of tower replacements is included in the RFP response? If you prefer the price/scope of tower replacements be included in the RFP response, please provide structural analyses for each tower reflecting current loading conditions.	Proposers shall include tower mapping and structural analyses for every tower in the proposed design. Pricing must not be included with the technical proposal.
31	Attachment 1, Section 5.1, page 60	3	It is understood that each Member will establish its own contract with the selected Proposer. Is it permissible that the NSRS Replacement project is effectively treated as a single project when developing staffing, project schedule, migration planning, cutover, acceptance testing, etc., or will each Member require independent project management plans and dedicated staffing resources, addressing only the needs/scope of the individual Member's project? Section 5.1 generally describes the approach to project management and staffing requirements. The intent of this question is to determine if the staffing requirements should be interpreted as "3x" (one for each Member, three independent projects) or if the NSRS Replacement will be treated as a singular project.	Please refer to Form B - NSRS RFP Pricing Forms 20170323, Table B.4 - NDOT Services, Table B.7 - NVE Services, and Table B.10 - WC Services, which calls for separate Services costs for each Member.
32	Attachment 1, Section 5.1.1, Item D.3, page 61	4	There appears to be a typo referencing Paragraph 8.1.5.B. There is no such section, please provide corrected section reference.	Correct section reference is Paragraph 5.1.1.B.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 10 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
33a	Attachment 1, Section 6.3.A and 6.3.I, page 70; Attachment 9	3	<p>This question is posed regards to the use of site survey information contained in Attachment 9; the pre-proposal site visits, which occurred the week of May 25th, 2017; and the use of the term "site surveys" in Sections 6.3.A and 6.3.I of Attachment 1.</p> <p>Are the NDOT Site Survey Checklists (i.e. Attachment 9) intended to provide a sampling of the conditions at typical sites in order for the Proposer to combine with the results of pre-proposal site visits and extrapolate/assume such conditions across the 100+ sites of the new system; thereby using such assumptions to develop the scope and price of the new system?</p> <p>This question is intended to develop an understanding of how the Members intend to account for constraints that will dictate the final scope and price of the new system given the fact that not all of the existing repeater sites, nor proposed new sites, will be visited during the RFP response time-frame.</p>	The RFP provided Attachment 9 which is a report on 21 existing LMR sites. Proposers shall provide a detailed pricing proposal for each of these 21 LMR sites. Proposers shall use the Site Survey Datasheet for Schader to develop the pricing proposal for the balance of the sites.
33b	Attachment 2	3	If the Members expect the constraints of each existing site to be factored into the design, scope and price submitted with the RFP response, please augment Attachment 2 by providing more detailed site information for existing sites such as tower heights, tower elevation drawings, equipment room floor layouts, tower photos, equipment room photos, etc.	The RFP provided Attachment 9 which is a report on 21 existing LMR sites. Proposers shall provide a detailed pricing proposal for each of these 21 LMR sites. Proposers shall use the Site Survey Datasheet for Schader to develop the pricing proposal for the balance of the sites.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 11 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
33c	Attachment 9	3	<p>In lieu of the request of No. 33b, RFP responses could be based on assumptions extrapolated across all sites based on the NDOT Site Survey Checklists contained in Attachment 9, combined with the information gathered during pre-proposal site visits the week of May 25th, 2017.</p> <p>If you prefer the design, scope and price contained in the RFP response be based on extrapolated assumptions, please provide guidance such that responses from multiple Proposers can be compared in an "apples to apples" fashion.</p>	<p>The RFP provided Attachment 9 which is a report on 21 existing LMR sites. Proposers shall provide a detailed pricing proposal for each of these 21 LMR sites. Proposers shall use the Site Survey Datasheet for Schader to develop the pricing proposal for the balance of the sites.</p>
34	Attachment 1, Section 6.6, Item D.1, page 77, 78	3	<p>The need for P25 Phase 1 and Phase 2 operation is understood. However, please clarify whether Phase 1 and Phase 2 operation must be supported at all sites, or if the Proposer should recommend the sites supporting Phase 1 and Phase 2 capability based on capacity analyses and geographic distribution of users throughout urban and rural areas.</p>	<p>Phase 1 and Phase 2 operation must be supported at all sites.</p>
35	Attachment 1, Section 6.6, Item C.6, page 77	3	<p>This item states that each system user is responsible for updating their radio equipment to operate on the network before, during, and after system migration. Does this imply the Proposer is not responsible for providing, installing, performing the initial programming, performing the updated programming, etc. of new/existing radios throughout the transition?</p>	<p>Proposer is responsible for providing, installing, and performing the initial programming for any radios delivered as part of this project.</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 12 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
