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The goal of this manual is to provide guidance to NDOT personnel and consultants in best practice methods of risk management and risk-based cost estimation. It is recognized that guidelines cannot provide entirely complete and practical guidance applicable to all situations and cannot replace experience and sound judgment. As such, deviations from these guidelines may, in some cases, be unavoidable or otherwise justifiable.

**Procedure for Guideline Revisions**

The guideline will be periodically revised or updated. For edits or updates, contact the Project Management Division at (775) 888-7321. All updates will be available on the Project Management Division SharePoint Portal which should be visited regularly for updated information.

**Responsibility:**

The Project Management Division is responsible for edits and updates to this document.

**Temporary Revisions:**

Temporary revisions will be issued by the Project Management Division to reflect updated/revised procedures. These will be reflected on dated errata sheet(s) posted on the Project Management Division SharePoint Portal.

**Scheduled Revisions:**

In October of each year, the Project Management Chief will assemble a Review Team for reviewing the guidelines and errata sheets to determine if a revised edition of the guidelines is required. The Project Management Division Chief will evaluate and approve the recommendations of the team for revisions to the guidelines.
Foreword

These guidelines address the first step in NDOT project management's vision of achieving statewide uniformity and consistency of project cost estimates and department-wide priority on estimating, managing, and controlling costs. Risk-based cost estimation and risk management involves the use of resources, including personnel that have the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to accurately and consistently predict costs.

The future is uncertain, but what is certain is there are two questions that will be asked about our transportation projects: “How much will it cost?” and “How long will it take?”

Risk-based estimates take a bottom-up approach as they analyze potential impacts on costs and schedule at the activity level. Quantified impacts are added to baseline costs to estimate a new, risk-adjusted, final cost. Impacts are quantified with probability distributions that, in turn, produce probability distributions of results. Consequently, the risk identification methodology is an alternative to traditional cost methods that apply top-down, uniform markups to base costs.

Risk-based estimates provide a sound appraisal of project value by combining the two main pieces of the estimate; the estimated cost and the event risks. The first piece, the base cost estimate, is determined using traditional cost estimating techniques, except no contingencies or allowance are applied for the unknowns. The second piece comprises the contribution of event risks causing a project's outcome to change from the initial plan.

The understanding of risks and uncertainty will better enable Project Teams in making decisions regarding project development and delivery.
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Definitions of Selected Terms

**Base Cost Estimate** – The base cost estimate represents the project cost that can reasonably be expected if the project materializes as planned and there is no occurrence of risk. The base cost estimate is unbiased and neutral - it is neither optimistic nor conservative. The base cost includes the known and quantified items and the known but not yet quantified (miscellaneous item allowance). The base cost estimate does not include any risks, unknown/unknowns or contingencies.

NOTE: base cost estimates are to be prepared in current year dollars and will exclude future cost escalation.

During the life of a NDOT project an estimate has many names:

a) Engineer’s Estimate – initial estimate version  
b) Intermediate Review Estimate – For intermediate design review meeting, and reflects 60% plan sets  
c) QA Estimate – checking phase of plan set  
d) Final Engineer’s Estimate – for final design review meeting, and reflects 100% plan sets  
e) Preliminary Estimate – reflects small sets, ready to send to EBS for advertising  
f) Agreement Estimate – award of contract

For the purposes of this document it will most often be referred as the base cost estimate or project estimate.

**Construction (CN)** – The cost of the construction contract.

**Construction Contingency** – A markup applied to the base cost estimate to account for uncertainties in quantities, unit costs, and minor risk events related to quantities, work elements, or other project requirements during construction. For design related contingencies see the definition of **Miscellaneous Item Allowance**.

**Construction Engineering (CE)** – The total construction management effort (cost) of taking a project from contract execution (through construction) to project completion.

**Cost-Based Estimation** - A method to estimate the bid cost for items of work based on estimating the cost of each component (labor, materials, equipment, including contractor and sub contractor markups) to complete the work and then adding a reasonable amount for addressing a contractor’s overhead and profit. Sometimes referred to as Productivity-Based Estimation.

**Cost Estimate** - A prediction of quantities, cost, and/or price of resources required by the scope of an asset investment option, activity, or project. As a prediction, an estimate must address risks and uncertainties. Estimates are used primarily as inputs for budgeting, cost or value analysis, decision making in business, asset and project planning, or for project cost and schedule control processes. Cost estimates are determined using experience and calculating and forecasting the future cost of resources, methods, and management within a scheduled time frame. (Source: Copyright 2007, AACE International, Inc., AACE International Recommended Practices, Number 10S-90)

**CY** – Current Year
Escalation - changes in the cost or price of specific items or work over a period of time.

Historical Bid-Based Estimation – This type of estimation tends to be a straightforward count or measure of units of items multiplied by unit costs. These unit costs are developed from historical NDOT project bids (Bid Tabs) and may be modified to reflect project specific conditions. This is the most common type of estimating at NDOT.

Impact – Added cost, time, or scope to a defined project or loss of goodwill, image, trust, and respect due to a risk event occurring.

Major Project - Based on the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU), signed into law on August 10, 2005, a Major Project is defined as "a project with a total estimated cost of $500 million or more that is receiving Federal financial assistance."

Miscellaneous Item Allowance - Sometimes referred to as “minor items” or “design allowance”, miscellaneous item allowance is typically meant to cover a variety of possible events and problems not specifically identified or quantified yet. It is also used to account for a lack of project definition during the preparation of planning and environmental phase base cost estimates. Often percentages are used as individual “placeholders” for items that have not yet been estimated. This item can also be used for right of way and utility relocation.

Mobilization – Calculated as a percentage of the total of the construction cost estimate, mobilization is included in a project estimate to cover a contractor’s preconstruction expenses and the cost of preparatory work and operations (such as moving equipment on site and staging).

Opportunity – A risk event that can save time or money.

Parametric Estimation – A method to estimate the cost of a project or a part of a project based on one or more project parameters. Historical bid data is used to define the cost of a typical transportation facility segment, such as cost per lane mile, cost per interchange or cost per square foot. Historical percentages can be used to estimate project segments based on major project parameters. These methods are often used in early estimating, such as planning and scoping estimates.

Preliminary Engineering (PE) – The total effort (budget & cost) of taking a project through the Planning, Environmental and Final Design phases along with any design effort needed for construction support. The terms “Design” or “Design Phase” are sometimes used interchangeably with PE.

Preliminary Estimate – The Preliminary Estimate is typically the final estimate prior to letting. The project should have an accurate, complete Preliminary Estimate prior to going to advertisement. This is the best and final base cost estimate and includes all accepted risk up to this point in the development of the project. Revising a Preliminary Estimate during the letting period would be the exception, not the rule. However, bid period addenda changing the scope or cost of the work may require a revised Preliminary Estimate or reconciliation of the changed value to serve as part of the justification for award.
Probability - The likelihood or chance that something is the case or will happen. The theory is used to draw conclusions about the likelihood of potential events and the underlying mechanics of complex systems.

Project – A project is defined by the limits of the environmental document.

Project Budget – The base cost estimate plus the risk reserve.

Total Project Cost – The total project cost as defined by FHWA includes PE + R/W + CN + Utility relocations.

Project Cost Range – If a quantitative risk analysis has been performed the range is reported as 10th percentile for the low estimate and 90th percentile the high estimate. For qualitative risk assessments the range is reported as the base cost for the low estimate and the (base cost + (base cost * risk allowance)) for the high estimate.

Right of Way Cost (R/W) – The cost to acquire the right of way needed for the project. Utility relocation cost is not part of the R/W cost of the project. See the definition of Utility Relocations.

Risk – The combination of the probability of an uncertain event and its consequences. A positive consequence presents an opportunity; a negative consequence poses a threat.

Risk-Based Cost Estimation – Involves simple or complex modeling based on inferred and probabilistic relationships among cost, schedule, and events related to the project. Risk elements (opportunities or threats) are defined and applied to the base cost estimate with its uncertainties through modeling to provide a probable range for both project cost and schedule.

Figure 1 – Example of Risk-Based Probabilistic Cost Results
The risk-base cost estimate is reported using ranges and percentiles. Figure 1 details the probabilistic cost results of an example project. The 80 percent confidence interval, described by the cost range between the 10th percentile and 90th percentile figures reveals the project cost will fall between $729 million and $1,053 million. There is 70 percent likelihood that construction costs will not exceed $950 million (also referred to as the 70th percentile).

**Risk Management** – Refers to the culture, processes, and structures directed toward effective management of risks - including potential opportunities and threats to project objectives.

**RMP** – Risk Management Plan

**Risk Reserve** - An estimate of costs associated with the identified risks.

**Risk Statement** – A written statement that describes the future event and the impact caused by the event.

**Scope Changes** - Changes in the requirements or specifications on which the design and estimate is based. Examples would include changes to project limits, work types, or capacity factors, such as traffic loads, vehicles per lane, or storm water factors.

**Threat** – A risk event that can cost time or money.

**Uncertainty** - Uncertainty is the lack of knowledge and can be expressed as a range using numbers or percentages around any given value.

**Utility Relocation** - The cost to relocate utilities within the project limits.

**YOE** – Year of Expenditure
Manual Organization

A brief synopsis of each chapter is provided below.

Chapter 1, **Risk Management** project risk management is a scalable activity and should be
commensurate with the size and complexity of the project under consideration. This chapter
provides the required risk management process for projects over $100 million and suggestions
on projects under $100 million.

Chapter 2, **Cost Estimating Process**, offers background information and the fundamental
concepts concerning the estimating process.

Chapter 3, **Base Cost Estimate Methodology**, discusses the specific information that must be
gathered in the first step of the process.

Chapter 4, **Base Cost Estimate and Project Development Level**, the estimate for each level
of project development has a specific purpose, methodology, and expected level of accuracy.

Chapter 5, **Important Factors Impacting Base Cost Estimation**, many factors influence a
project estimate. Several key factors are described in this chapter.

Chapter 6, **Base Cost Estimation Markups**, markups such as mobilization, preliminary
engineering (PE), miscellaneous item allowance in design (only for historical bid-based, cost-
based, and risk-based methods), and construction engineering (CE) applied to the base cost
estimate.

Chapter 7, **Documentation/Basis of Estimate**, documentation is a key element in good
estimating practice. The estimate file should be a well organized, easy to follow history from the
first estimate at the beginning of the planning phase through preparation of the final estimate.
The basis of estimate document, described in this chapter, contains recommended organization,
topics and format.

Chapter 8, **Project Schedule**, provide guidance for the development of Gantt charts and flow
charts for use within risk analysis.

Chapter 9, **Independent Estimate/Estimate Review**, every estimate should have some level of
review as determined by the Project Manager.

Chapter 10, **Risk Management Planning**, provides guidance for the development of the risk
management plan.

Chapter 11, **Risk Identification**, this chapter contains guidance on how to identify and
document risks.

Chapter 12, **Qualitative Risk Analysis**, qualitative risk analysis assesses the impact and
likelihood of the identified risks and develops prioritized lists of these risks for further analysis or
direct mitigation.
Chapter 13, **Quantitative Risk Analysis**, addresses the objectives and guidelines for the risk assessment needed to conduct a quantitative risk assessment. Quantitative risk analysis uses a more detailed approach to evaluating and prioritizing risks by way of numerically estimating the probability a project will meet its cost and time objectives.

Chapter 14, **Risk Response & Value Engineering**, discusses the development of risk response strategies and integrates the value engineering into the process of risk response for the purpose of reducing threats and enhancing opportunities to a project’s cost and schedule.

Chapter 15, **Risk Monitoring and Control**, after risk response actions have been implemented, these actions must be tracked and a record of their effectiveness and any changes to the project risk profile.

Chapter 16, **Use and Reporting of Risk-Based Cost Estimation Results**, how the information gained from risk-based cost estimation will be used to assist us in project delivery.
The Purpose of these Guidelines

Accurate estimation of the cost of transportation projects is a fundamental responsibility of the Nevada Department of Transportation. In recognition of the fundamental and strategic importance of cost estimating these guidelines provide consistent practices across the agency to enhance methods for meeting this responsibility.

The purpose of the Risk-Based Cost Estimation and Risk Management Guidelines is to assist the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) in achieving accuracy, accountability, and consistency in risk-based cost estimation and risk management efforts during the planning, environmental and design phases of project development. It provides guidance to NDOT Project Managers and Teams in their efforts to create accurate and consistent base cost estimates and to better manage the risks affecting project scope, schedule, and cost throughout the project development cycle.

The base cost estimate is a living document. It moves up or down depending on a variety of factors over the life of the project. During each phase of project development, new information is uncovered and with each discovery the base cost estimate needs to be adjusted. Each of these revisions will use different estimating inputs, methods, techniques and tools.

The base cost estimate for any project includes all of the known and quantified items along with the other items needed to construct the project but have yet to be fully identified (miscellaneous item allowance). As depicted in Figure 2, as the project progresses, the number of known and quantified items increases and the items that have yet to be quantified and risks decreases.

Figure 2 – Assessment of Project Cost

The base cost estimate for any project includes all of the known and quantified items along with the other items needed to construct the project but have yet to be fully identified (miscellaneous item allowance). As depicted in Figure 2, as the project progresses, the number of known and quantified items increases and the items that have yet to be quantified and risks decreases.
When comparing risk-based cost estimation methodology to traditional approaches the differences are instead of applying a factor for all the unknowns, specific event risks are identified and quantified in place of these contingencies. NDOT has come to the understanding that an estimate is more accurately expressed not as a single number but as a range and to determine an accurate estimate range for both cost and schedule, risk must be measured. By using a risk-based estimation process Project Managers will have a clear understanding of project risk, both threats and opportunities, along with the variability or uncertainty within the base cost estimate.

Project risk management is a scalable activity and should be commensurate with the size and complexity of the project under consideration. All projects, regardless of project size and project complexity, require some form of risk analysis and risk management planning. The framework of risk analysis remains the same, but the tools and level of effort vary with the risk analysis level. Simpler projects may utilize simple qualitative analysis. Larger more complex projects need to use more robust analysis techniques via Monte-Carlo simulation models.

These guidelines will help identify procedures to increase the effectiveness in the following ways:

- Provide a consistent approach for determining and updating the base cost estimate for all projects including:
  - quantity estimation
  - unit pricing
  - uncertainty surrounding quantities and pricing
  - estimate(s) reviews
  - estimate(s) documentation
  - estimate(s) communication
  - management of estimate data
- Provide guidance on how to treat the common and recurring challenges encountered in the estimating process.
- Provide methodologies of developing more accurate and consistent ranges for project scheduling and cost estimating to help improve planning and project delivery.
- Increase Project Manager and Project Team member risk awareness.
- Provide a consistent methodology and ensure consistency in how risks are managed on NDOT projects.*
- Identify data requirements for risk analysis input and for output.
- Provide tools, techniques, and guidance for proactive risk management.

* The methodology used in development of these guidelines is consistent with the Project Management Institute’s (PMI) Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) process.

The material contained in the following chapters does not provide “how-to” procedures with specific details on cost estimation practice or cost estimation management. However, this manual does provide the necessary knowledge and information for users to create and implement approaches that meet the requirements for managing project-specific cost and risk for NDOT projects.
1. Risk Management

1.1 Accounting for Risk in Project Cost and Schedule

Accounting for risk is critical to developing more accurate project estimates. Identifying possible risks and determining their potential impact will allow Project Managers to take into account factors that are not yet well defined but may ultimately influence project cost.

Traditional cost estimating methods, whether being done as historical based estimates or cost-based estimates, are forms of “deterministic” estimating practices, which take single point data and incorporate them into the cost estimate computations to determine a single value to represent the project cost estimate. The input data typically use average values, probable values, or other statistical representations.

