

Nevada Department of Transportation

**FORM T  
RFQ COMMENT FORM**

No.	Document and Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
1	Document: <i>Form L-2</i> Section and Pg. #: <i>Vol 1, Section 1-Legal 4.4.1.2(B)</i> Line Number: <i>14-16</i>	Instructions: The Proposer is required to submit the following information in Volume I, Section 1, of its SOQ: B) Submit Form L-2 (Appendix B), Principal Participant and Major Participant Certification, for each Principal Participant and Major Participant <u>covering the five-year (5-year) period immediately preceding this procurement</u>  However, on Form L-2 Questions (A), (C), (D), (H) states "ever failed to complete" and questions (B), (F), (G) states "for past 10 years"  <b>Questions:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Please clarify that we only need to respond to the past 5 years.</b></li> <li><b>2. Please clarify the information on Form L-2 covers only U.S.A. affiliates.</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. See revisions to Section 4.4.1.2 (B) and Form L-2 of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.                               Note that on Form L-2, a clarification was added regarding Affiliates involving a JVs, consortia, and partnerships as defined under item D of the term "Affiliate." If the term Affiliate involves a JVs, consortia, and partnerships as defined under item D for the term "Affiliate," the Proposer only needs to include information within the past ten (10) years. See Section 1.1 for the complete definition of Affiliate.</li> <li>2. No change.</li> </ol>
2	Document: <i>Form S(A)</i> Section and Pg. #: <i>n/a</i> Line Number: <i>n/a</i>	Instructions: Provide the following information for the past 5 years nationwide: Employees' days worked (WORKDAYS), Lost workday cases as a percentage (%) of workdays Restricted workday cases as a percentage (%) of workdays  Questions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Will NDOT consider replacing "workdays" with "manhours"? OSHA requires manhours for all reporting and not workdays. Workdays are not tracked. Information is obtained from the OSHA 300A forms.</li> <li>2. Will NDOT consider replacing "percentage of workdays" with "incident rate based on manhours worked". Lost workday caes and restricted workday cases as a percentage of workdays is not tracked and there is no formula to arrive at percentages due to staff and craft employees working various shifts and tasks.</li> <li>3. If NDOT will not consider replacing "workdays" with "manhours" and "percentages" with "incident rates", please provide the formula to make the calculations required to respond to these questions.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. See revisions to Form S of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.</li> <li>2. See revisions to Form S of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.</li> <li>3. See revisions to Form S of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.</li> </ol>

Nevada Department of Transportation

No.	Document and Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
3	Document: <i>Form S(B)</i>	Instructions: Provide the following information for all completed projects during the last 5 years by the firm including all the projects listed on Form DP and Form E-1 first followed by other projects completed within the last 5 years on the table.	1. See revisions to Form S of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>n/a</i>	Question:	
	Line Number: <i>n/a</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Our company has completed well over 300 projects this past 5 years nationwide and it would be very voluminous to provide this information in this table. Will NDOT consider providing this information for just the projects listed on Form DP and E-1 and then provide the information on the remaining projects from the statistics as reported on OSHA Form 300a with including a copy of the OSHA 300a logs considering the requirement of Section 4.4, Page 25, Line 1 "Proposers must provide brief and concise information that addresses the objectives and the requirements of the Project confinement with the pass/fail evaluation factors ..."</li> </ol>	
4	Document: <i>Form DP</i>	Instructions: The Proposer is to provide a project description for every project that each Principal Participant and Major Participant is currently completing or has completed for the Department, as a prime or first-tier Subcontractor, within the last ten (10) years.	1. See revisions to Section 4.4.2.3 (B) and Form DP of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Section 4.4.2.3 Volume II Section 3</i>	Question:	
	Line Number: <i>31-34</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The list of projects required per the instructions could be extensive over multiple design/planning/CM disciplines and would include projects that may not be relative to the REAP DB. Each participant may have as many, or more than, 50 projects/task orders. Can the instructions be changed to, "The Proposer is to provide a project description for every project that each Principal Participant and Major Participant is currently completing or has completed for the Department, as a prime or first-tier Subcontractor, within the last five (5) years that are similar in scope and complexity to the REAP DB?"</li> </ol>	
5	Document: <i>RFQ</i>	RFQ states stipend of \$100,000. A stipend of \$225,000 was presented at the Transportation Board Meeting? Will NDOT increase this to \$225,000.	See revisions to Section 1.21 of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Section 1.21, pg. 16</i>		
	Line Number: <i>31-32</i>		