36	Attachment 1, Section 6.6, Item D.1 and Section 10 "tier" table, page 77, 78, 111	3	Please provide an inventory, per member, per tier described in the table of Section 10, of radios that need to be replaced. Also, please provide an inventory, per member, per tier described in the table of Section 10, of P25 radios that are equipped with Phase 1 and/or Phase 2 operation. Please also provide an inventory of radios, per member, per tier described in the table of Section 10, that are P25 capable yet require an upgrade in order to operate on a P25 system. Lastly, for those radios that are capable of P25, yet require an upgrade, please specify who is responsible for acquiring and implementing the upgrade (i.e. the Proposer or the Member)?	Any details pertaining to the upgrading of current capable radios and the tier level for new radios to be used by each Member will be determined once a Proposer is selected. For purposes of this bid process, please provide best pricing for each tier of mobile and portable radio offered for the proposed system.
37	Attachment 1, Section 6.10, Item A & Section 9.8, Item A, page 82, 103	4	What is the final proof of performance testing for components? Proof of performance testing for components is not mentioned anywhere else in the RFP or attachments.	Final proof of performance testing refers to Final Acceptance Testing.
38	Attachment 1, Section 7.3, Item C Table 6, page 86	4	Table 6 identifies the technical staff requiring training in the specified subsystems. Please confirm the reference to "microwave" under Radio Infrastructure should be removed as microwave is to be provided by each Member not by the Proposer.	Microwave should be removed.
39	Attachment 1, Section 8.1, Item D, page 88	3	The preceding paragraph (8.1.C) describes the need for 7 years of post warranty support. Is paragraph 8.1.D establishing a similar requirement for Technical Support, for 7 years post warranty, or is 8.1.D stating a requirement for telephone/technical support during just the warranty period?	Members require telephone/technical support during just the warranty period and seven (7) years post warranty.
40	Attachment 1, Section 8.4, Item D, page 93	3	This implies the desire for post warranty Technical Support services for a period that will differ from the requested 7 years of post warranty support for onsite response services. Please clarify the duration of post warranty Technical Support services.	Duration of post warranty Technical Support services is seven (7) years post warranty.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 13 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
41	Attachment 1, Section 9, page 94	2	<p>Will there be a separate bid process for consoles, or are consoles to be included in the scope of the NSRS Replacement contract? The wording of the first paragraph of Section 9 implies that consoles may not be held within the scope of the NSRS replacement contract. In addition, Assembly Bill 508, introduced May 5th, 2017, seeks to establish funding for Nevada Department of Public Safety to replace dispatch center consoles and portable hand-held radios in an exact amount of \$1,329,123.00. This implies there is already an effort underway to replace said equipment outside of the contract resulting from the NSRS Replacement RFP. Please clarify the intent of the RFP and the intent of Assembly Bill 508 regards to the procurement mechanism for dispatch consoles. Keeping in mind that only Harris Symphony consoles could be utilized on the current Harris EDACS Nevada Shared Radio System, and Harris Symphony consoles can only be used on a Harris P25 system in the future.</p>	<p>Consoles (“Dispatch Console Systems” or “Dispatch Equipment”) is being requested as part of this RFP, with the understanding that each participating Member individually has final authority to choose whether or not to incorporate Dispatch Equipment into their contract with the successful Proposer. If, in each participating Member’s sole discretion, Dispatch Equipment is not incorporated into the final negotiated contract, each participating Member may follow their individual procurement processes to purchase said equipment from a different vendor.</p> <p>Regarding AB508: The Department of Public Safety requested highway funds under its budget. It appears these funds were approved.</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 14 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
42	Attachment 1, Section 9, Table 7, page 94	4	Please provide a listing of dispatch centers, locations of each center and the quantity of new consoles required at each center.	<p>State of Nevada, DOT: Three (3) Dispatch Centers, one (1) in Las Vegas with three (3) dispatch consoles, one (1) in Elko with three (3) dispatch consoles, and one (1) in Reno with three (3) dispatch consoles.</p> <p>State of Nevada, DPS: Five (5) dispatch consoles in Carson City, and ten (10) dispatch consoles in Las Vegas.</p> <p>NV Energy South Beltway and Ryan Service Center - ten (10) dispatch consoles; North GOB - nine (9) dispatch consoles.</p> <p>Washoe County – Six (6) Locations: Reno ECOM nine (9) dispatch consoles, Washoe County seven (7) dispatch consoles, Sparks six (6) dispatch consoles, WCSD three (3) dispatch consoles, various standalone locations five (5) dispatch consoles off of the locations referenced above.</p>
43	Attachment 1, Section 9.2, Item L, page 97	3	How many VPN consoles does each Member require? Also, will the Members provide the personal computer hardware, or should they be included in the proposal?	Proposer shall include the following quantify of VPN consoles per Member TRF (NDOT-2, NV Energy-2, Washoe County-1). Proposer shall include the personal computer hardware.
44	Attachment 1, Section 9.3, page 98	3	How many logging recorders should be included in the design; one for each dispatch center contained in the answer to question #24, or a single, centralized logging recorder adequately sized to support all dispatch centers and all combined trunked and conventional resources?	Proposer shall include a single, centralized (and redundant) logging recorder adequately sized to support all dispatch centers and all combined trunked and conventional resources.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 15 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