Project baselines are established or predicted based on available project knowledge. For example, engineering costs are developed based on anticipated scope, past experience, and assumed delivery method; construction costs are developed based on an anticipated design, allocating markups for known factors (e.g., 7% construction engineering), assumed quantities and unit costs; project schedule is developed based on an anticipated delivery method, probable milestones and past experience. However, as the project is developed, conditions may occur that will impact the base conditions for better (opportunities) or for worse (threats).

The traditional way of dealing with project unknowns is to establish contingency or allowance factors for cost and schedule. In this method, the project adjusted baseline is expressed as “base” plus “contingency”. The contingency value is based on judgment, experience, and set of assumptions with unknown confidence. More often than not this method can under or over estimate the actual scope, duration, and cost. Traditional estimating practices tend to produce “the number” for a project. But this single number masks the critical uncertainty inherent in a particular project. It implies a sense of precision beyond what can be achieved during planning, scoping or early design phases.

When comparing risk-based cost estimation methodology to traditional approaches the differences are instead of applying a factor for unknowns, specific event risks are identified and quantified in place of these contingencies and allowances. NDOT has come to the understanding an estimate is more accurately expressed not as a single number but as a range. To determine an accurate estimate range for both cost and schedule, risk must be measured.

Project estimates should be comprised of three components:

- Base Cost
- Uncertainty
- Risk

The base cost represents the cost which can reasonably be expected if the project materializes as planned. This base cost may include allowances for miscellaneous or minor items but it does not include any risks or contingencies.

Unit bid prices can have a high and a low range. Quantities for a given item such as roadway excavation can have a high and a low range. This range of variability is called uncertainty.
Once the base cost with its uncertainty has been established, a list of risks is created of both opportunities and threats, called a “risk register.” The risk assessment replaces general and vaguely defined contingency with explicitly defined risk events and with the probability of occurrence and consequence of each potential risk event. Risk events are characterized in terms of probability of occurrence and the consequences of each potential risk event.

Risk-based cost estimation methods involve simple or complex analysis based on inferred and probabilistic relationships between cost, schedule, and events related to the project. It uses a variety of techniques, including historical data, cost-based estimating, and the best judgment of subject matter experts for given types of work, to develop the base cost (the cost of the project if all goes as planned). Risk elements (opportunities or threats) are then defined and applied to the base cost through either qualitative or quantitative analysis.

The use of quantitative techniques, such as Monte Carlo simulation, can be a powerful tool for analysis of project risk and uncertainty. This technique provides project forecasts with an overall outcome variance for estimated project cost and schedule. Probability theory allows us to look into the future and predict possible outcomes. In this approach the impact of individual risks are combined to determine a project adjusted baseline based on a quantified percentile or confidence level (e.g., 70% probability of success). A risk reserve is then established as the difference between the baseline and 70% probability. For additional details on risk reserve and allowances see Chapter 16. Use and Reporting of Risk-Based Cost Estimation Results.

A key by-product from risk-based cost estimation is that the NDOT management may be engaged early in the project development phase to offer guidance on how best to account for sensitivities and risks for the project and to provide direction on the confidence that project cost or schedule will meet specific outcomes. For example, Figure 3 is a graphical representation of a given project cost (horizontal axis) to its likelihood of not being exceeded (vertical axis)

![Figure 3 – Project Cost](image-url)
Figure 4 is a graphical representation of a given project completion date (horizontal axis) to its likelihood of not being exceeded (vertical axis).

The use of such graphics permits NDOT management to quickly understand the nature and magnitude of risks to determine their risk tolerance so that effective decisions are made at the appropriate management level, rather than at lower staff levels where cost and schedule estimates are often performed.

Risk Management is needed to:

- Help projects avoid exceeding scope, schedule and budget,
- Provide transparency to the project development process
- Add credibility to the process so decision makers understand how the project scope, budget and schedule is derived.

The risk-based cost estimation assists project development by:

- Encouraging pro-activity and early planning
- Building confidence and credibility in the project’s plans and estimates
- Developing targeted mitigation strategies for all anticipated threats
- Allowing better allocation of risks and identification of project delivery methods
- Allowing transparency, integrity, and accountability throughout the life cycle of the project
- Maximizing the likelihood of meeting on-time, on-budget goals
Project Managers must understand risk tolerance and then must weigh the value of project decisions with the risks associated with the project. NDOT, as stewards of the public trust, must endeavor to inform decision-makers of the risk associated with the projects developed.

Lastly, projects over $100 million are typically designated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) as “major projects,” which require that special attention be given to the preparation of the total program cost estimate.

In January 2007, FHWA issued cost estimating guidance for major projects. The document, titled “Major Project Program Cost Estimating Guidance”, calls for rigorous, transparent cost estimating accounting for project risk and uncertainty. The processes and procedures outlined in these guidelines are intended to meet FHWA’s requirements for the Cost Estimate Review (CER) process.

1.2 Project Risk Management and Risk-Based Cost Estimation

Project risk management is a scalable activity and should be commensurate with the size and complexity of the project under consideration. Simpler projects may utilize simple qualitative analysis. Larger more complex projects need to use more robust analysis techniques via Monte-Carlo simulation models.

A project is defined by the limits as defined in the environmental document. Projects are often implemented over a number of years and may involve numerous individual elements and segments. These individual segments may be progressed as individual contracts but, in total, they make up the project.

It is the policy of the Nevada Department of Transportation to conduct risk-based cost estimating workshops for all projects over $100 Million (PE, R/W and Construction). These workshops provide information to Project Managers that can help them control scope, cost, schedule, and manage risks for all projects. This policy reaffirms the requirement that a risk management plan is a component of every project management plan.

For projects up to $100 million dollars, the Project Team can use a qualitative risk analysis approach in conjunction with a risk register.

Table 1 provides the required and suggested risk management process based on project size. Project Managers are encouraged to use the suggested process on projects that have complicated elements or other high-risk items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Size ($)</th>
<th>Required Process</th>
<th>Suggested Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&lt; 10 M</td>
<td>Qualitative Assessment</td>
<td>Qualitative Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10 M to $25 M</td>
<td>Qualitative Assessment</td>
<td>Informal quantitative workshop using the “NDOT Risk Tracking and Analysis Tool for Small and Medium Size Projects”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25 M to $100 M</td>
<td>Qualitative Assessment</td>
<td>Cost Risk Assessment (CRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt; 100 M</td>
<td>Cost Risk Assessment (CRA)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Risk Management Process
2. Cost Estimating Process

2.1 Introduction

All projects benefit from following a thoughtful and deliberate process in developing project cost estimates. The process adopted from the Washington State DOT and presented in Figure 5, describes the way NDOT develops its base cost estimates for projects over $100 million. It is applied to all levels of project delivery, starting with the planning level scoping estimate and ending with the final project plans, specification, and estimate.

![Figure 5 – Cost Estimation Process](image-url)
Each level of estimate may require different estimating inputs, methods, techniques and tools. Projects over $100 million by nature can take many years to complete the design. During this time the estimate needs to be updated according to the timeline set forth in Section 16.4 Frequency of Estimate Updates.

The task of cost estimating, by its very nature, requires the application of prudent judgment to the completion of the task. A short description of each step in the cost estimating process is presented below.

### 2.2 Determine Estimate Basis

This activity focuses on obtaining project information, including all previously developed project scope and schedule details and data, from which a project cost estimate can be prepared. The level of scope detail varies depending on the project phase, project type, and project complexity, but would include the design matrix and criteria, all assumptions and pertinent scope details.

The estimate basis should be clearly documented, with the end result being a complete traceable history for each estimate. This documentation is covered in detail in Chapter 7. Documentation/Basis of Estimate.

### 2.3 Prepare Base Cost Estimate

This activity covers the development of estimated costs for all components of a project, excluding future escalation. These components may be estimated using different techniques depending on the level of scope definition and the size and complexity of the project. The number and detail of components estimated may vary depending on the project development phase.

For example, in the planning phase the NDOT WIZARD tool is typically used for estimating. This tool uses parametric estimating techniques along with percentages for contingencies or risk.

As the design progresses into the environmental and final design phases and more details about the project are known, items within the estimate become more exact. Key inputs at this point in the delivery of the project include a more detailed scope, historical databases and other cost databases, knowledge of market conditions, and use of escalation rates. NDOT has internal specialty groups that should be used to provide estimate information when preparing base estimates. This documentation is covered in detail in Chapter 3. Base Cost Estimate Methodology.

A required component of any estimate is the preparation of a Basis of Estimate document describing the project and including underlying assumptions, cautionary notes, and exclusions. The base cost estimate should also be based upon, and include as an attachment for reference, the associated schedule for all remaining project activities.

During the planning phase, the project schedule will be cursory and very general in its coverage. However, as a minimum it should include the major milestones NDOT uses to measure performance and progress on projects. The planning phase schedule may only include a few activities, but should begin with the development of the project, and include right-of-way, design, and construction.

NOTE: All base cost estimation is done in current year dollars.
2.4 **Review Base Cost Estimate**

This activity is necessary to ensure:

1) assumptions and basis are appropriate for the project,
2) the base cost estimate is an accurate reflection of the project’s scope of work;
3) scope, schedule and cost items are calculated properly and required components are not missing or double counted; and
4) historical data, the cost-based estimate data, or other data used reasonably reflects project scope and site conditions.

NDOT Specialty Groups and/or subject matter experts (SMEs) must participate in reviewing the base estimate. See Chapter 9. **Independent Estimate/Estimate Review**.

2.5 **Determine Risks**

This activity is part of developing a risk management plan for a project, and is an integral component of project management planning process. Risk management is an active and ongoing process of maximizing the probability and consequences of positive risk events (opportunities) and minimizing the probability and consequences of negative risk events (threats) to the project objectives.

In the context of cost estimating, the cost impact of project risks (whether opportunistic or a threat) must be included to derive a total project cost. This is required for all projects over $100 million and suggested for projects under $100 million.

Formal or informal risk assessment techniques are a valuable and valid tool and should be applied to all estimates. This documentation is covered in detail in Chapter 11. **Risk Identification**.

2.6 **Determine Estimate Communication Approach**

Cost estimate data is communicated to both internal and external constituencies. The communication approach determines what estimate information should be communicated, who should receive this information, how the information should be communicated, and when the information should be communicated.

Cost estimate information should be included when the communication plan is developed as part of the project management process. Often the words are as important as the numbers. The Basis of Estimate (BOE) document can be used effectively as a communication tool to convey key information about the project to others. See Chapter 7. **Documentation/Basis of Estimate**.

2.7 **Conduct Independent Review and Obtain Management Endorsement**

Base cost estimates are key products of the project management process and are fundamental documents upon which key management decisions are based. Given their importance, all base cost estimates should receive an independent review and then be reconciled and revised as needed to respond to independent reviewer comments. Once independent review comments have been satisfactorily incorporated, estimates should be presented to management staff for approval. See Chapter 9. **Independent Estimate/Estimate Review** of this manual.
Management approval of estimates developed for initial budgeting or baseline definition is a defined step in the project management process. Revised estimates, typically developed if project requirements change, or as design is developed, should also be reviewed by management staff, revised as necessary to reflect management comments, and then approved. Each revised estimate shall then be incorporated into project cost baselines through the established project change management process.
3. Base Cost Estimate Methodology

3.1 Introduction

NDOT’s vision for risk-based cost estimation and risk management calls for well-documented and complete base cost estimates. To accomplish this, estimates need to have clearly spelled-out assumptions and risks that can be easily communicated. The key to realizing this vision is a universal understanding of all components of a project’s base cost estimate.

Estimation methodologies fall into one of four categories: parametric, historical bid-based, cost-based or risk-based. These categories encompass many individual techniques/tools to aid in preparing cost estimates. It is important to understand that any combination of the methods may be found in any given estimate as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Development Phase</th>
<th>Purpose of Estimate</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>Conceptual Estimating</td>
<td>Similar Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parametric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Historical %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Budget Authorization</td>
<td>Parametric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Establish baseline cost for project)</td>
<td>Analogous Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Historical %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early Design Estimates</td>
<td>Parametric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Analogous Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Historical bid-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>Design Estimates</td>
<td>Historical bid-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Project Control of Scope, Schedule, Budget)</td>
<td>Cost-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>Final Engineer’s Estimate (100% Design)</td>
<td>Historical bid-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Estimate (Ready for Advertisement)</td>
<td>Cost-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Risk-based</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Cost Estimation Methodologies

As shown in Table 2 risk-based cost estimation can happen at any time during the project development process.

3.2 Base Cost Estimating Techniques

Cost estimating begins when a project enters into the planning level scoping phase of project development. However, estimating occurs throughout the other design phases of project development. Early cost estimating is critical, as this is the time when the baseline project definition, cost, and schedule are determined. Base cost estimating techniques must produce consistent and accurate estimates. However, the use of cost estimating tools will vary depending on the level of project definition, the project type, and complexity of the project.
Computer software such as the NDOT Wizard Tool is often used to facilitate application of these types of estimating techniques. There are a variety of techniques that can be used to support cost estimating, which can be summarized as follows:

**Estimation based on Analogous or Similar Projects:** This technique relies heavily on one project very similar to the project being estimated. The reference (analogous or similar) project is typically one previously constructed, is currently under construction, is bid for construction, or has a completed final design level estimate. Items, quantities, and unit costs from the similar project are used as a basis for estimating the current project. Similar costs from the reference project can be used to estimate other groups, categories, elements, and items of total project cost.

**Parametric Estimation:** Parametric estimation techniques are primarily used to support development of scoping or early design estimates where very little project definition is available. Major project parameters are identified. Statistical relationships and/or non-statistical ratios between historical data and other parameters (e.g., tons of asphalt, square foot of bridge deck area) are used to calculate the cost of various items of work. The length, width, and depth (LWD) is a type of parametric estimating. Typically the historical bid prices used to develop the estimate come from previous projects awarded by NDOT.

**Cost-Based Estimates:** This technique relies on the estimating approach wherein construction costs, based on a selected productivity, are estimated for labor, material, equipment, contractor overhead, and contractor profit for each major item. This approach typically produces an accurate estimate and is useful in estimating unique items of work where there is insufficient bid history. The application of cost-based estimating during Scoping and Design would be similar to that used during Letting. Estimates of other groups and/or categories of total project cost are estimated from the bottom up. This means that resources associated with certain groups or categories, such as project development and detailed design, are specifically identified for elements and tied to when these resources will be engaged on the project (i.e., a period of time a lead designer will work on a project).

Cost-based estimate methods do not rely on historical NDOT bid data, but rather are based on determining, for an item or set of items, the contractor’s cost for labor, equipment, materials and specialty subcontractor effort (if appropriate) needed to complete the work. A reasonable amount for contractor overhead and profit is then added.

This method is preferable on unique projects or where geographical influences, market factors and volatility of material prices can cause the use of historical bid-based methods to be unreliable. Also, since contractors generally utilize a cost-based estimating approach to prepare bids, this method can provide more accurate and defensible costs to support the decision for contract award/rejection and to support any future price negotiations with the contractor after contract award.
Cost-based estimates require significant effort, time, and estimator experience to prepare. They should be limited to those items that comprise the largest dollar value of the project, typically that 20% of items of work that account for 80% of project cost. The cost of the remainder of estimate line items can be determined using historical bid-based estimate methods. This approach provides for a more efficient use of estimating resources and reduces the total time and cost of preparing cost-based estimates. Cost-based estimating is also a good way to check a few large items of work in a historical bid-based estimate to ensure that the historical prices are still valid.