Nevada Department of Transportation

No.	Document and Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
6	Document: <i>RFQ</i>	RFQ states safety questionnaire is required for each Principal Participant and Major Participant. Given the nature of design firms and not being required to maintain OSHA stats, do engineering firms that will only be doing design work, even though they are defined as a major participant, need to provide a Form S?	No change. As stated in Section 4.4.4.2 of the RFQ (bold added for emphasis), "Submit Form S (Appendix B), Safety Questionnaire, for each Principal Participant and Major Participant <b>anticipated to perform construction work on the Project.</b> " Professional service and design firms that are considered Major Participants do not have to submit Form S.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Section 4.4.4.2, pg. 30</i>		
	Line Number: <i>11-12</i>		
7	Document: <i>RFQ</i>	RFQ states text shall be Arial font that is a minimum of eleven (11) points in height.	See revisions to Appendix A of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.  All other text shall be Arial font that is a minimum of 11 points in height. Arial Narrow is not an acceptable font.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>App. A – A1.0, Pg. A-1</i>	For tables, graphic elements, and resumes can the proposer use 9 point font?	
	Line Number: <i>26-27</i>	Is it acceptable to Arial Narrow 11-point font for all other text?	
8	Document: <i>RFQ</i>	RFQ states "The Proposer is to provide a project description for every project that each Principal Participant and Major Participant is currently completing or has completed for the Department, as a prime or first-tier subcontractor, within the last ten (10 years)." This could end up being over 200 projects for our team. Will the Department be willing to put a minimum requirement, such as those projects with a contract or subcontract over \$10 million in construction for a contractor? Engineering contracts would still face the same requirement as listed in the RFQ.	See revisions to Section 4.4.2.3 (B) of the RFQ and Form DP set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Section 4.4.2.3, Pg 29</i>		
	Line Number: <i>31</i>		
9	Document: <i>Form E-1</i>	Do projects listed in Form E-1 have to be completed projects or can they be on-going?	See revisions to Section 4.4.2.3 (C) of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Section 4.4.2.3 (C)</i>		
	Line Number: <i>n/a</i>		
10	Document: <i>General RFQ</i>	Does Subcontractors needs to submit the RFQ?	No. A Subcontractor does not need to submit an SOQ.  The Department does not currently have a list of prospective Proposers that may propose on this Project.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>n/a</i>	Where can I find a list of General Contractors participating on this project?	
	Line Number: <i>n/a</i>		

Nevada Department of Transportation

No.	Document and Section Number	Comment(s)	Reserved for Department Response
11	Document: <i>Form DP</i>	RFI #1 - Form DP 1 requires the proposer to provide project information for every NDOT project completed by the proposer's team over the last ten years. Can this requirement be revised to limit the list to projects completed the last five years, or over a certain dollar amount? Our team will have approximately 100 projects to list with the current request.	See revisions to Section 4.4.2.3 (B) and Form DP of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Appendix B (45 of 68)</i>		
	Line Number: <i>Instructions (1,2,3)</i>		
12	Document: <i>Form S</i>	RFI #2 - The second part of form S requires man hour and injury data for every project completed over the past 5 years. Can this requirement be revised to reduce the amount of data requested? We would recommend requesting information for each project with a pertinent injury event, or for any project exceeding a certain number of man hours. If the language is not changed, can this information be provided as a separate document from the proposal to reduce the number of pages provided as part of Volume 1 and Volume 2.	See revisions to Form S of the RFQ set forth in Addendum No. 1.
	Section and Pg. #: <i>Appendix B (65 of 68)</i>		
	Line Number: <i>n/a</i>		