45	Attachment 1, Section 9.3, Item A.2, page 98	3	How many conventional resources are terminated at each dispatch center? This goes to the effort of adequately sizing the individual and/or centralized logging recorders per the total quantities of conventional and trunked resources to be recorded. This answer will be combined with the answers to questions #11 and #12 to develop a complete understanding of the architecture of existing conventional resources.	Analog conventional audio from forty-eight (48) sources.
46	Attachment 1, Section 9.3, Item A.4 and Item B, page 98	3	How many 911 trunk lines, analog or IP telephone lines and telephone extensions are housed at each dispatch center? This question is based on the assumption that you wish to record all 911 and administrative telephony conversations in addition to the conventional and trunked radio sources.	The logging recorder shall record voice telephone lines, with a quantity of forty (40) lines per Member.
47	Attachment 1, Section 9.4.2, page 100	3	Is the Proposer to provide backup power (UPS) for the dispatch centers to support the proposed dispatch equipment? If so, please provide the run time requirements.	No. Members will provide backup power (UPS) at their dispatch centers.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 16 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
48	Attachment 1, Section 7.2.B.3, Table 6 in Section 7.3, and 9.5.3, 85, 86, 101	3	<p>Console Administrator Training is referenced in 7.2.B.3. Table 6 in section 7.3 identifies 12 technical staff per Member attending Console Administrator training. Is this the correct number of students for Console Administrator training, or are the 12 technical staff intended to take the requested Console Maintenance training cited in Section 9.5.3? There is a difference between Console Administrator training and Maintenance training. Administrator training could be attended by a mix of technicians and administrators; whereas maintenance training implies technicians only.</p> <p>Further, please specify the location(s) at which the training sessions listed in Table 6 are to occur?</p>	<p>For the purposes of comparative response to this item, the following is to be true for each section:</p> <p>7.2.B.3 refers to the administrator performing system management tasks with the following requirements: NSRS NDOT – Las Vegas two (2) administrators, Reno three (3) administrators, Elko two (2) administrators. NSRS DPS – Las Vegas four (4) administrators, Reno four (4) administrators. NV Energy – Las Vegas two (2), Reno two (2). Washoe County – six (6) locations, fifteen (15) individuals between the six (6) locations</p> <p>Table 6 Section 7.3 refers to twelve (12) technicians trained on the maintenance training per member. These twelve (12) technicians will be in Las Vegas, Reno, and Elko.</p>
49	Attachment 1, Section 9.5.4 Item C, page 102	3	<p>Are you asking for a train-the-trainer approach? If not, please clarify. If yes, how many trainers will attend the operator training? Do you prefer to break the train-the-trainer course into multiple sessions to accommodate specific shifts or work-hours? Please also specify the locations where console administrator, operator and maintenance training will occur?</p>	<p>This section requests train-the-trainer training.</p> <p>State of Nevada DOT: Three Dispatch Centers, one (1) in Las Vegas, one (1) in Elko and one (1) in Reno. Each location will require training for one dispatch supervisor and one maintenance technician.</p> <p>State of Nevada DPS– three (3) in Carson City and four (4) in Las Vegas</p> <p>NV Energy Las Vegas – three (3) to be trained, Reno three (3) to be trained.</p> <p>Washoe County Reno Sparks area, six (6) locations and three (3) at each location in the Reno/Sparks area.</p>
50	Attachment 1, Section 9.7, page 103	3	<p>Will 5 as-built documentation copies be required per Member?</p>	<p>Five (5) bound hard copies must be provided for each Member, for a total of fifteen (15) bound hard copies, as well as one (1) electronic file for each Member.</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 17 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
51	Attachment 1, Section 9.8, page 103	3	Is this in addition to the 30-day burn-in described in Section 6.10, or, given that the test described in Section 6.10 will occur per phase of individually cut-over Member-agencies, is it implied that such cutovers will include the dispatch console facilities associated with those individual phases; therefore, the consoles would be part of the same 30-day burn-in described in Section 6.10?	New consoles purchased by the Members and installed by the successful Proposer can be part of the same thirty- (30-) day burn-in described in Section 6.10. If Members purchase consoles at a later date, a separate thirty- (30-) day burn-in period will apply to those consoles.
52	Attachment 1, Section 9.9.4, Item A, and B, page 108	3	For how many years would you like post warranty maintenance and system support services?	Paragraph 9.9.4.D states "Extended Warranty: The Contractor shall provide an extended warranty for an additional 7 years, showing annual costs over the 7 years."