**Historical Bid-Based Estimation:** The use of historical unit cost bid data from recently bid contracts is the most common estimation approach. Under this approach, bid data are summarized and adjusted for project conditions (e.g., project location, size, quantities, etc.) and the general market conditions. Items are developed for major elements of work so quantities and historical unit prices can be applied to these items. Early on, during the Planning and Environmental Phases, percentages (Miscellaneous Item Allowance) are used to estimate items where little or no definition is available.

Historical bid-based methods are commonly used and are appropriate when design definition has advanced to the point where quantification of units of work is possible. These methods apply historical unit costs to counts or measures of work items to determine a total cost for the item or project.

The unit cost data used is typically received by NDOT in bid documents from prior projects and should be modified or adjusted to reflect current prices (escalate to current time) and project specific conditions such as geographic location, quantity of item needed, and the scheduled timing of project advertisement. See Chapter 5. **Important Factors Impacting Base Cost Estimation** later in these guidelines.

Historical cost data sources include:

- Integrated Project Development System (iPD)
- Bid Tabs Pro by Oman Systems
- RS Means, when NDOT specific unit costs are not available (this tool can be used for both historic bid-based and cost-based methods)

**NOTE:** It is important to remember unit bid cost data from past projects carries with it all of the adjustment from the various factors discussed in Chapter 5 along with any risk associated with that item. Very high and very low unit bid prices should be reviewed prior to acceptance.

**Estimation based on Historical Percentages:** This technique is used in conjunction with other tools such as historical bid-based estimating. Historical percentages are used to estimate costs for items within an element not typically defined early. A percent is developed based on historical cost information from past projects to cover certain items comprising an element. This percentage is based on a relationship between the sum of the selected items and a total cost category such as direct construction. Historical percentages are used to estimate other groups and/or categories of total project cost.
Combined Cost Estimation Approach: As described in the section on total project cost, a project's base cost estimate could include diverse and largely unrelated components, such as the roadway, bridges & structures, haul roads, utilities, and environmental clean-up. Therefore, the methodology employed for estimating costs is likely to be different depending upon the available data and the project phase in which the cost estimate is prepared. The estimate needs to diligently documented and communicated to the Project Team. The basis as well as the methodology used for estimating the costs associated with work elements, and items need to be clearly identified. Integrating the estimated costs for each into the base cost estimate is a significant responsibility that cannot rest on a single person, especially for complex projects.

3.3 Uncertainty

Estimating is not an exact science; a cost estimate is only an approximation of the costs and is made up of many elements that may not be completely or equally defined at the time the estimate is prepared. As a result, there is variability or uncertainty associated with any estimate. When applied to the project estimate, this uncertainty establishes the range of costs the base cost could fall within.

A numerical value of uncertainty is, in essence, an estimate of the error or tolerance within the quantity or unit price of an item. For any given project, the level of uncertainty is directly related to its position in the project life cycle, i.e., the earlier in the project development process, the greater the uncertainty; conversely, the closer to completion, the less uncertainty.

Uncertainty is typically expressed in terms of a percentage (of the quantity and/or unit cost) lower or higher than the base as shown in Figures 6 through 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item and Description</th>
<th>Unit Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SECTION 2: GRADING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Excavation Incl Haul</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsuitable Foundation Excavation Incl. Haul</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel Borrow Incl. Haul</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embankment Compaction</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 – Example of Unit Cost Uncertainty Ranges

When estimating a unit price for an item, other projects with similar characteristics are selected. These projects assist in the decision of which unit price to use for our project. When reviewing unit bid prices from the historical database a range of costs for an item will be identified. From this range the base unit cost of an item can be chosen along with the low and high costs or uncertainty range.

Example: Project A had a unit bid price of $15/CY for roadway excavation and Project B had a unit bid price of $19/CY. The amount of material on our project is similar to Project B, but Project A is a more recent contract. The person estimating this material may choose $18/CY as the base unit price figuring the volume of material sways the cost in that direction with an uncertainty range of low of $16/CY (roughly 10%) and a high of $18.5 (roughly 5%).
As the design progresses on a project we learn more about it. During the Planning and Environmental Phases we may just have an approximation of the quantities needed for certain items if computer modeling hasn't been completed yet. During Final Design the range of uncertainty around bid items narrows as we get a clearer pictures of the amounts needed.

Multiplying the low or under unit bid price amount with the under quantity range sets the low end of the base cost for that item. The same is true for the high or over unit bid price and quantity. Collectively they create the uncertainty range for the base cost estimate.

When the person estimating an item or items establishes the uncertainty ranges, consideration is given to other factors that might affect quantities or bid prices, such as level of design, delivery method, specialty items, project location and accessibility, project terrain, effect of existing traffic on the contractor's operations, source and availability of materials and water, time limits that might require more than ordinary overtime work or double shifting, and season of the year in which the work is to be done.
An uncertainty level in percentages needs to be provided for the base cost estimate prior to risk modeling. Prior to risk modeling the uncertainty needs to be reviewed by the Project Team. Typically uncertainty is rolled up into variability surrounding the construction cost, right of way costs and preliminary engineering costs.

See Chapter 5. **Important Factors Impacting Base Cost Estimation** of these guidelines for additional information.

As the project progresses forward through the phases of design the uncertainty of quantity gets smaller as additional details become known about the project and the design of items is completed. With each bid opening the insight to current bid prices increases which narrows the uncertainty surrounding them. This and other information continues to narrow the amount of uncertainty as the design reaches letting.
4. Base Cost Estimation and Project Development Level

4.1 Overview

The base cost estimate represents the project cost that can reasonably be expected if the project materializes as planned and there is no risk. The base cost estimate is unbiased and neutral - it is neither optimistic nor conservative. The base cost includes the known and quantified items and the known but not yet quantified items (miscellaneous item allowance). The base cost estimate does not include any risks, unknown/unknowns or contingencies.

There are three main phases or levels of project development for design-bid-build delivery within NDOT:

1. Planning
2. Environmental
3. Final Design

The base cost estimate for each level of project development has a specific purpose, methodology, and expected level of accuracy. The following table was revised from “Cost Estimating Manual for WSDOT Projects”. It summarizes the relationship existing between the various project development levels, purpose of the estimate, estimating methods, and the estimates expected level of accuracy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Development Phase</th>
<th>Project Maturity (% of design completed)</th>
<th>Purpose of Estimate</th>
<th>Techniques</th>
<th>Expected Level of Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>0% to 2%</td>
<td>Conceptual Estimating</td>
<td>Risk-based or Judgment Historical % Similar Projects Parametric</td>
<td>-50% to 200%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1% to 15%</td>
<td>Budget Authorization (Establish baseline cost for project)</td>
<td>Parametric Analogous Projects Historical % Risk-based</td>
<td>-40% to 100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>15% to 60%</td>
<td>Design Estimates (Project Control of Scope, Schedule, Budget)</td>
<td>Historical bid-based Cost-based Risk-based</td>
<td>-10% to 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>30% to 100%</td>
<td>Preliminary Estimate (prior to advertisement)</td>
<td>Historical bid-based Cost-based Risk-based</td>
<td>-5% to 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – General Cost Estimation Ranges

Note the inverse relationship in Table 3 between the project development level of design and the expected accuracy range. Some of the typical causes of project cost accuracy are lack of scope definition, multiple alternatives, and lack of information about factors outside the roadway prism (right of way, community, cultural, and environmental). As the project progresses, more data becomes available and the expected accuracy range narrows.
4.2 Planning Phase

In this phase the project needs are analyzed and conceptual solutions are developed. Project descriptions, costs, and schedules are broadly defined. The planning phase typically addresses such issues as number of lanes, location and length of project, and general interchange and intersection spacing. The intent of this phase is to develop reasonable and feasible design alternatives, and to identify the best means to address risk and uncertainties in cost, scope, and schedule.

This phase typically consists of two sub-phases: Systems/Corridor Planning; and Scoping Process.

Systems/Corridor Planning

The Planning Division is the project lead during systems/corridor planning sub-phase. They control the scope, budget, and schedule of project activities in this sub-phase. As necessary they will form and manage a Project Team to assist them with their activities. The Project Manager, if assigned, acts as an advisor to the planning division. The project participants and the Project Manager assist the planning division to refine the purpose and need of the project, and develop a rough base cost estimate of project options, costs, and schedule ranges.

Scoping Process

At the end of the systems/corridor planning phase (typically completion of the corridor study and beginning of the Environmental Study Phase), the Systems/Corridor Planning results are forwarded to Project Scoping to begin and lead the scoping process. A Project Manager becomes is assigned as the project lead and is included as a member of the Scoping Team.

In the planning phases of project development, there is usually very little information with which to work, and the information known is often filled with uncertainty. The Scoping Team takes a detailed look at a project by gathering available data, reviewing this information, conducting field studies, coordinating with major disciplines including stakeholders, developing alternatives, determining the risk and preparing a cost estimate and a schedule for the most likely alternative.

The base cost estimate should reflect costs for all work being contemplated and the Scoping Team should have rough calculations and assumptions to back them up. All items of work should be identified; the associated units of work, quantities and unit costs should be incorporated into the base cost estimate.

Clearly document assumptions and scope definitions in the Basis of Estimate (BOE) document so that all future changes can be accurately compared to this estimate.

4.2.1 Techniques

The NDOT Wizard tool uses parametric estimating techniques and is often used for planning estimates. Per lane mile and per square foot are two types of parametric estimating techniques the Wizard Tool uses. Historical bid prices and historical percentages can also be used to generate costs during the planning phase. Analogous project estimating is another approach that can be utilized (see Section 3.2 Base Cost Estimating Techniques).
Add the appropriate percentage(s) for miscellaneous item allowance(s) (see Section 6.2 Miscellaneous Item Allowance) within the base cost estimate. For items such as drainage, traffic control, structures, etc. contact the appropriate subject matter expert to determine the amount or percentage to be used based on the type of project and the level of current design.

4.2.2 Potential Challenges

When using analogous project estimating, the chosen historical project must be truly analogous. Finding an appropriate project or projects and determining the similarities and differences between the historical projects and the current project can take significant time and effort. Project data from older projects is less reliable due to variations in prices, standards, construction technology, and work methods. The analogous method is best used as a tool to determine broad price ranges for simple, straightforward projects or as a check to verify estimates prepared using another method.

- Due to the lack of scope definition or preliminary design, care should be taken to properly communicate with project stakeholders regarding the range of possible cost and schedule changes as the project becomes more defined.
- Given the large-scale assumptions inherent in parametric estimating methods, all assumptions must be documented clearly.
- Provide an adequate range of costs reflecting the unknowns in the project. This can be accomplished through allowances in the estimate for those items not yet defined or quantified.
- Keep the estimate current as the project waits to move on to preliminary design.

4.3 Environmental Phase

Projects requiring federal approval, change of access control on an access-controlled highway, or use federal funding are subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) to address potential social, environmental, economic, and political issues. During this phase studies are conducted to define existing conditions, and identify likely impacts and mitigations resulting in the preferred design alternative being selected from among the various alternatives. In this phase the project scope is fully defined, preliminary designs are refined, right-of-way issues are identified, project costs and benefits are estimated, and risks are broadly defined.

Finally, a preliminary project schedule is determined. At the conclusion of this phase, major projects are divided into smaller construction segments to address the project's social, environmental, economic and political issues as well as funding availability and constructability.

During the Environmental Phase the project schedule should be based on the work breakout structure template for the appropriate project type. A Milestone and Deliverables List for design-bid-build and design-build projects is located on the Project Management SharePoint Portal. The schedule should be maintained using historical data from previous projects of similar nature, conversations with major project contributors and the judgment of other experienced Project Managers.

The Project Team should maintain the estimate using various estimating techniques, the best information readily available. The Project Team should always develop its own estimates and not rely on previous attempts. There should be few, if any, lump sum “guesstimates” or groups of items expressed as percentages of other items at this point.
This will solidify many items in the scope such as right of way needs, likely permit conditions, environmental mitigation, and quantities of major items and outside stakeholders. As scope definition improves, the accuracy of the estimate will likewise improve. The work effort required to prepare, document and review the estimate also increases.

Continue to adjust the uncertainty of unit prices and quantities and update the miscellaneous item allowances within the estimate. Clearly document each of these updates in relation to the previous estimate. Update the Basis of Estimate document for the project as appropriate (See Chapter 7. Documentation/Basis of Estimate).

4.3.1 Techniques
For quantifiable items such as asphalt concrete pavement, bridges and roadway excavation, historical bid-based or cost-based estimating methodologies should be used for pricing. Other items not yet quantified may be estimated parametrically or through the use of historical percentages. Adjustments to the amount of uncertainty should be made. As more information is obtained the amount of uncertainty for each bid item should be reduced.

At this point in the life of the base cost estimate the miscellaneous item allowance percentages should be changed to an estimated dollar amount. As the project progresses and items are identified and quantified an equal amount of dollars should be removed from the miscellaneous item allowance.

As design definition advances, engineers are better able to determine project work items and their associated quantities and unit prices. Historical bid-based methodologies are typically used for items of work for which historical data is available. Cost-based estimating methodologies can be used for those items with little or no NDOT bid history, or for major items of work that are project “cost drivers”.

Key resources are suppliers and other individuals knowledgeable about current prices for the subject items, typical construction methodology and production rates, and equipment used. The designer should contact these resources to develop basic cost data for materials, labor and equipment. Continue to adjust the amount of uncertainty applied to each bid item.

Risks should be identified, and a risk management plan developed to be included in the estimate notebook for future reference.

4.3.2 Potential Challenges
All changes, assumptions, and data origins should be clearly documented by using the Basis of Estimate (See Chapter 7. Documentation/Basis of Estimate). This is particularly important because any future estimates will be compared with this one to justify changes in the cost of the project.

Individuals estimating quantities or unit costs should guard against false precision; assuming a level of precision not inherent to this level of estimate. Although a properly developed estimate will include well documented assumptions, many of the details impacting project cost are not defined at the time a scoping level estimate is done.

It is important to choose the correct unit costs for major items and then correctly escalate those costs to current dollars. This includes rounding costs (and quantities) to an appropriate significant figure.
Significant project definition continues to be developed until the project is ready for advertisement. Use appropriate item allowances and ranges for estimates.

If cost-based estimation techniques are used, pay special attention to documenting all of the assumptions made in the development of unit prices such as the crew size, crew make up, production rates, equipment mix and type. The costs assumed for contractor overhead and profit as well as for subcontractor work should also be clearly documented. It is important to remember these decisions may not reflect the decisions of the individual contractors bidding the job, thus introducing elements of risk into the estimate.

Use sound risk identification and quantification practices to ensure major risks to the project are identified and documented.

4.4 Final Design Phase

During this phase, the design of the selected alternative identified during the environmental studies phase is finalized. In this phase the project scope is finalized, detailed project design schedule and cost estimates are developed, and project benefits are fully determined. The right-of-way requirements are also determined and acquisition is initiated. Additionally, utilities relocation is initiated toward the end of this phase. At the end of this phase the project design and cost estimate are completed and the project is advertised for construction.

The base cost estimate is prepared for the final contract review in preparation for advertisement and is used to obligate construction funds and to evaluate contractor’s bids.

During Final Design Phase the schedule should be based on the actual man hours needed to complete the remaining work and guaranteed delivery dates from major project contributors. Before submitting the project workbook and plans, the designer should ensure quantity calculations have been cross checked. It is preferable another Design Team checks the plans against the base cost estimate. With every revision to the base cost estimate the Basis of Estimate document needs to be updated.

4.4.1 Techniques

The project has matured to a point design engineers are able to specify all items of work required for the project and accurately estimate quantities and unit prices. This level of project estimate has the advantage of detailed understanding of project scope and conditions. If the person providing information to the estimate is from outside the Project Team, they should take special care to understand the details of the project, including performing a detailed review of the plans and specifications.

All quantities and unit prices should reflect current knowledge at the time of the estimate. At this point in the life of the estimate there should be no placeholder for miscellaneous item allowance. Clearly document the development of, and adjustments to, line item quantities and prices. This is critical for both the review of the estimate and the review of bids prior to award. This data should be clearly defined and identified in the estimate file.

Historical bid-based methodologies should be used for most items of work where historical data is available. Cost-based estimating methodologies can be used for those items with little or no NDOT bid history, or to check major items of work significantly impacting the total project cost. Review the risks again and update the Project Risk Management Plan with the current base cost estimate information.
4.4.2 Potential Challenges

Reviews of estimates should be extensive and detailed and should include final independent QA/QC checks of calculations, prices and assumptions. The basis of estimate and overall estimate documentation package should be carefully reviewed to make sure they are complete, accurate and easily understood, and all numbers, from detailed backup to summary levels, are traceable.

- Major quantities and cost drivers should be carefully checked to assure they have been properly calculated (proper conversion factors have been used and allowances applied to neat line quantities if applicable).
- Specialty group estimates should be reviewed for both scope and cost.
- Contract special provisions should be carefully reviewed and cost and schedule impacts incorporated into the engineer’s estimate.
5. Important Factors Impacting Base Cost Estimation

There are many important factors influencing a base cost estimate and its uncertainty. These factors and the amount they affect the base cost estimate needs to be clearly shown in the basis of estimate document. The impact they have on unit prices and quantities is not to be duplicated in the identified risks of the project.

5.1 Geographic Considerations

Geographic considerations can have a profound effect on the selection of unit bid prices. The project’s location, whether it is in an urban, suburban, or rural setting should be considered in establishing unit bid prices. Remember that some of the cost considerations relating to a project's location may be accounted for by the contractor in the mobilization bid item.

A project in an urban setting generally has to contend with construction operations occurring in more confined work spaces, with greater volumes of traffic, and limited hours of operations, night time work, etc. Some of these factors may be offset by availability of local contractors, materials, equipment and personnel.

Transportation costs can be significant if items and materials need to travel more than the usual distance to get to the project site. Projects located in rural or remote locations have factors that affect the establishment of unit bid prices contrary to projects located in urban settings. Construction operations may have less restricted work areas, less traffic to contend with, and additional hours to complete the work; all factors that increase productivity. On the other hand, materials, equipment and personnel may have to be brought in from out of the area which may increase costs related to transportation, support, wages, per diem, etc. Access issues can also affect unit bid prices.

On projects that utilize large quantities of aggregate, whether for base, surfacing, or earthwork, the location of material sources and disposal sites may have a large impact on costs. Nearby material sources or disposal sites reduce hauling costs. On rural projects, the cost of bringing in a concrete batch plant, hot mix asphalt plant, or similar facility, may increase unit bid prices.

Terrain may also be a consideration in establishing an items cost. Mountainous terrain and steep grades cause production rates to fall, whereas level terrain and straight roadways generally have the opposite effect.

Varying soil conditions are covered under geotechnical conditions.

5.2 Quantity Considerations

The quantity of a given material on a project impacts the unit cost of constructing and/or supplying that item. This is not simply a supply and demand issue, but also one of production efficiency and economy of scale. Generally speaking, the unit price for larger quantities of a given material will be less than smaller quantities. Suppliers offer discounts for larger quantity orders. Mobilization, overhead and profit are all spread out over a larger quantity, thus reducing their affect on each unit. Waste is also spread over a larger quantity thereby having a smaller impact on each unit. Larger quantities also give rise to efficiency by gained experience and expertise of the contractor’s personnel in completing the work.
Projects with very large quantities of certain materials may actually cause an increase to the unit bid price. For example, a project with numerous or large structures may affect the market for a particular type of steel or the availability of cement.

Small quantities of items of work are less cost effective to construct and hence lead to higher unit prices. Not only do suppliers charge more for smaller purchases, but in some instances the minimum amount to be purchased is greater than the needed quantity. Small quantities do not generally allow for high production rates or other efficiencies and thus cause higher unit costs. Smaller quantity items are also frequently subcontracted out. This practice increases a contractor’s overhead and usually results in a markup being applied to those items.

5.3 Scheduling/Lead Time

To be efficient, a contractor needs to optimize the scheduling of his resources including labor, equipment and materials. When a contractor can plan for and maximize his resources, he can become more competitive in his bidding. However, the lead time needs to be considered in the estimating process by estimating the project based upon when it is expected to be built. For example, a project two seasons long may have the majority of its paving in either the first or the second year.

5.4 Difficult Construction/Site Constraints

Difficult construction and site constraints will increase the cost of construction for a contractor. Placing piles under water, working near active railroads, nearby historical buildings (possibly fragile), construction on or near culturally important or environmentally sensitive/hazardous sites, and limited room to construct an item are all examples of constraints that should be considered.

5.5 Methods of Payment

5.5.1 Lump Sum Items

From an estimating standpoint, lump sum bid items are often more difficult to price. Lump sum items can reduce administrative costs in contract administration, as well as allowing a contractor a variety of work means and methods, and thus do make sense in some instances. They also transfer the risk of performance and quantities to the contractor.

If the work to be performed can be quantified, then a payment method including a quantity should be used. However, lump sum bid items are often used when an item of work can only be defined in general terms, such as when the finished product can be defined but not all the components or details can be easily determined. This can make estimating lump sum items difficult.

The more information and breakdown of a lump sum item availability, the greater the likelihood accurate lump sums can be developed. A lump sum item should be defined in terms of its simplest, most basic components and should consider other factors not easily estimated. By breaking out a lump sum item into smaller items of work which have historical data, and then applying reasonable estimated prices to those sub units, a price for the overall lump sum item can be accurately established.
Lump sum items are typically bid at higher costs than component costs due to the transfer of risk from the owner to the contractor. Contractors cannot necessarily rely on overruns to cover work they did not foresee. Therefore, the use of lump sum items should be used with great care.

In some situations, as may be the case for a time-based lump sum bid item such as Traffic Control, the lump sum payment may provide the basis as an incentive to perform the work more quickly. In such a situation, hourly pay items offer no incentive, and may even cause the contractor to stay in the work zone as long as possible. However, in most situations using lump sum bid items will lead to higher contractor bids.

With this in mind lump sum items should only be used when the following conditions apply:

a) The lump sum item is a standard item with no appropriate alternative non-lump sum standard item available for use.
b) The work is not easily defined. In other words, the final product is known, but the construction techniques or other components are difficult to determine.
c) Complex items with many components (although the designer is encouraged to break down constituent items if possible).
d) The lump sum payment may be justified as an incentive to complete the work in a more timely or efficient manner than if other units of measure were used.
e) The lump sum item may be justified as less expensive than a force account item (see below) or where the risk assumed by the contractor is low.

The use of a lump sum item must be justified and the work breakdowns documented in the estimate file.

5.5.2 Force Account

Force account is a method of payment that pays the contractor his actual expenses for all labor, materials and equipment to complete the work. Markups for material costs, labor surcharges, overhead and profit may be added to this figure. The force account method of payment is used primarily for “extra work” (i.e., work unforeseen at the time a project is let or advertised and is discovered during construction) or for items of work poorly defined and may or may not be used during construction.

Remember, since the contractor does not usually bid on this work, there is little incentive for him to reduce costs or prosecute the work diligently. Because of this, the force account method of payment should only be used when necessary.

When a reasonable cost estimate for a force account item is required, a scope of work should be established for the work needing to be accomplished. Once the scope is developed, it can be compared to historical bid price data for similar items of work. If no comparable history exists, the force account item should be broken out into its anticipated core components. Historical bid data can be relied upon for those items and the given limitations to come up with a reasonable force account estimate. If no such data exists for even the smaller core items of work, the amount and costs of labor, materials and equipment to execute the work may need to be estimated (i.e.: use the cost-based method of estimating).

Note: Force account may also be considered a tool for transferring risk from the contractor to the owner. If the work is properly directed by the project inspector, force account may actually cost less than an equivalent lump sum item.
Use of force account items and their estimated cost must be documented and justified in the project estimate file.

5.6 **Timing of Advertisement**

When a project is advertised and subsequently bid has a major influence on the bid prices. Contractors typically have a time of year that is busier than others. This is when contractors prefer to do the majority of their work. This is normally directly correlated with the weather and occurs when the conditions are the most conducive for construction activities (such as asphalt paving).

Appropriate timing of advertisement can also be affected by other items like permit windows and HMA paving windows or other outside constraints. The best time to advertise a project is several months before the work season for that type of work to allow time for contract execution and for the contractor to mobilize their resources in time to take full advantage of the work season.

If a contractor has fully allocated his resources for the season then they are less likely to bid on a project and, if they do bid, it is in a less competitive environment. For this reason there is a benefit to NDOT to advertise a project as soon as possible prior to the peak season, to allow the contractor time to plan, schedule and seek as many opportunities as possible to find efficiencies in their work plan. This also creates a more competitive bid climate and lower bid prices.

The person preparing the final base cost estimate needs to be aware of the timing of the advertisement and account for any expected fluctuations in bid prices due to the season such as lower production during temperature extremes, additional protections for weather sensitive materials, and so forth.

5.7 **Specialty Work**

Specialty items are not necessarily new items or new construction methods, but are items somehow different than the majority of the work on a given project. For example, a pavement rehabilitation project the signal work may be classified as specialty work, although it would not be classified as such on a project predominately signal and lighting work. Projects including specialty work or comprising totally of specialty work items need to be characterized correctly when estimating. Estimating the cost of specialty items requires a thorough understanding of the work and the resources required to complete the items.

When estimating specialty work, seek the advice of subject matter experts. When estimating specialty items utilizing historical bid data, the similarities and differences between the historical project(s) and the project at hand must be fully accounted for in the development of the estimate.

Another factor to consider is the number of qualified contractors capable of doing the project or elements of work. Other examples of specialty work may be landscaping, guideposts, fencing or mechanical rehabilitation of moveable bridge components. Specialty work should be reviewed by staff familiar with that particular type of work.
5.8 **Standard Items vs. Non-Standard Items**

Standard items are familiar to both NDOT and the contractors. These items of work typically represent a known quantity and quality to both NDOT and the contractor, and bid history tends to reflect that. When an item is changed in some way to become a non-standard item then uncertainty is introduced. This uncertainty typically results in an increased price for the item, especially the first time contractors see it in a contract. Typical practice should be to use standard items whenever possible. When a standard item is changed and becomes “non-standard” it should be recognized the price will differ from the historical prices.

5.9 **First Time Used**

On occasion, items of work are included in a project NDOT has little or no historical data to use to establish unit prices. In these instances, similar items may provide some guidance, but additional investigative work may be necessary. If the item is thought to be of minor significance, there may be little benefit in spending much time in determining a reasonable bid price. If the item is considered major or is likely to be significant to the overall project bid, research should be conducted to establish a cost.

Contacting others who are familiar in the use of the item can usually help in determining a cost. Suppliers, other state departments of transportation, Port Authorities, R.S. Means Publications, and even contractors can be valuable resources in establishing costs. Be wary of relying on estimates from a single contractor or source. Multiple sources should be utilized in developing an estimate for first time used items.

If the item in question is unique in some manner (innovative, new or experimental) or it is considered a specialty item, costs may need to be adjusted to account for the contractor’s lack of experience with it and the potential increased risk in construction. If the work is likely to be subcontracted out then the prime contractor may also add a markup to the subcontractor’s price.

5.10 **Geotechnical Conditions**

General assumptions about soil conditions may be made early in the estimating process, but they may turn out to be wrong. As the estimate progresses, geotechnical data may help improve the information and prevent costly change orders and claims. In the early estimates the assumptions regarding soil conditions and the potential effects of unknown soil conditions should be clearly documented.

A common estimate omission is an improper allowance for shrink and swell of material. The Materials Division should be consulted to determine the appropriate shrink or swell factor to use. Soil conditions can be a significant cost risk to a project. Risk-based cost estimation techniques should be utilized to quantify geotechnical risks if they pose a significant threat or opportunity.

5.11 **Permit Conditions**

Throughout the stages of planning, scoping, and design, various projections of permit conditions for construction can be obtained from the Environmental Services Division. Engaging these groups early may help identify specific permits or conditions that can drive up construction costs and identify opportunities to avoid costly environmental conflicts.
Considerable costs may be required due to storm water collection and treatment, wetland protection and mitigation, hazardous material testing, containment and treatment, and removal and disposal of underground fuel tanks, creosote timbers, contaminated soils, and potential groundwater contamination.

5.12 Market Conditions

Do not adjust unit bid prices to account for market conditions, identify the risks instead. NDOT does however include amounts (bid items) for fuel, asphalt oil and steel escalation but there should not be a blanket escalation factor for all bid items to account for market conditions.

5.12.1 Other Contracts

Multiple projects being advertised at the same time can influence bid prices in much the same way as lack of competition and availability. The contractors only have so many resources available to develop bids for projects. In the case of large projects, a contractor may not have the resources to develop bids for more than one project at a time. The most prudent course of action in this case is to manage the program of projects to ensure that this does not become an influencing factor on the bids.

Typically with four or more bidders the affect on the bid amount is negligible. Consideration should be given to what extent the reduction below the normal number of bidders will influence the bid amount. The probability of the occurrence of this risk should be evaluated by the Project Manager. Common mitigation strategies include timing of the Advertisement, and work packaging.

Another factor to consider in a multiple contract environment is the resources required for the projects and if multiple active projects will create conflicts in an area. For example, multiple large-scale bridge projects in a given area may create a shortage in structural steel or skilled labor. In these cases the ability of the market to support multiple projects must be taken into consideration.

Having multiple contracts in an area may create conflicts between the projects. These could include traffic control, labor issues, direct coordination issues, and similar issues. These conflicts need to be considered in the calculation of production rates and subsequent bid item prices. Project Managers should be aware of adjoining projects and nearby work (even from other Districts or local agencies). There may be opportunities for collaboration and coordination that will result in more competitive bids and better maintenance of traffic.

5.12.2 Item Availability

Item availability is another type of market condition that should be treated as a risk. Materials that are readily available, or ones that are commonly used, are generally less expensive to purchase and install/construct. Materials that are in short supply are more expensive.

Large quantities of materials required in a short period of time may result in a temporary shortfall in product availability and potential cost increase or delay to a project.
5.12.3 Expected Competition/Contractor Availability

Contractor availability should be treated as a risk. Projects that are advertised for bids late in the season or after contractors have scheduled their work for the year, can expect higher bid prices. This is due to the lack of competition or contractor availability. Projects that are bid during a period of time when a large number of contractors are available are bid more competitively. Contractors know that they must bid the lowest possible price to be able to get the contract.

5.13 Other Funding Sources/Agreement Work for Others

Whether or not a project is expected to receive contributions from outside funding sources should be documented in the Basis of Estimate. Federal funding, participation from local agencies (e.g.; participation in intersection improvements), or funding from public/private partnerships should all be documented.

5.14 Project Delivery Methods

The type of transportation project delivery mechanism typically is determined based on the project risk factors, schedule, funding, and goals. Cost adjustments should be on a case by case basis. Any adjustments to unit bid prices based on alternative delivery method need to be clearly documented in the Basis of Estimate and uncertainties.