53	Attachment 1, Section 10, page 109	2	Will there be a separate bid process for radios, or are radios to be included in the scope of the NSRS Replacement contract? The wording of the first paragraph of Section 10 implies that radios may not be held within the scope of the NSRS replacement contract. In addition, Assembly Bill 508, introduced May 5th, 2017, seeks to establish funding for Nevada Department of Public Safety to replace dispatch center consoles and portable hand-held radios in an exact amount of \$1,329,123.00. This implies there is already an effort underway to replace said equipment outside of the contract resulting from the NSRS Replacement RFP. Please clarify the intent of the RFP and the intent of Assembly Bill 508 regards to the procurement mechanism for subscriber radios?	Radios ("Radio Equipment") are being requested as part of this RFP, with the understanding that each participating Member individually has final authority to choose whether or not to incorporate Radio Equipment into their contract with the awarded proposer. If, in each participating Member's sole discretion, Radio Equipment is not incorporated into the final negotiated contract, each participating Member may follow their individual procurement processes to purchase said equipment from a different vendor. Regarding AB508: The Department of Public Safety requested highway funds under its budget. It appears these funds were approved.
54	Attachment 1, Section 10.1 - 10.1.2, page 112, 113	3	The descriptions of Low, Mid and High Tier radios include a reference to multi-band. It appears the specifications in sections 10.1 through 10.1.2 require single band (7/800MHz). Do you require multiband functionality in the quantities listed in Table 8?	Multiband functionality is required for all desktops and all high-tier mobiles and portables listed in Table 8.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 18 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
55	Attachment 1, Section 10.1 - 10.1.2, page 112, 113	3	AES encryption seems to be omitted from the specs in these sections of the RFP. Do you want AES in all radios or a subset of radios? Please clarify by indicating which tier should include AES. In addition, the requirements for OTAR, OTAP, P25 Phase 1, and P25 Phase 2 are listed as features the radios shall "support." Please clarify whether or not these features are required in the design, price and configuration of the radios included in the RFP response. Further, please align such requirements with the quantities of radios per Member, per individual agency so that we know exactly how many radios require such features and to which Member they are associated.	<p>AES is not required in all radios at this stage. Please provide pricing as an option.</p> <p>OTAR, OTAP, P25 Phase 1, and P25 Phase 2 are required in the design, price, and configuration of all radios.</p> <p>The details of this request will be provided during final negotiation with the successful Proposer.</p>
56	Attachment 1, Section 10.2.2, Item C, page 114	3	Please provide a breakdown of the types of vehicles in which mobile radios will be installed, indicating the quantity and type per agency listed in table 8 of section 10.	<p>The details of this request will be provided during final negotiation with the successful Proposer.</p> <p>Mobile installations should be considered for three (3) types of installation: remote mount, front mount and motorcycle mount. Provide typical installation arrangements for each application when providing cost estimates.</p>
57	Attachment 1, Section 10.3, page 114, 115	3	Do you require train-the-trainer or training for every radio user? If train-the-trainer, how many trainers will attend the training? Do you require multiple sessions in order to accommodate specific shifts or work-hours? How many technicians will attend the radio maintenance training?	<p>Quote the requirements as follows:</p> <p>Train the trainer – base on twenty (20) trainees per region, Elko, Reno, Carson City, and Las Vegas. Consider the need for two (2) sessions in each location to accommodate shifts and travel times. Maintenance training will be fifteen (15) technicians in each region, Las Vegas, Reno, and Elko.</p>
58	Attachment 1, Section 10.3.3, page 115	3	Please confirm that the total number of students for radio maintenance training is 6. Please provide the locations at which radio maintenance training will occur?	<p>For the purposes of responding to this item, radio maintenance training will be for ten (10) students in each geographic region: Las Vegas, Reno, and Elko.</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 19 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
59	Attachment 1, Section 10.4.3, Item A and B, page 117	3	How many years of support do you require after the expiration of the standard 1-year warranty?	Paragraph 10.4.3.D states "Extended Warranty: The Contractor shall provide an extended warranty for an additional 7 years."
60	RFP Section IV, Instructions to Bidders, page 5	4	Item 1.a.viii lists "Smartphone Interface features, functions, capabilities." However, there doesn't appear to be any requirements pertinent to Smartphone interfaces in Attachment 1 - Scope of Services. Please clarify the intent and provide the scope of services related to Smartphone interfaces if such functionality is required in the NSRS Replacement project.	Correct. There are no requirements for Smartphone interfaces in the Scope of Services. Disregard the reference to "Smartphone Interface features, functions, capabilities" listed in the RFP Evaluation Criteria. This correction will be made in an upcoming Addendum to the RFP.
61	Attachment 1, Section 6.5, Item D.5.g and Section 1.4.1, Item C page 17, 75	3	Section 1.4.1 Item C states that "...coverage guarantees shall include both Phase 1 and Phase 2 modes;" however, Item D.5.g under Section 6.5 Coverage Testing, makes reference to equipment being certified to operate on a Phase 2 system. Please clarify if the coverage acceptance test is to be performed for either Phase 1 and Phase 2 modes or only the Phase 2 mode.	Coverage acceptance test is to be performed for P25 Phase 2 mode only.