Possible delivery mechanism may include:

**Design-Bid-Build (DBB)** - DBB is the traditional project delivery method in which NDOT designs a project and awards a construction contract to the lowest bidder based on the agency’s completed construction documents. NDOT “owns” the details of design during construction and the risk associated with any changed conditions, unknowns, errors, or omissions that are encountered during construction.

**Design-Build (DB)** – A project delivery method that combines two, usually separate services (engineering services and construction) into a single, fixed-fee contract. DB is a project delivery method in which NDOT contracts a single entity to complete design and construction of a project. The design-build entity may be a single firm, a consortium, joint venture or other organization assembled for a particular project. Characteristically, a project is approximately 25 to 30 percent designed with a well-defined scope and knowledge of project risks at the point invitations to bid are requested via a value-based procurement. The design-builder retains the risks associated with design, quantities, constructability, etc. normally retained by NDOT, resulting in greater cost and schedule certainty.

**Construction Manager At-Risk (CMAR)** - Owner interviews and selects a CMAR firm based upon qualifications and experience, before the design and bidding documents are complete. The CMAR, Designer and Owner work together to develop and design the project. Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) is provided by the CMAR during design phase. The CMAR then receives proposals from and awards subcontracts to subcontractors. The Design Team is selected separately and reports to Owner.
CMAR is a project delivery method by which NDOT leads a coordinated team, which works to develop design and construction documents in a manner to minimize overall project risk, improve project delivery schedule, and apply potential innovation to meet or exceed project goals. The other two members of the team, the designer and contractor, individually and independently are contracted and directly are accountable to NDOT.

Characteristically, a project is approximately 5 to 10 percent designed with a partially defined scope and vague knowledge and definition of associated risk when invitations to participate on the project’s pre-construction team are released. The procurement of the contractor is done through qualifications and/or value-based selection for pre-construction and construction services. The contractor is obtained early in the design phase, allowing for the contractor to offer expertise with regard to the schedule, budget, constructability, as well as the identification, evaluation, and mitigation of risk.

Upon final design of the project, or a portion thereof, NDOT will ask the contractor to submit a fixed-price bid. The agency and the contractor may negotiate reassignment of risk if NDOT finds the bid too high. If the parties cannot agree on a price, NDOT may release the project for bid using the DBB method.

The contractor may enter into a fixed-price contract with NDOT based on a reasonable final cost and time of construction (agreeable to NDOT) to complete the project. This method allows the agency to control the development of scope, understand and allocate project risk, encourage the use of new construction techniques, and phase project delivery to reduce overall delivery costs and schedule.

CMAR is also called General Contractor-Construction Manager (GCCM) or Construction Manager (CM).

Historical unit prices should not be adjusted based on the method of project delivery. For design-build it is typical to include an opportunity in the risk register for contractor innovation. Section 14.4 Risk Allocation/Ownership discusses the transfer of risk depending on the method of project delivery and examples of the types of risks that are transferred. Also see the NDOT Project Delivery Selection Approach (PDSA) on the Project Management Division SharePoint portal.
6. Base Cost Estimation Markups

Along with the cost of work items markups, or ancillary costs for mobilization, preliminary engineering (PE), miscellaneous item allowance in design (only for historical bid-based, cost-based, and risk-based methods), construction engineering (CE) and construction contingencies need to be applied to the base cost estimate.

6.1 Mobilization

Mobilization is a contract pay item used to cover a contractor’s preconstruction expenses and the costs of preparatory work and operations. Since there is no clear list defining this work effort and since contractors have the ability to adjust their bids as needed to cover these expenses, there are no true rules as to what percentage should be used per contract.

When determining mobilization for a project, consideration should be given to location; complexity; the need for specialized equipment; the type of work; and the working season if it extends over more than one construction season. Projects that would probably require a higher mobilization percentage include rural vs. urban; projects with multiple work sites; projects with numerous preparatory removal items; projects with large quantities of excavation; or projects extending over two seasons where the contractor would be expected to shut down operations and move out.

For projects over $100 million set mobilization at 7% of the construction bid items. Approval from the Project Manager is needed if a lower or higher percentage for mobilization is warranted within the base cost estimate.

6.1.1 Alternative Delivery Methods

Mobilization is calculated the same for design-build and construction manager at-risk (CMAR) methods of project delivery.

6.2 Miscellaneous Item Allowance

Sometimes referred to as “minor items” or “design allowance”, miscellaneous item allowance is typically meant to cover a variety of possible events and problems that are not specifically identified or quantified. It is also used to account for a lack of project definition during the preparation of planning estimates.

Misuse and failure to define what specific allowances amounts cover can lead to estimate problems. It is a mistake to use miscellaneous item allowances or construction contingency funds to cover added scope as then those amounts are not available to cover the item(s) for which they were originally intended.

During the Planning Phase the miscellaneous item allowance is typically a percentage of the identified construction items.

As the project moves into the Environmental Phase the allowance amounts should be identified with specific unknowns so they can be managed appropriately as the design progresses. An example would be that a miscellaneous item allowance for storm sewer system would be placed under the drainage section of the estimate. This will assist in review of the estimate and help the Project Manager and Team, manage this item appropriately.
In Final Design these percentages need to be changed to individual dollar amounts. As the design progresses and items and additional quantities are identified from the miscellaneous item allowance amounts should be reduced.

6.2.1 Alternative Delivery Methods

Miscellaneous item allowances are calculated and used in the same way for design-build and construction manager at-risk (CMAR) methods of project delivery.

6.3 Preliminary Engineering

Preliminary Engineering (PE) percentages can be used at early stages (planning and environmental) to estimate the cost of design for a project. These percentages will vary by project type and total dollar amount of the project. On average, historical PE costs for NDOT designed projects are approximately 6-10% of construction costs depending on the project.

As the project moves through design, the PE cost should reflect actual costs to date plus the anticipated costs. This should be the case regardless of whether the project is NDOT designed, consultant designed or a combined NDOT/consultant design. The PE cost should reflect the entire PE costs not just NDOT designed or consultant designed costs.

For projects that include consultant design, PE costs can be higher than for projects designed by NDOT. The cost of design by consultant is almost always more than the typical NDOT design costs due to a variety of factors. All consultant design costs are developed and approved based on a scope of work and a man-hour estimate.

The cost to support right of way activities is not included within the PE cost. Right of way support and acquisition costs are a separate line within the base cost estimate and are typically supplied by the Right of Way Division.

6.3.1 Alternative Delivery Methods

If design-build is chosen as the method of project delivery then the cost of preliminary engineering is split between the owner (20-40%) and the design-builder (60-80%). With design-build project delivery, NDOT has historically used consultants to assist with contract preparation and construction administration. Along with the design costs a stipend maybe paid to the proposers in accordance to procedures outlined in the Pioneer Program Guidelines.

If the delivery method of construction manager at-risk (CMAR) is used then additional funds may need to be added to the PE costs to cover the costs of this delivery method.

6.4 Construction Engineering

Construction Engineering percentages are only a guideline and can be altered upon recommendation of the Construction Engineering Division or the Project Manager. As a starting point the following percentages can be used:

- 5% - projects costing over $7 million
- 10% - projects costing $4 million to $7 million
- 15% - projects costing under $4 million
Alternatively:

- 5% - 15% at the discretion of the project manager with input from the Construction Engineering Division, and input from the Design Division if an in-house project.

6.4.1 Alternative Delivery Methods
For design-build projects the cost of construction engineering for the owner is typically in the range of 60-75% of a similar design-bid-build project. This amount would not include the design review done by the owner. The remaining 25-40% of the construction engineering amount would go towards the design-builders QA/QC costs. With design-build project delivery, NDOT has historically always used consultants to assist with the contract preparation and construction administration.

6.5 Construction Contingency
“Contingency percentages” are set up to handle unforeseen changes in a project during construction, including additional work, quantity over-runs, and additional items.

For NDOT projects, construction contingency should be set to:

- 7% of the construction contract total if the estimate is less than $3 million
- 5% if the estimate is between $3 million and $25 million
- 3% if the estimate is greater than $25 million.

Caution should be exercised by the risk elicitors during CRA workshops to not double count the construction contingencies with identified risks.

6.5.1 Alternative Delivery Methods
Design-Build and CMAR project delivery methods need the same amount of contingency to handle unforeseen changes in a project during construction, including additional work, quantity over-runs, and additional items.

6.6 Escalation
Escalation data for construction, right of way, and engineering elements should be determined to a sufficient level that these factors may be applied in the quantitative risk analysis.

All base-cost estimates shall be in current year dollars. The base cost estimate is built using current market prices, or historical bid prices escalated to current market values, to estimate project costs. Major project expenditures typically will be incurred over several years, so the future prices of goods and supplies must be accounted for during the risk assessment workshop. Future prices are determined by forecasting escalation rates, which represent the annual rates of growth.

Escalation is tracked for key construction elements as well as broad construction indices. These annual growth rate forecasts are constructed using historical datasets of construction elements drawn from various sources.
For construction materials, sources such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Engineering News-Record are used to develop forecast escalation rates based on historical series in combination with market analysis and insight. Because project engineering costs are mostly dependant on local wages for labor, local wage series are used in developing escalation rates.

Right of way acquisition costs are reflected by local real estate trends in terms of property values. A number of indices can be used to reflect these local market trends, including the Federal Housing Finance Agency indices of property values and the S&P Case-Shiller home price indices. These rates are then mapped to specific project activities within the flowchart schedule, which was discussed above.

Escalation can vary for individual construction elements because each is subject to its own supply and demand forces, which in turn may be influenced by local, national, or international factors. The estimation of future escalation rates is drawn from consideration of historical price changes, potential changes in the market conditions, and the ensuing supply and demand responses. Several common cost components are typically used in the composition of overall project costs depending on the tasks involved. These factors may include:

- Concrete
- Steel
- Asphalt
- Aggregate
- Fuel
- Lumber and wood products
- Machinery and equipment
- Electrical and lighting equipment
- Right of way
- Labor

Overall construction cost escalation is a combination of construction elements using the proportional weight of each construction component and the escalation rate for each component. The escalation tables used for risk-based cost estimation shall be provided by the NDOT Project Management Division.
6.7 Application of Markups

To avoid duplication of costs, markups should be applied (calculated) within the base cost estimate as shown in Table 4 below. The percentages used in the table are for example purposes only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identified Bid Items</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Item Allowance (15%, see Section 6.2 for discussion)</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bid Item Sub-Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,150,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobilization (7%, see Section 6.1 for discussion)</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,230,500</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Engineering (15%, see Section 6.4 for discussion)</td>
<td>$184,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering (10%, see Section 6.3 for discussion)</td>
<td>$123,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Contingency (7%, see Section 6.5 for discussion)</td>
<td>$86,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Support (Determined on a project by project basis)</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Acquisition (Determined on a project by project basis)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Relocation (Determined on a project by project basis)</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Total (Base Cost)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,819,260</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 – Applying markups within the Base Cost Estimate

Any percentage for miscellaneous item allowance needs to be added to the identified bid items before mobilization can be calculated. This gives us the Contract Total for the project.

Items such as construction and preliminary engineering, contingency are all percentages of the Contract Total.

Right of way costs and NDOT paid utility relocations are added to the Contract Total to give the Base Cost or Project Total.
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7. Documentation/Basis of Estimate

Documentation is a key element in good estimating practice. The estimate file should be a well organized, easy to follow history from the first estimate at the beginning of the planning phase through preparation of the final estimate. The basis of estimate document, described in this section, contains recommended organization, topics and format.

Each estimate should track changes from the previous estimate, updating the scope, assumptions, quantity and price calculations, and risks from the previous estimate. At each update the differences between the previous estimate and the current estimate should be highlighted. This contributes to transparency and accountability in estimating and promotes the consistency between estimates.

Clear documentation is particularly important as the project passes from one group to another, or as team member’s change, the BOE needs to be transparent to reviewers both inside and outside NDOT. The project estimate file should follow the project through the various stages so that each new estimate can be easily tied to the previous one.

7.1 Techniques

Several techniques can be employed to ensure clear documentation. It is recommended that estimating be specifically scheduled in the project management plan for each phase of the project. This ensures that adequate time and resources are allotted for performing the estimate.

A specific schedule should be developed for each estimate that includes the steps shown in Figure 5 in Chapter 2. Cost Estimating Process of this manual.

As part of the estimate review process, an external estimator to the Project Team (NDOT staff or consultant) should perform a review of the estimate file. This external review will help ensure that the assumptions and decisions made in the estimating process have been clearly recorded.

7.2 Basis of Estimate (BOE)

The BOE is characterized as the one deliverable that defines the scope of the project, and ultimately becomes the basis for change management. When the BOE is prepared correctly, NDOT and project stakeholders can use it to understand and assess the estimate, independent of any other supporting documentation.

A well-written BOE achieves these goals by clearly and concisely stating the purpose of the prepared estimate (i.e. cost study, project options, benefit/cost study, funding, etc.), the project scope, pricing basis, allowances, assumptions, exclusions, cost risks and opportunities, and any deviations from standard practices.

The BOE is a documented record of pertinent communications that have occurred and agreements that have been made between the Project Manager, Project Team and other project stakeholders. A template to help in the development of a Basis of Estimate document is included in the appendix of this manual.

A well prepared basis of estimate will:

- Document the overall project scope.
- Document the items that are excluded from the project scope.
- Document the key project assumptions.
- Communicate the knowledge of the project by demonstrating an understanding of scope and schedule as it relates to cost.
- Alert the Project Team to potential cost risks and opportunities.
- Provide a record of key communications made during estimate preparation.
- Provide a record of all documents used to prepare the estimate.
- Act as a source of support during dispute resolutions and for bid analysis.
- Establish the initial baseline for scope, quantities and cost for use in cost trend evaluation throughout the project.
- Provide the historical relationships between estimates throughout the project lifecycle.
- Facilitate the review and validation of the cost estimate.

The primary intent of this document is to provide a guideline for the topics and contents to be included in typical BOE. Objectives of significance when preparing a BOE include:

- Factually complete, yet concise.
- Ability to support your facts and findings.
- Identify estimating team members and their roles (including specialty groups).
- Describe the tools, techniques, estimating methodology, and data used to develop the cost estimate.
- Identify other projects that were referenced or benchmarked during estimate preparation.
- Develop and update the cost estimate and the BOE concurrently.
- Establish the context of the estimate, and support review and validation.
- Qualify any rates or factors that are referenced either in the estimate or BOE; e.g. productivity can be expressed as either units/time (linear feet/hour) or time/units (hours/linear foot).

The following headings should be included in the basis of estimate document:

**Project Purpose**

This initial section of the BOE provides a brief and concise description of the project. The type and purpose of project should be identified; as well as the location of the project, and the overall timing of the project.

**Project Scope Description**

This section of the BOE should be organized to correspond with the project’s work breakdown structure (i.e., roadway, bridge, structure, etc.). A concise description of the scope of work should be provided for each major segment of the project. Identify major items of work. Be as thorough as necessary, without being overly descriptive, so as to adequately explain the scope of work being estimated.
Estimate Methodology
This section describes the primary estimating methodology used to prepare the cost estimate. This should include documentation of the use of cost resources, historical data and project benchmarking. Documenting the level of effort (i.e.; man-hours and resource allocation) used in preparation of the estimate may also be helpful. The schedule for the estimate can be listed here.

Design Basis
In this section of the BOE, the types and status of engineering and design deliverables that were provided to prepare the estimate including any design basis assumptions will be identified. NDOT Design standards and guidelines will typically specify the technical and project information required for the classification of the estimate that is being prepared.

Attachments to the estimate basis should be referenced, such as a listing of all engineering drawings (including revision number and date), a well as other design information, such as specifications, equipment lists, units of measure, planning, scoping, etc.

In addition it may be useful for certain projects to document specific quantity metrics, such as excavation and backfill quantities, concrete volumes, piping quantities, etc. These may be organized by the work breakdown structure of the major items of work.

Planning Basis
This section of the BOE documents the project management, engineering, design, procurement, fabrication, and construction approaches to the project. The contracting and resource strategies should be identified, as well as any assumptions that were made with regard to the workweek schedule (hours worked per day, days worked per week, shifts worked per day, work windows, night/day work, phasing, etc.) and planned use of overtime.

Any assumptions made regarding constructability, modularization, use of specialized construction equipment should also be noted here. The project schedule and key milestones should also be identified.

Cost Basis
This section of the BOE describes the methods and sources used to determine the cost for each listed item. Identify the following pricing sources used: (NDOT Historical, Cost-based, etc.)

- Pricing source and methodology for all Contracting Agency costs (project management, engineering, design, etc.).
- Mobilization percentage used (if different than 7%) and why.
- Escalation indices used, and the method of calculation (including duration). (NOTE: this is to bring historical prices to current dollars. It is not for estimating or predicting future prices.)
- Location factors used and the basis for these factors.
- Influence of local market conditions.
- Portions of the estimate provided by specialty groups.
- Right-of-way cost and pricing source (including acquisition and relocation costs, if applicable).
Any other pricing factors or external influences that may have a significant impact on project cost should be identified.

**Miscellaneous Item Allowances**

This section of the BOE should describe any other costs that have not been detailed in the body of the estimate, such as lump sum allowances for specific areas of the scope or any other factored costs not described elsewhere in the estimate basis. This is sometimes called design allowance or minor items. See Section 6.2 Miscellaneous Item Allowance of this manual for additional detail.

Miscellaneous item allowances are typically meant to cover a variety of possible events and items that have been identified but not specifically quantified. They can also be used to account for a lack of project definitions during the preparation of planning estimates. Misuse and failure to define what cost allowance amounts cover can lead to estimate problems. It is a mistake to use an allowance amount to cover added scope as the allowance is then not available to cover the items it was originally intended for.

**Assumptions**

Any other assumptions made by the designers or Project Team but not documented elsewhere in the estimate basis should be included in this section. This may include such assumptions as raw materials costs such as asphalt oil, cement, steel, etc. See Chapter 5. Important Factors impacting Base Cost Estimation of this manual.

This should also include the effect of jobsite conditions on labor rates and productivity, and material/equipment costs; crew/equipment compositions for major items of work that are considered “cost drivers” to the overall estimate and how these composition assumptions translate into unit rates; sequence-of-work assumptions that may not be obvious in the accompanying project schedule; traffic management assumptions; and work calendar assumptions, to include whether certain work must be performed at night or on weekends and why this is the case.

Small assumptions can change into major assumptions throughout the life of a project. Therefore, it is best to document all assumptions.

**Exclusions**

In this section, all potential items of cost that a reviewer might associate with the project, but for which no costs have been included in the estimate should be documented. Wetland mitigation, removal of hazardous wastes, creosote pilings, right of way acquisition, etc. are examples of potential items that may need to be identified. Where NDOT or a third party is providing materials or other scope items to the contractor this should be noted.

**Exceptions**

In this section any anomalies or variances to the NDOT’s standard estimating practices should be identified. Any significant deviations from the project and/or engineering deliverables normally required for the applicable level of estimate should be documented as well. Use the checklist as an attachment to the BOE that will document any exceptions that are identified.
Threats and Opportunities

In this section any areas of the estimate containing significant risks (threats or opportunities) should be identified. If a formal risk analysis study (CRA) has been prepared then it should be included. In particular, this section should identify those cost elements that have been identified with high or very high risk (threat or opportunity) values. The risk analysis report (or summary) should be provided as an attachment to the BOE. This information should be added as the estimate is prepared.

Estimate Quality Assurance

Since estimate reviews are the means for testing the quality of the estimate, this section of the BOE should identify all estimate reviews that have taken place to date and any additional reviews that are scheduled to take place. All review comments or analysis should be included as an attachment to the BOE. For guidance on estimate reviews, see Chapter 9. Independent Estimate/Estimate Review.

Reconciliation

This section should provide an overview of the major differences between the current estimate and the last published estimate prepared for this project. Identify the cost impacts due to scope changes, pricing updates, labor productivity adjustments, estimate refinement, etc. Also provide a reconciliation of all reviews performed and how they were incorporated into the estimate. A more detailed reconciliation or cost trending report can be provided as an additional attachment if necessary.

Benchmarking

This section should document any comparisons of overall estimate metrics, ratios, and factors with similar projects, historical data, and industry data. Projects used in the benchmark comparisons should be similar in process type and overall value. If significant variations of the estimated project costs versus the benchmarks exist, those inconsistencies should be identified and commented upon. A more detailed benchmark analysis report may be included as an attachment to the BOE.

Project Funding and Scheduling

The request for scheduling and programming was submitted to Financial Management on MM/DD/YY and revised on MM/DD/YY.

The Project Scope/Budget Change and Update and Programming/STIP Revision Request Form were submitted on MM/DD/YY. This form shall be processed and submitted with each Preliminary, Intermediate, 90%, and PS&E project milestone submittals.

Estimating Team

In this final section, all members of the estimating team should be identified and their roles and responsibilities defined.

Attachments

Several supporting documents will generally be included with the Basis of Estimate.
Attachment A: Base Cost Estimate

Include a current copy of the line item base cost estimate with uncertainties (see Figures 6-9 in Section 3.3). The base cost estimate needs to include the appropriate markups (Figure 10) according to Chapter 6. Base Cost Estimation Markups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contract Total</th>
<th>$186,961,606</th>
<th>$205,847,304</th>
<th>$224,570,146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Uncertainty</td>
<td>-9.2%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IV. Non-Bid Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering EST (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Engineering EST (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDOT Std Construction Contingency EST (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Relocations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10 – Example Application of Markups (w/Uncertainty)

Attachment B: Reference Documents

Document the drawings, manuals, texts, notes, specifications, and other references used in developing the estimate. Identify the revisions and date of issue for key documents. All documents used as references or resources upon which the estimate was based should be listed in a way similar to the formality of a bibliography, including revision numbers and document dates.

Attachment C: Schedule Documents

Document the project design and construction schedule, including working days, shift assumptions, key milestones and critical path activities.

Additional Attachments

Include any other attachments that may be necessary or required (reconciliation report, benchmarking report, risk analysis report, escalation calculations, etc.).

Level of Detail in the Basis of Estimate

It is often not a simple matter to determine just how much detail should be provided in a BOE. Several factors may come into play during the preparation of the cost estimate that will help determine the answer.

Level of Project Definition

Estimates are prepared at various stages of a project. While a more detailed estimate will generally require a more detailed BOE; this is not always the case. A conceptual estimate will probably be based on a limited amount of scope definition but it may require a more detailed basis of estimate.
It's not uncommon for the BOE for a conceptual estimate to be more thorough than one prepared for a more detailed estimate because there are often more assumptions made at the conceptual stage of a project that require greater documentation. Conversely, there may be times when the project definition is so complete or simplistic that a BOE does not require a great amount of detail. In the later case, a three or four page document may be sufficient to convey the BOE.

**Cost Value of the Project**

A more expensive project will typically require a more detailed BOE. However, projects of lesser cost may also require an extensive BOE to fully communicate major assumptions that constrain or reduce the cost.

**Type of Project**

The type of project can also affect the level of detail in a BOE. For example, the BOE for an overlay project in a rural area may be less detailed than a new interchange project on the interstate.

**Other Factors**

Other factors that may affect the level of detail in a BOE are the projects milestones and deliverables list, consideration for new technologies, contracting strategy, etc. The BOE should contain a concise level of detail to fully support the review of the estimate by those that have not been a part of the preparation of the estimate. The BOE provides a definition of the scope of the project as estimated, and should establish the basis for change management subsequent to publication of the estimate.
8. Project Schedule

The schedule is a critical component of any major project, with risk considerations that affect project cost and public perception of an agency’s success in delivering the needed infrastructure. Projects are often driven by political delivery expectations, requiring the project to overcome limiting constraints such as environmental, construction, or social issues.

The risk-based cost estimation process requires development of a project schedule to a sufficient level of detail to define the activities necessary for the program delivery. The considerations for and development of the project schedule for input into the risk-based cost estimation process are described below.

8.1 Gantt Chart

The schedule should be created using software approved by the Project Management Division for developing critical path schedules. The resultant product is typically shown as a Gantt chart identifying the critical work path, durations for activities, logic, and total float in each activity, and other such requirements.

This schedule should not be in the detail required for project management and/or construction, but it should show the areas of concern in completing the project, help with risk assessment, show phasing (if applicable), and also show the expected duration of the entire project or program. Items should be at a summary level, and the level of detail should be summarized by activity, phase, and discipline of work.

Contract requirements should be the basis for the schedule, including scope of work, any milestone dates, and stakeholder requirements. At this time, the contract delivery system should be known, such as whether traditional design-bid-build or other alternative delivery methods will be used. Include the appropriate milestones within the project schedule based on the project delivery method. The milestone and deliverable lists for design-bid-build and design-build projects is located on the NDOT Project Management SharePoint site.
Any additional right of way (R/W) required for the project should be addressed and included as an activity or activities in this schedule. This will be a critical activity, with potential impact on the start of construction. The best knowledge of local land acquisition procedures should be used as a basis for determining the duration of these activities and the logic ties to the start of construction activities.

Relocation of existing utilities can affect the start of construction. The schedule should include activities for major crossings or those within the R/W that may take time to relocate, such as major electric transmission lines or large pipelines. Major utility impacts take time to design, procure materials, and schedule construction of relocation. Minor utilities with roadways and intersections may become an activity, although it is probably not critical to the project duration.

Design engineering should be an activity, but will vary depending on the program delivery method. If traditional design-bid-build is proposed, there should be an activity for the design or portion remaining as of the date of the schedule. This activity would then be a predecessor to project letting. Under a design-build scenario, the majority of the design engineering activity would be associated with the design-builder and would reflect a duration of time to develop a sufficient design to enable the start of construction with remaining time allocated for final design completion.

Using preliminary plans, the scheduler should analyze the expected work plan and develop the appropriate activities and logic. Factors considered should include:

- Areas with minimal R/W acquisition impact
- Areas with no major utility relocations
- Phasing of work such as constructing frontage roads first
- Major structures and interchanges
- Overall traffic phasing to minimize impact on the traveling public
- Opening of new work to traffic flow
- Stakeholder requirements

The schedule should be developed with use of the best available design plans. With an approximate 30% plan set, the scheduler can determine the duration, cost, and manpower requirements for major work items. Reality checks should be made of the dollar value of work put in place monthly compared to other major projects. Factors can be used to estimate man-hours required for construction and manpower requirements at peak and average times. These checks will show whether the construction time is realistic as compared to projects of similar size, scope, and complexity.

8.2 Project Flowchart

The flowchart is a simplified representation of the overall project schedule. The purpose of the flowchart is to allow specific risks to be assigned to key activities within the project. Similar activities from an existing schedule that may face similar risks are grouped together. The flowchart should illustrate the logical flow of the project, from preconstruction activities through project completion.
Based on existing project documentation and schedules, the risk team will need to develop a flowchart of the project that reflects key activities, relationships (also referred to as dependencies in risk analysis), and baseline durations. Baseline durations represent the amount of time each activity will consume without any allowances for delays or schedule float. The flowchart serves as the backbone to the risk analysis modeling as costs and risks need to be allocated to each specific flowchart activity. When building the flowchart, excess activity durations or “float” should be removed as the flowchart represents the “best-case” activity durations, in the absence of risk.

The flowchart is different from a Gantt chart as it focuses on key activities that may face similar types of risks at the strategic level rather than at the operational level. For example, a Gantt chart may contain a detailed listing of line item activities related to a particular utility relocation; the flowchart would simplify these activities by combining them into a single duration, with a start and end date. Risks related to this broad activity would be linked directly to it.

All activities on the flowchart should include a title, activity number, and duration. Dependencies between activities should be clearly identified. The flowchart does not need to be made to scale as long as activity durations and dependencies are clearly marked and readable. A sample flowchart is provided in Figure 12. The number of activities within a flowchart will vary based on the nature of the project; however, there should generally be between 10 and 30 activities.

Figure 12 – Sample Flow Chart
The sample flowchart in Figure 12 shows 13 activities, ranging from project initiation to the completion of construction. The flowchart does not have to be to scale; however, it should represent the logical flow of project activities, showing key project activities, milestones, and the relationships between them, as shown by the dependency arrows. The flowchart needs to include constraints such as non-work windows.

In the sample flowchart, milestones are shown as yellow diamonds. Pre-construction activities such as Final Design are shown in blue, utility work and R/W acquisition are shown in green, and construction activities are shown in red. As costs and risks will differ between activity types, it is helpful to provide visual indications of activity types within the flowchart.

Once a flowchart has been established, the information can be presented in a table for additional verification before applying the activities’ durations and dependencies to the schedule portion of the risk model. Table 5 summarizes the project flowchart shown in Figure 12, listing the activity number, activity name, start and end dates, duration, and dependencies. All of the start and end dates as well as the specific dependencies are clearly identified in this table to ensure accurate interpretation of the flowchart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Number</th>
<th>Activity Name</th>
<th>Activity Start</th>
<th>Activity End</th>
<th>Duration (months)</th>
<th>Dependency Activity Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NTP 1</td>
<td>Oct-2009</td>
<td>Oct-2009</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Preliminary Design</td>
<td>Oct-2009</td>
<td>Feb-2010</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NTP 2</td>
<td>Feb-2010</td>
<td>Feb-2010</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Financial Close</td>
<td>Feb-2010</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NTP 3</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Final Design</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construction Utility Adjustments</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Right of Way Acquisition</td>
<td>Jul-2010</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Begin Construction</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6, 7, 8, 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Construction Phase 1</td>
<td>May-2011</td>
<td>May-2012</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Construction Phase 2</td>
<td>May-2012</td>
<td>Jul-2013</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>End Construction</td>
<td>Jul-2013</td>
<td>Jul-2013</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OVERALL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Oct-2009</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jul-2013</strong></td>
<td><strong>45.0</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 – Summary of Project Activities from Flowchart

The table represents the first step in modeling the schedule in order to assess risk impacts. For example, Activity 10, Begin Construction, occurs in May 2011. This activity is dependent on the completion of Activities 6, 7, 8 and 9, as shown in the table. Milestones have zero duration as they mark a key event but are not an activity of their own.
9. Independent Estimate/Estimate Review

Each base cost estimate should have some level of review, as indicated on the flow chart provided in Cost Estimating Process section. Project complexity is the most important driver of the level of estimate review. The level of review should be carefully chosen by the Project Manager.

Estimates are key outputs of the project management process and are fundamental documents upon which key management decisions are based. At the Project Manager’s discretion, all cost estimates may be independently reviewed by estimating staff specialists, subject matter experts, and others as appropriate. The estimate will then be reconciled and revised as needed to respond to independent reviewer comments. In the event of a significant difference of opinion, an estimate reconciliation meeting will be held and the results documented. The final results of the independent review and reconciliation meeting must receive management endorsement before any project is advertised.