62	Attachment 1, Section 1.4.1, Item A.1 and Section 6.5, Item D.5, pages 15, 75	3	Section 6.5, Item D.5 states that both portable and mobile equipment will be used as part of the test configuration. Section 1.4.1, Item A.1 states the coverage design requirement is based on a portable worn on the hip. Please confirm that the pass/fail criteria for coverage acceptance testing should be based on the use of a portable with antenna located at the hip.	Pass/fail criteria for coverage acceptance testing shall be based on the use of a portable with antenna located at the hip.
63	Site Visits	3	Is the Pinegrove steel shelter currently backed up by a generator? If so, please specify the size and age of the generator.	The Pinegrove site is backed up by a 30kW generator. Age is unknown and generator is owned and maintained by another Nevada State agency.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 20 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
64	Form E Compliance Matrix, Section 2.4	4	There appears to be a discrepancy between the language/requirements found under Section 2.4 of the Form E Compliance Matrix and the language found under the same sections of Attachment 1, Scope of Services. Please confirm Proposer is to use the language/requirements found under the sections of Attachment 1, Scope of Services.	Scope of Services supersedes Compliance Matrix. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
65	Form E Compliance Matrix, Section 8.5, page 51, Section 9.9.4, page 60, Section 10.4.3, page 64	4	There appears to be a discrepancy between the language/requirements found under Sections 8.5, 9.9.4, and 10.4.3 of the Form E Compliance Matrix and the language found under the same sections of Attachment 1 Scope of Services. Please confirm Proposer is to use the language/requirements found under the sections of Attachment 1 Scope of Services.	Scope of Services supersedes Compliance Matrix. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
66	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.2, Item 11 and Pricing Worksheets Tables B.1, B.2, B.5, B.8, and B.11	4	RFP Pricing Instructions Table B.2 , Item 11 states "Proposer shall include pricing for Microwave Equipment, Software, and Licensing needed for Greenfield sites." However, throughout Attachment 1 Scope of Services, it's stated that each Member is to provide the necessary microwave. In addition, several Pricing Worksheets also have entry fields for microwave equipment and services. Please confirm these statements/items are to be omitted as microwave is to be provided by each Member not by the Proposer.	Disregard microwave equipment and services in Pricing Worksheets and Instructions. Microwave is to be provided by each Member. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
67	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.5, Item 2, page 6	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Rows 31-37. Please confirm the correct row numbers are 40-46.	Correct row numbers are 40-46.
68	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.6, Item 2, page 6	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Row 38. Please confirm the correct row number is 47.	Correct row number is 47.
69	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.7, Item 2, page 6	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Rows 41-48. Please confirm the correct row numbers are 50-57.	Correct row numbers are 50-57.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 21 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
70	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.8, Item 2, page 7	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Rows 56-62. Please confirm the correct row numbers are 74-80.	Correct row number are 74-80.
71	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.9, Item 2, page 7	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Row 63. Please confirm the correct row number is 81.	Correct number is 81.
72	RFP Pricing Instructions, Table B.10, Item 2, page 7	4	There appears to be a typo in referencing Rows 66-73. Please confirm the correct row numbers are 84-91.	Correct row number are 84-91. An updated pricing proposal will be provided in a forthcoming Addendum.
73	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, Evaluation Criteria and Proposal Format, pages 4-12	4	There are slight discrepancies in the outlines of the Evaluation Criteria and Volumes I and II of the Technical Proposal regarding Qualifications and Experience. Please confirm the outline contained in Proposal Format supersedes the outline in the Evaluation Criteria.	Clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum.
74	RFP Section IV - Instructions to Proposers, Proposal Format, pages 8 and 12	4	The outline for Technical Proposal Volume I includes Form A (Qualifications Statement) and Form D (Key Personnel). These forms are also referenced in the outline of Technical Proposal Volume II with instructions to include Forms A and D in the Appendices of Technical Volume II, Part 5, Qualifications and Experience. Please clarify which Technical Proposal Volume should contain Forms A and D.	Forms A and D should be submitted in Technical Proposal Volume I.
75	RFP Section XII – Terms, Conditions and Exceptions, page 18	4	Are the Members exempt from Federal, State, or Local excise tax? If not, can vendors include such taxes as separate line items in the Price Proposal?	Regardless of each member’s tax status, adding separate line items in the Price Proposal to identify such taxes is not acceptable. Taxes should not be included with the pricing proposal.
76	Attachment 1, Section 4	4	Please confirm the requirements stated in Section 4 (and all sub-sections) pertain strictly to the development of new sites (i.e. sites not listed as “existing” or “under construction” in attachment 2).	Correct. Requirements in Section 4 pertain to development of new sites only.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 22 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