Independent reviews (check estimates) should be made by experienced designers who are familiar with the type of work inherent in the project, and who have had no involvement in the development of the project estimate to date. The independent source consults with other independent sources such as other design engineers, construction managers, or other subject matter experts as needed on specialty items of work. Checks performed by independent designers will include but not be limited to:

- Reviewing the estimate file and Basis of Estimate document for completeness and readability
- Ensuring that the name(s) of individual(s) involved in preparing the estimate are shown
- Ensuring that the estimating methodologies are noted by individual item of work
- Reviewing the overall estimate documentation to ensure that it is clear and that figures are traceable from detailed back-up to summary levels
- Conducting a detailed check of the estimate to include:
  - Checking the development of unit rates and quantities of those items that drive the majority of the bottom-line cost (the 20% of the items that typically comprise 80% of the estimated project cost)
  - Making note of comments on unit rates and quantities
  - Checking for mathematical errors

9.1 Internal Project Team

This type of review is the first level of estimate review and is recommended for all estimates. The internal Project Team review should include a quality control check of quantities and prices as well as a quality assurance check that the proper procedures were followed, the documents are complete and clearly understandable and the final costs and schedule is deemed reasonable for the project scope, size, location and complexity.

The advantages of the internal Project Team review are that the Project Team’s schedule is easier to coordinate than outside resources and the reviewers have a base knowledge of the project. A disadvantage is that internal reviews tend to be conducted with the same vision, framework, and assumptions as the Project Team’s. This can lead to a review that does not objectively assess all the parameters that affect the estimate.
9.2 Peer Review

This type of review is similar to the internal Project Team review but uses another Project Team or office to conduct the review. Offices can often perform reviews for each other in this way. The advantage to this type of review over the internal review is that the project gets a review with a fresh perspective on the estimate. This can provide an increased level of confidence in the estimate. This is also a good way to share lessons learned and information between project offices and serves to efficiently utilize fixed engineering resources within the department.

A disadvantage with this type of review is that it is still subject to “group think” because the internal project teams generally all have the same project management and cost estimation philosophy.

9.3 Division Review

This type of review at the Division level is typically more formal. One advantage to this type of review is that the reviewers are external to the Project Team and thus can provide a truly independent perspective on the project. However, the biggest advantage is that the reviewers typically have significantly more experience in performing this type of independent review.

The base cost estimate review process within the NDOT Roadway Division:

1) The Estimator/Roadway Designer prepares the base cost estimate. This includes compiling the information that is provided by other specialty groups such as bridge, environmental, etc.
2) The base cost estimate is then reviewed by the Unit Leader. The Estimator and Unit leader work together and come to agreement on the various work units and unit bid prices.
3) Project Estimation Specialist ensures that the base cost estimate complies with all NDOT guidelines.
4) Working with the Estimator and Unit Leader the Price Checker reviews all the unit bid prices. The Price Checker has the final say regarding the unit bid prices used in the base cost estimate.
5) The Specification Reviewer ensures that all the contract specifications, both standard and project specials are included to describe the work associated with the base cost estimate.

9.4 External

If the project is over $100 million in total cost, a cost risk Assessment or CRA will be needed. An external review of the estimate is required for all CRA processes. This review can provide significant confidence in the estimate that might not be otherwise attainable from internal NDOT resources. Once independent review comments have been satisfactorily incorporated, the revised estimates should be presented to the Project Manager and Project Management Chief for approval.
10. Risk Management Planning

10.1 Introduction

Good risk management planning begins with sound project management practices including review of NDOT organizational policies and guidance, project initiation and team alignment, and project planning steps. Risk management must commence early in the project development process and proceed as project knowledge evolves and project information increases in quantity and quality.

Monitoring project development and risk exposure continues with formal assessments of risk which may occur several times through the life of the project. The Project Manager and Team involved in the project, project management plan development, and risk management plan development must consider the resources needed for project risk management and build it into their project development budget and schedule. Risk management activities, including risk workshops (such as CRA or other meetings) should be part of the project work plan and built into the project schedule and budget.

As the project develops and moves through scoping and early design phases, more knowledge about the project becomes available, see Figure 13. With the rising knowledge about a project’s scope comes an understanding that contending with some elements of the project will require significant additional resources. Such elements could be related to scope, environmental mitigation and permitting, rising cost of right-of-way as corridors develop in advance of the project, utilities, seismic and other considerations.

![Figure 13 – Assessment of Project Cost](image-url)
Risk management is an integral part of the project management process. The primary goal of risk management is to reduce uncertainty and risk exposure and minimize cost/schedule overruns. By identifying project risks and anticipating potential problems during early project development, strategies can be implemented to manage project risks and thus improve project performance.

Risks commonly fall into two broad categories: 1) those that can be identified by the Project Team (sometimes termed “known unknowns”) and 2) those that are beyond the grasp of the Project Team at a particular point in time (sometimes called “unknown unknowns”). Although risk management is commonly applied to the first type of risks – the known unknowns – a good, properly implemented risk management process can help to quantify the risk impact and develop sound plans for alleviating the effect of unanticipated risks – the unknown unknowns. To manage a project effectively, the Project Management Team must account for and be prepared to manage both anticipated and unanticipated risks.

The power of risk management is fully realized when a Project Manager takes action to respond to identified risks based on the risk analysis, with effort being directed toward those risks that rank the highest in terms of significant impact to project objectives.

10.2 Planning for Project Risk Management

A formal project risk management plan is: a detailed plan of action for the management of project risk. Project risk planning involves the thoughtful development, implementation, and monitoring of appropriate risk response strategies. It is the process to develop and document an organized, comprehensive, and interactive risk management strategy; determine the methods to be used to execute a risk management strategy; and plan for adequate resources.

The project risk management plan may be specific in some areas and general in others. The key to this tool is its scalability. Every project should have a formal risk management plan, but the level of detail varies with the project complexity.

10.2.1 What is a Project Risk Management Plan?

The risk management plan is a document that gives a summary of the project and outlines the steps of the risk management process and how the Project Manager and Project Team will approach them. The risk management plan will vary based on the complexity of the project, but most project risk management plans should include an outline similar to the following:

1. Introduction
2. Summary
3. Definitions
4. Organization and roles
5. Risk management strategy/approach
6. Risk identification
7. Risk assessment and analysis
8. Risk response actions/allocation
9. Risk monitoring and control
10.2.2 Why use a project risk management plan?
It explains how a Project Manager and Team manage risk for their project. It provides guidance and requirements, and serves as a communication tool for those who wish to be informed of a project’s risk management approach. The plan formalizes the ideas presented during the risk management process and may clarify some of the assumptions the Project Team has regarding the risk management process.

10.2.3 What does a project risk management plan do?
It provides specific guidance for the Project Team members in all steps of the risk management process for their project. The risk management plan documents the processes to use throughout the project for identifying, assessing, and managing risk.

10.2.4 When to develop and use a project risk management plan?
The formal plan should be developed during the Planning phase and updated during subsequent project development phases.

10.2.5 How to use a project risk management plan?
The risk management plan is developed early in the project by collaboration with as many members of the team as possible. It should be consulted and revised throughout the project development process to guide the project through to completion.

10.2.6 What is the risk management process?
Risk management, as an integral part of project management, occurs on a daily basis. With proactive risk management we look at projects in a comprehensive manner and assess and document risks and uncertainty. The risk management process consists of a series of steps which are applied at various times throughout the life of the project. These steps consist of the following:

- **Risk Management Planning (Structuring):** Risk Management Planning is the systematic process of deciding how to approach, plan, and execute risk management activities throughout the life of a project. It is intended to maximize the beneficial outcome of the opportunities and minimize or eliminate the consequences of adverse risk events. In this step the project baselines are adequately defined. The planned project scope, cost, schedule, and corresponding assumptions are defined and documented.

- **Risk Identification:** Risk identification involves determining which risks (threats and opportunities) might affect the project, and documenting their characteristics. It may be a simple risk assessment organized by the Project Team, or an outcome of the CRA workshop process. This list is maintained in a risk register and updated regularly as the project is developed. (see **Chapter 11. Risk Identification**)
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- **Risk Analysis:** Risk analysis assesses the impact and likelihood of the identified risks and develops prioritized lists of these risks for further analysis or direct mitigation. The team assesses each identified risk for its probability of occurrence and its impact on project objectives. Project Teams may elicit assistance from subject matter experts or functional units to assess the risks in their respective fields. The relative severity of the risk (impacts to scope, budget, schedule, quality, etc.) is analyzed and prioritized for management strategies. Depending on the size and complexity of the project risk analysis is done either qualitatively (see Chapter 12. Qualitative Risk Analysis) or quantitatively (see Chapter 13. Quantitative Risk Analysis).

- **Risk Response Plan:** Risk response strategy is the process of developing options and determining actions to enhance opportunities and reduce threats to the project’s objectives. It identifies and assigns parties to take responsibility for each risk response. This process ensures that each risk requiring a response has an "owner". The Project Manager and the Project Team identify which strategy is best for each risk, and then selects specific actions to implement that strategy (see Chapter 14. Risk Response & Value Engineering).

- **Monitoring and Control:** Risk Monitoring and Control tracks identified risks, monitors residual risks, and identifies new risks—ensuring the execution of risk plans, and evaluating their effectiveness in reducing risk. Risk Monitoring and Control is an ongoing process for the life of the project (see Chapter 15. Risk Monitoring and Control).

This step involves:

- Incorporating risk management activities into the project schedule;
- Making risk management an agenda item for regularly scheduled project meetings;
- Communicating the importance of risk management to the entire Project Team; and
- Establishing the expectation that risk will be managed, documented and reported.
- Quantifying the results of the risk management plan by determining, in dollars or time, how much threat was avoided, how much threat was encountered, how much opportunity was capitalized on and how much opportunity was missed.

10.3 **Scheduling a Risk Analysis Workshop?**

Project Managers sometimes ask “When is the best time to conduct a risk (informal or CRA) workshop?” After project baselines are established, the Project Manager and the Project/Scoping Team should identify, categorize, and document all risks and opportunities that could impact the project’s baselines. Risk analysis is then performed to adequately determine the significance of each risk (probability and impact).

Project risk management is ongoing and iterative, periodically workshop members can regroup to evaluate the project and associated uncertainty and risks, workshops typically occur for a project every 12 to 24 months or at key project milestones. Project risks and mitigation efforts should be discussed at regular project meetings, changes made as appropriate, and following those changes re-run the risk model. Value is gained when action is taken to respond to risks resulting in a cost and schedule savings to the project.
When preparing materials and planning for a workshop that includes quantitative analysis be sure to contact the NDOT Project Management Division for guidance through the process, including scheduling consultants and NDOT resources to effect the completion of a quantitative analysis.

When a Project Team prepares for a workshop much of the work that is performed on a daily or regular basis becomes the input for the analysis. This includes scope of work, schedule estimate (with backup and assumptions), cost estimate – including the basis of estimate, assumptions and backup information. Estimates are used to make financial decisions; therefore materials should be developed that result in an informed decision-making process.

### 10.4 Other Risk Planning Suggestions

- Risk assessment should begin early, but there must be enough known about the project to understand what is being assessed. This will be to varying levels of detail depending on the point in project development at which the risk assessment is conducted (planning, environmental, final design), hence schedule risk assessments at appropriate times.
- Allow time in the schedule for preparation activities, this includes review and QA/QC of project schedules and cost estimates at appropriate times.
- Allow budget for risk assessment, risk management and risk response activities.
- Report on status of Project Risk in regularly scheduled project meetings.
- Know the organization’s tolerance for risk.
  - Are Project Managers (and upper management) risk averse or risk seeking?
  - How much risk is the organization willing to accept?
  - Knowing the answers to these questions will help with risk management and contribute to the decision-making process when determining risk-response actions.
- Risk management must be partnered with a well organized and properly documented project base cost estimate. Risk management introduces reality into the project management process by recognizing that every project has a risk of cost overrun – this does not mean cost overrun is inevitable, it means it is possible.
- In order to fully understand the project the Project Manager and Team must determine what is known and what is not known about a project. There are a good deal of resources to clearly explain what is known about a project, NDOT has specialty offices that gather and provide data in support of project delivery, including: surveying, site investigations, bid histories, real estate services, right-of-way, access management, utilities, environmental, hydraulics, structures, Geotech, railroad, planning and programming, ad/bid/award, construction, and others. Just as important is to devote some energy and resources to assess what is not known and/or is uncertain about a project. One tool for accomplishing this is intentional, thoughtful and deliberate project risk management – as part of an overall project management plan.
10.5 Risk Management Plan Implementation

Implementation of a risk management plan consists of executing the risk management processes while focusing on the ultimate goal of project delivery, on-time and on-budget. The success of the plan implementation is dependent upon commitment, responsibility, and resources. The Project Team, as well as the department, must commit to the risk management plan throughout the life of the project. The responsibility of risk ownership may bear solely on the Project Manager or it may be delegated to team members. In either case, ownership must be clearly defined for plan implementation to prove successful. Resources must be allocated for the execution of the risk management plan. A plan without any practical means of execution is nothing more than a collection of papers.

Because many of the components of the risk management plan are iterative – risk identification, risk analysis, risk response strategy, etc – implementation of the plan is an evolving process. As risks change throughout the project the implementation of the plan should be reevaluated to confirm it is still aligned with project performance optimization. Reevaluation of the risk management plan may include:

- Continuous identification of project risks
- Tracking risk triggers
- Updating the assessment and analysis of risks as necessary
- Monitoring project risks
- Monitoring project progress and how it affects project risks
- Executing risk response strategies
- Adjusting project baselines and managing project allowances
- Documenting the processes

Documentation of the risk management process is to provide a record of actual data for future use. Included should be whether the risk did or did not occur, if it occurred, when it was realized and what was the impact to the project objectives. The response strategies and their effectiveness at reducing or eliminating risk should be documented. The costs of the response strategies, in addition to the estimated savings of managed risks, should be documented as well.

Detailed documentation of the risk management process increases the opportunity for meaningful evaluation of “lessons learned” at the completion of a project.
11. Risk Identification

Risk identification occurs through-out each of the four phases of project development. As projects evolve through project development, the risk profile evolves as project knowledge and understanding grows. Previously identified risks may change and new risks are typically identified throughout the life of the project.

The Project Team should initially identify risks. These risks should be identified to the maximum extent that is practicable. Risks identification is an iterative process and should be performed throughout the duration of the project. Early and continual identification of risks is critical to the success of the risk management processes. The team should identify risks that are:

- **Specific** – The risk should be identified and described to the level of detail that the project phase will allow. For the planning phase the risks should be less specific than what may be expected during the final design phase. For example:
  - Planning Phase: Right of way may need to be acquired
  - Final Design: Right of way must be acquired for the construction of retaining wall #4.
- **Tangible** – The risk should be tangible enough that impacts can be measured and assessed. The probability of that risk occurring should be reasonably assessed and the event that triggers the risk should be identifiable.
- **Relevant** – The risk identified should have impacts to the project baselines and should be able to be triggered or managed during the duration of the project.

Risk identification includes recognizing and understanding risk triggers: warning signs that indicate the probability of a risk occurring is approaching certainty. Risk identification also includes recognizing and understanding how a risk may be impacted or affected by another risk or event.

### 11.1 Inputs, Tools and Techniques, Outputs

#### 11.1.1 Risk Identification Inputs

The first and most important input is a defined project. In order to fully understand and assess the risks that our projects are exposed to we must first ensure that there is a mutual understanding of the project under evaluation. Before risk identification begins the project must be defined in terms of scope, schedule and budget (estimate) - commensurate with the level of project development at the time of risk analysis.

Alternative delivery methods such as design-build or construction manager at-risk (CMAR) can have an effect on bid prices, markups, schedule and other items. The project delivery method should be clearly defined prior to risk identification because it can have affect on risk ownership and the size and type of risks. If the project delivery method is unknown at the time of risk identification then multiple scenarios can be chosen to separate the risks.