77	Attachment 1, Section 4.4.G.3, page 47	3	Can the Proposer quote 150 pounds-per-square-foot (PSF) roof weight capacity rating for locations in which such capacity will suffice; and provide optional pricing for 200 PSF for locations requiring the more stringent weight capacity value?	Yes.
78	Attachment 1, Section 6.2, Item C.4, page 70	3	For each of the Members, please provide the number of representatives that will travel to the staging location.	For the purposes of this RFP, two (2) Members from each of the parties will travel to the staging location.
79	Attachment 1, Section 6.5, Item D.5.h, page 71	3	Please clarify if each of the Members will be responsible for providing vehicles and drivers to perform the mobile drive testing or if the Contractor is to provide them.	The Proposer will be responsible to provide vehicles and drivers for each Member.
80	Attachment 1, Section 1.6, pages 19-20	4	Please confirm the spectrum and licensing requirements specified in Section 1.6 only apply to the trunked 700/800 MHz frequencies associated with the P25 700/800 MH Trunked Radio Infrastructure.	Yes.
81	General	4	Does the Department require prevailing wages?	<p>Yes. Per NRS 338, prevailing wages will be required for any public works tasks associated with this project.</p> <p>Materials purchased under NRS 333 do not require prevailing wages. During negotiations, each Member will negotiate this requirement.</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 23 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
82	General	2	As stated at the April and May Transportation Board meetings, Motorola Solutions is concerned that Washoe County will have a difficult time being neutral in their evaluation of the RFP responses due to the significant investment they have made in their incumbent vendor prior to the release of the RFP. Now that the RFP has been released, we are concerned about the confidentiality of pricing information in a potential Best and Final Offer process. To alleviate any concerns we would like to suggest that you instruct any vendor bidding on RFP 697-16-016 to submit their Best and Final price on August 18th. Directing vendors to submit their best price with the original RFP response, and forgoing a Best and Final Offer process, will ensure a neutral Price Proposal evaluation. Will you require any respondent to RFP 697-16-016 to include their Best and Final price in the August 18th submission of the Price Proposal?	The Department will not require a Best and Final Offer be submitted on August 18, 2017. The Department reserves the right to use a Best and Final Offer process if it is deemed to be in the best interest of the State to do so.
83	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 9.5.2 (Operator Training), Page 97	3	How many dispatch personnel require console operational training at each of the five (5) Communication Centers?	Dispatch personnel training requirements: State of Nevada, NHP – Carson City 20 Dispatchers, Las Vegas 36 Dispatchers. State of Nevada, NDOT – Las Vegas, Reno and Elko: 35 persons. NV Energy – Las Vegas 27 Dispatchers, Reno 25 Dispatchers. Washoe County – 150 Dispatchers and Supervisors, Reno, Sparks, and Incline regions.
84	NSRS RFP 697-16-016, RFP Evaluation Criteria, Section 4, Pages 5-6	4	Under Warranty, Support and Maintenance Plan it asks vendors to include a proposed fee schedule. Please clarify that this should only be in the pricing volume as the RFP asks for pricing to be in a separate sealed volume.	Correct. Proposed fee schedule should be provided in the pricing proposal.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 24 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
85	NSRS RFP 697-16-016, RFP Evaluation Criteria, Page 7, Number 4 and Price Proposal, Section 4. Table of Contents/ Section 1 Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.4.2.E, Page 18	4	This paragraph states “Each proposal component shall be clearly titled and shall be submitted without reservations, qualifications, conditions or assumptions set forth therein. Any failure to provide all the information and all completed forms in the format specified, or submittal of a Proposal subject to any reservations, qualifications, conditions or assumptions may result in the DEPARTMENT’s rejection of the Proposal” However, in the instructions for the price proposal it asks for a “description of pricing proposal, including all assumptions used to prepare the Price Proposal.” Also in Attachment 1 - Scope of Services, Section 1.4.2E, it asks to disclose all assumptions around Capacity/GoS. Please further clarify the restrictions around listing assumptions in the proposal.	Clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
86	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services and Form E – Compliance Matrix	4	There appear to be several conflicts between these two documents. For example, the compliance matrix only has section 2.1.G.1-2.1.G.9 but the Scope of Services document goes to 2.1.G.10. In section 2.2.D.1-3 the counts in the compliance matrix do not match the counts in Attachment 1. Which document supersedes in these scenarios and will an updated document be provided that corrects the inconsistencies mentioned and others?	Scope of Services supersedes Compliance Matrix. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
87	General – Page Count	4	The RFP lists items that are excluded from page count to be placed in the Appendix. Are all the items listed under I – Appendices excluded from the page count? In instances where Attachment 1 – Scope of Services asks us to include supporting material, such as Section 1.2.C.2 asking for SDOCs, does this count towards the 175 page count as well?	All items identified under Section IV Technical Proposal – Volume II, Item 5.i are excluded from the page count. The 175 page limit is in reference to the Proposer’s narrative response to the Evaluation Criteria. Any attachments required as part of the Evaluation Criteria or the Scope of Services is to be included as an appendix and will not count towards the 175 page limit.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 25 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
88	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.6.A, Page 19	4	This item states in part, “Attachment 6 provides license data for the current system and 700 MHz available frequencies.” Please provide the 700 MHz frequency information.	<p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 769.35625</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 769.85625</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 770.35625</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 770.85625</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 771.43125</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 772.73125</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 773.88125</p> <p>WQWI698 WASHOE, COUNTY OF (L) - WASHOE COUNTY (CL) 774.91875</p> <p>New Attachment 14 is attached to the RFP for reference. State holds FCC License WPUC245; SL - Public Safety 700 MHz Band – State License.</p>
89	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 3.4.A, Page 32	4	Please identify the sites that support mutual aid channels and the number of mutual aid channels at each site.	Information is included as new Attachment 11 and new Attachment 14 to the RFP, included herein, and to be incorporated in a forthcoming Addendum.
90	Attachment 2 – Existing and Candidate Sites, Part 1, Pages 1-3	4	What will be the tower heights at the new candidate sites currently under construction?	There is one site under construction at this time, Painted Rock and the self-supporting tower height is 60 feet AGL.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 26 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
91	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.4.1.F, Page 17	3	The requirement specifies that DAQ testing will be sampled at 10% of the total number of tiles in “urban areas across the state.” To define and identify these tiles, could the Members please identify what areas are classified as “urban”?	Urban area type as defined by the United States Census Bureau: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urbanized Areas (UAs) of 50,000 or more people
92	Form E – Compliance Matrix, Section 11	4	The section titled “Optional Nevada Highway I-15 Call Boxes” only appears in the Compliance Matrix, but not in the Attachment 1, Scope of Services document. Is this optional capability considered part of the project scope?	Disregard the reference to “Optional Nevada Highway I-15 Call Boxes” within the Compliance Matrix. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.
93	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 4.4.M.8, Page 49	3	At what elevation do Members require heat pumps in new shelters?	Proposers shall provide heat pumps with all new shelters, regardless of elevation.
94	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.4.2.D, Page 17	3	In “Attachment 5 - System Traffic Report for 2015 Calendar Year”, the data is provided on a monthly level. In order to ensure that each site has enough capacity, do the Members prefer the vendors use busy hour data? If so, would the NSRS members please provide this data in an hourly format, so that vendors can calculate the busy hour load for each site in the system? The hourly data report should include a breakdown of total calls on each site and average duration per hour in order to calculate the busiest hour per site across 2015.	See new Attachment 13 to the RFP, included herein, and to be incorporated in a forthcoming Addendum.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 27 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
95	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.4.2.D, Page 17 and Attachment 5 – System Traffic Report for 2015 Calendar Year	3	<p>Would the Members please provide traffic data for the following missing sites:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alder Hill, NV Energy North 2. Amargosa Valley, NDOT 3. Buster, NDOT 4. Caliente, NDOT 5. Emigrant, NDOT 6. Flatcreek, NDOT 7. HD Summit, NDOT 8. High Camp, NV Energy North 9. Irish, NDOT 10. Kinkaid, NDOT 11. Likely, NV Energy North 12. Loray, NDOT 13. New Pass, NDOT 14. Ragged Ridge, NDOT 15. Secret Pass, NDOT 16. Timber, NDOT 17. Wildoat, NDOT 18. Coyote Springs, NDOT 19. N. Lake Tahoe, NV Energy North 20. Alamo, NDOT 	<p>The requested sites are translator sites and do not have traffic data. See donor sites for the requested traffic data. However, the data maintained at the donor site incorporates the data for both the translator and donor sites within that data except as noted below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alder Hill - Chimney (Donor) 2. Amargosa Valley - Sawtooth (Donor) 3. Buster - Prospect (Donor) 4. Caliente - Highland (Donor) 5. Emigrant - Mary's (Donor) 6. Flatcreek - Trident (Donor) 7. HD Summit - Ellen Dee (Donor) 8. High Camp - Chimney (Donor) 9. Irish - Currant (Donor) 10. Kinkaid - TV Hill (Donor) 11. Likely - Schaffer (Donor) 12. Loray - Rocky Point (Donor) 13. New Pass - Austin (Donor) 14. Ragged Ridge - Warm Springs (Donor) 15. Secret Pass - Spruce (Donor) 16. Timber - Warm Springs (Donor) 17. Wildoat - West Bald (Donor) 18. Coyote Springs - No Data Available 19. N. Lake Tahoe - No Data Available 20. Alamo - No Data Available
96	Attachment 1 – Scope of Services, Section 1.4.1.A.1, Page 15	2	<p>“...with 95% reliability and a DAQ of 3.4 or better, and on all Nevada state-maintained highways, with portable/antenna on the hip.”</p> <p>Please define Nevada state-maintained highways.</p>	<p>Refer to the following link for a listing of available State maintained Highway descriptions and maps.</p> <p>https://www.nevadadot.com/home/showdocument?id=4438</p>

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet 28 of 33 Sheets

No.	Document and Section Number	Category	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
97	NSRS RFP 697-16-016, Technical Proposal - Volume II, Section 5. Qualifications and Experience, Pages 10-12	4	The Evaluation Criteria matches the sections detailed out in the subject section except for Section 5. There are additional subsections than those listed in the Evaluation Criteria table and the letters don't line up with the items in the Evaluation Criteria table. Given that proposers are instructed to provide clear section titles based on the Evaluation Table, how should proposers handle the discrepancies and additional subsections?	The Evaluation Criteria table commencing on page 5 and the instructions commencing on page 9 will be revised to remove any conflicting information. Additional clarification will be provided as part of a forthcoming Addendum to the RFP.

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet **29** of **33** Sheets

ATTACHMENT 10 – STATE HIGHWAYS SHAPE FILES

Available upon Request.

Email Agreeservices@dot.nv.gov to obtain Attachments to RFP

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet **30** of **33** Sheets

ATTACHMENT 11 – CROSS BAND FREQ

Available upon Request.

Email Agreeservices@dot.nv.gov to obtain Attachments to RFP

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet **31** of **33** Sheets

ATTACHMENT 12 – NDIP SITES

Available upon Request.

Email Agreeservices@dot.nv.gov to obtain Attachments to RFP

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet **32** of **33** Sheets

ATTACHMENT 13 – SYSTEM STATS

Available upon Request.

Email Agreeservices@dot.nv.gov to obtain Attachments to RFP

FORM T
RFP ANSWER FORM

Answer Sheet **33** of **33** Sheets

ATTACHMENT 14 – REGION 27 NEVADA 700 MHZ PLAN

Available upon Request.

Email Agreeservices@dot.nv.gov to obtain Attachments to RFP