#### 11.1.2 Risk Identification Tools and Techniques

Try to identify as many risks as possible that may affect project objectives. State the assumptions for risk identification and analysis and delineate thresholds for risks.
For example a Project Team may want to describe all cost risks below $100,000 as minor and all schedule risks less than 2 weeks as minor thereby not spending inordinate amounts of time on those risks and allowing them to focus on more significant risks.

The assumptions and thresholds for risk assessment will be influenced by the size and complexity of the project, project environment, and the owners’ tolerance for risk. There are a wide variety of techniques used for risk identification. Some common techniques are provided below.

The following is a list of some of the tools and techniques commonly used for identifying risks:

- **Historical Review of Projects** – Identify probable project risks by reviewing previous projects and identifying common risks encountered in the past.
- **Project Assessment** – Identify probable risks through a detailed assessment of the current project.
- **Assumptions and Constraints Analysis** – List all project assumptions and constraints and evaluate the probability of those being true or false and how that would impact project baselines.
- **Brainstorming** – The Project Team brainstorms potential risks, and systematically evaluates each risk for relevance and probability of occurring on the current project.
- **Cause and Effect Diagrams** – Identifying causes that contribute to certain outcomes. Those outcomes are the project risks and should be identified only if they affect a project objective.
- **Checklists** – As with Historical Review of Projects, a checklist of common project risks is created and the Project Team decides which risks are applicable. This technique can also lead to identification of risks not included on the checklist, but related to those that are.
- **Past Experience** – Facilitating a survey of the technical experts to identify risks in their area of expertise, the results are then compiled and circulated to the Project Team for review and comment, which can lead to refinement of risks identified or identification of additional risks.

11.1.3 Risk Identification Outputs

An expected deliverable from risk identification includes a preliminary “risk register” which documents the following information:

- **Identification # for each risk identified** - A unique number is assigned to each risk for tracking purposes. If available this can be done utilizing an established Risk Breakdown Structure (RBS). As an example the WSDOT RBS is provided in the appendix of this document.

- **Date and phase of project development when risk was identified** - Document the date the risk was identified and which project development phase (planning, scoping, design/PS&E, construction).
Name of Risk (does the risk pose a threat or present an opportunity?) - Each identified risk should have an appropriate name, for example "NEPA Delay" or "Reduction in Condemnation"; the nature of the risk with respect to project objectives (threat or opportunity) should also be documented. This can be done using the Risk Breakdown Structure (RBS) for naming conventions.

Detailed Description of Risk Event - The detailed description of the identified risk; the description must be provide information that is Specific, Measurable, Attributable (a cause is indicated), Relevant, and Time bound (SMART). The description must be clear enough and thorough enough so that others reading about the description of the risk will understand what it means.

Risk Trigger - Each identified risk must include the risk trigger(s). Risks rarely just suddenly occur; usually there is some warning of imminent threat or opportunity. These warning signs should be clearly described and information about the risk trigger should be documented. For example “NEPA Approval Date” may be considered a risk trigger on a project that has a risk of a legal challenge, or other as appropriate.

Risk Type - Does the identified risk affect project schedule, cost, or both?

Potential Responses to Identified Risk - Document, if known, possible response actions to the identified risk - can the identified threat be avoided, transferred, mitigated or is it to be accepted? Can the identified opportunity be exploited, shared or enhanced?

11.2 Risk Identification Suggestions

11.2.1 How to Identify Risk
1. Determine risk thresholds for the project (establish a minimum dollar amount and time duration considered significant for the project under evaluation).
2. Focus on identifying large significant risks which affect project objectives.

11.2.2 Things to consider during Risk Identification
1. Determine, for your project, what constitutes “significant” risk.
2. Thoroughly describe the risk.
3. Include specially groups and/or other persons who may have meaningful input regarding the challenges the project may face.
4. Determine who “owns” the risk and who will develop a response.

11.3 Risk Register Guidelines

For a risk register to provide detailed and comprehensive documentation of the risks impacting the project, the following guidelines should be followed:

- Risks should be assigned unique identifiers to enable proper tracking.
- Risks should be categorized by functional assignment/disciplines.
- Within each functional assignment/discipline, risks should be ordered by importance.
- For each risk, its type should be identified as to whether it impacts cost, schedule, or both.
For each risk, the activity impacted within the project, as per the project flowchart, should be clearly identified.

- Detailed descriptions of each event risk should be documented clearly.
- Risk triggers (events that would result in the risk materializing) should also be identified and described clearly.
- The risk register should also include a comments section for each risk, which would specify the circumstances under which the risk was identified and any related issues.
- Impacts should be documented as a distribution with a most likely, low, or high assigned value.
- The probability of each risk should also be identified within the risk register.
- For risks impacting costs, values can be in dollar terms or percentage of the base cost, while risks impacting schedule should be in months or in terms of percentages of baseline durations.
- Event risks that do not meet a predetermined minimum threshold, either in value-terms or duration, are not included in the risk register.
12. Qualitative Risk Analysis

Qualitative risk analysis assesses the impact and likelihood of the identified risks and develops prioritized lists of these risks for further analysis or direct mitigation. For projects up to $100 million, the Project Team can use a qualitative risk analysis approach in conjunction with a risk register. Qualitative analysis is often used:

- As an initial screening or review of project risks;
- when a quick assessment is desired; or
- As the preferred approach for some simpler and smaller projects where robust and/or lengthy quantitative analysis is not necessary.

Qualitative analysis provides a convenient way to identify, describe and characterize project risks. Qualitative analysis utilizes relative degrees of probability and consequence of each identified project risk event in descriptive non-numeric terms. Table 6 illustrates some of the differences between qualitative and quantitative methods of risk assessment and analysis along with some of the pros and cons of each.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Quantitative</th>
<th>Qualitative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project value in both current year (CY) &amp; year of expenditure (YOE)</td>
<td>No quantifiable data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact of risks</td>
<td>Rankings generally are yes/no, low/medium/high</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of risks</td>
<td>Rankings are based on judgment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response strategy cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>Uses complex calculations such as Monte Carlo analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Processes and results are derived objectively, easily supported</td>
<td>Requires less time (usually can be accomplished in 1 day on simple projects)</td>
<td>Calculations are complex and require spreadsheets and/or software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculations for value of project, risks, frequency and impacts, response strategy cost/benefits are consistent and repeatable</td>
<td></td>
<td>Information gathering is a considerable up-front task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating resulting risk management is easy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can take 1-5 days depending on the size and complexity of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project valuations are reflected monetarily</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can take 1-5 days depending on the size and complexity of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be automated, quicker, easier to understand, repeatable more reliable</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can take 1-5 days depending on the size and complexity of the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Pros</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculations for value of project, risks, frequency and impacts, response cost/benefit, are non-existent</td>
<td>Requires less time (usually can be accomplished in 1 day on simple projects)</td>
<td>Calculations are complex and require spreadsheets and/or software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process results are subjective and may not be repeatable</td>
<td></td>
<td>Information gathering is a considerable up-front task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating resulting risk management cannot be accomplished objectively</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can take 1-5 days depending on the size and complexity of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project values are perceived and may not be realistic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can take 1-5 days depending on the size and complexity of the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 – Differences between Quantitative and Qualitative
Risk identification, as mentioned in the previous chapter, results in the generation of a risk register. The risk register can be sizeable and it is necessary to evaluate and prioritize the risk events identified in the risk register. Prioritization can free us from indecision by providing specific, documented risk events that we can act on to shift the odds in favor of project success. Prioritizing risks, which present the highest potential for significantly affecting project objectives, gives Project Managers the information necessary to focus project resources.

12.1 How to perform Qualitative Risk Analysis

Once a risk is identified, including a thorough description of the risk and risk triggers, it can be characterized in terms of probability of occurrence and the consequence if it does occur.

1. Gather the Project Team and appropriate persons to discuss project risk. Establish which of the qualitative risk matrices you intend to use, define the terms you plan to use (High, Medium, or Low).
2. Review the risk information from the risk identification step.
3. Discuss the risk with the group.
4. Evaluate the likelihood of the risk occurring by asking the group “How likely is it that this risk will occur?” Record the result that the group agrees on.
5. Evaluate the consequences if the risk does occur by asking the group “What will be the impacts if this risk does occur?” Record the result that the group agrees on.
6. Prioritize the risks based on the results of the qualitative analysis.

If it is desirable the risks can also be grouped by category (i.e. Environmental, Structures/Geotech) and ranked within each category.

12.2 Levels of Impact

To facilitate qualitative risk analysis defined levels of impact on schedule and cost-based on past experiences and project complexities needs to be defined. Table 7 below shows an example of how a spreadsheet could break down the probability and cost:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualitative Score</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Cost as % of Base Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Schedule as % of Base Schedule Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>&gt; 75%</td>
<td>&gt; 5%</td>
<td>&gt; 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>25% to 75%</td>
<td>1% to 5%</td>
<td>1% to 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>&lt; 25%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
<td>&lt; 1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7 – Example of Qualitative Percentages

Once qualitative descriptors are developed for the given project, the team can input the identified risks and estimated impacts into a qualitative risk planning spreadsheet. Using the estimated impact values for each risk in conjunction with the qualitative descriptors, the Project Team can use the resulting risk register to aid in analyzing and prioritizing the project risks. Figures 14 and 15 show two halves of an example qualitative risk planning spreadsheet.
Once risks have been prioritized using qualitative analysis, timelines and actions for managing the risk should be established in conjunction with the risk management plan. As the project progresses the spreadsheet is used to identify new risks, monitor and control of existing risks, and track the status of risk responses.
Based on the scale of the project and/or the structure of the risk management plan, the Project Manager should choose to own the associated risks or delegate ownership to specific team members. Risk owners are responsible for monitoring specific risks and documenting the effect of designated risk response strategies. Risk owners will regularly update the Project Team on the status of their risks and the progress of the response strategies.

### 12.3 Helpful Hints for Qualitative Risk Analysis

- Invite appropriate participants (not too many, not too few).
- Define terms.
- Stay focused – put a time limit on discussion if necessary.
- Record the results.
- Prioritize the risks based on the results.

Those relatively new to risk analysis sometimes claim, in exasperation, “This is nothing more than guessing”. This does not represent the full actuality that assigning values for probability and impact relies on the expertise and professional judgment of experienced participants.

The determination of a value for the probability of occurrence and its consequence to project objectives, if it occurs, is for many a new activity and can seem strange at first. In any field, with experience, professionals develop intuition and an ability to understand projects to a greater degree than those not involved with project development and delivery. This experience and intuition is extremely valuable - in a risk workshop forum we surround ourselves with “wise counsel” to seriously and thoroughly discuss the project.
13. Quantitative Risk Analysis

Quantitative risk analysis uses a more detailed approach to evaluating and prioritizing risks by way of numerically estimating the probability that a project will meet its cost and time objectives.

Quantitative analysis is based on a simultaneous evaluation of the impacts of all identified and quantified risks. Risks and their corresponding cost and schedule impacts are inputted into a probabilistic model to estimate the overall cost and schedule impacts to the project based on all of the active risks. The quantitative risk analysis can also be used to determine which risks have the greatest potential impacts on cost and schedule.

All quantitative risk analysis performed on NDOT projects shall use a statistical simulation technique such as "Monte Carlo" to model the collective impact of base and risk issues.

When performing quantitative risk analysis, the Project Team must remove any conservatism and contingencies from project schedule and cost baselines. Risk impacts and contingencies will be developed during the risk management plan process, following the risk analysis process.

13.1 Types of Quantitative Workshops

13.1.1 Informal Workshop

For projects under $25 million an Informal workshop can be conducted using the “NDOT Risk Tracking and Analysis Tool for Small and Medium Size Projects” (NDOT Quantitative Risk Tool) This tool was designed for projects under $25 million.

Table 8 depicts the differences between an informal workshop and a cost risk assessment (CRA) workshop.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Informal Workshop Milestones include:</th>
<th>Cost Risk Assessment Workshop Milestones include:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Project Scope, Schedule and Estimate are complete (apt for the level of development)</td>
<td>▪ Workshop Request Form submitted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Prep meeting (initial review of areas of concern, determine tool qualitative or NDOT Quantitative Risk Tool)</td>
<td>▪ Project Scope, Schedule and Estimate are complete (apt for the level of development)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Risk Meeting (risks are identified and characterized) Risk Response Actions Developed</td>
<td>▪ Prep Session (flowchart project; determine subject matter experts; additional prep items)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Risk Response Actions Implemented</td>
<td>▪ Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Risk modeling software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Preliminary Results Presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Draft Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Final Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8 – Include Risk Management Milestones in the project schedule.

For projects between $25 million and $100 million or projects that need a more detailed analysis than can be provided by qualitative methods it is suggested that the Project Teams conduct a facilitated CRA workshop.
The NDOT Quantitative Risk Tool guides the user through the analysis process by first requesting general project information then prompting risk inputs. The probability of the risk occurring as well as the cost and schedule impact estimates (low, high and most likely) are inputted. The risk register allows for anticipated response of risk probability or impact to be included for Monte Carlo analysis.

The tool will compute expected value estimates for the risks and produce a qualitative risk summary. The tool employs quantitative, Monte Carlo probabilistic modeling to generate a probability distribution for project cost and schedule based on the inputted risk data.

The results of the NDOT Quantitative Risk Tool are cost and schedule forecasts with pre and post-response S-curves. Figure 16 is an example of an S-curve.
S-curves provide a cumulative probability distribution for the risk analysis results for project cost and schedule. The charts show the probability of not exceeding a given cost or schedule on the vertical axis and the corresponding cost (in millions of year of expenditure dollars) or schedule (in terms of month and year) on the horizontal axis.

In addition to the S-curve, the model produces a prioritized list of quantified risks in the form of a Tornado diagram. The diagram in Figure 17 displays the top cost risks with the most severe potential impact to the project.

The informal workshop analysis should be conducted several times throughout project development, typically after start of a project phase or major activity (scoping, environmental, right of way acquisition, etc.), as new risks are identified and existing risks are mitigated.

13.1.2 Cost Risk Assessment Workshop

A facilitated CRA workshop is required on projects greater than $100 million, and highly suggested for projects between $10 million and $100 million.

The result of these workshops is not only used for risk identification and management, but when the project is over $100 million it is also is used in the preparation of finance plans per FHWA requirements.
This process requires the use of a trained risk facilitator, who specializes in risk assessment and analysis, to facilitate CRA workshops and perform detailed probabilistic analysis of project risks to scope, budget and schedule.

At a minimum, the CRA must produce a risk register containing identified project risks as well as associated cost/ schedule impacts and anticipated risk response strategies. A risk analysis and the resulting risk-based cost estimate are required as part of the FHWA financial plan documentation.

13.2 Recommended Participants

Preparation for the workshop may take one or more days depending on the project size, complexity, and knowledge of the participants. The Project Manager/Project Team should work with the risk lead to identify the best combination of participants (NDOT and/or consultants) at each meeting. Not all participants need to attend every day. The goal is to make an effective use of time for all parties in a manner that ensures a sound and objective analysis.

Workshop attendees include Project Team members from all functional units and functional managers (Division heads) that have expertise regarding potential impacts of identified project risks. Local and federal entity representatives must be invited to attend these workshops to fully understand impacts of federal and local requirements to the project baselines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Team Members</th>
<th>Roles &amp; Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>Project resource and decision maker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimator/Designer</td>
<td>Prepare and document project estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduler</td>
<td>Prepare and document project schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead Designer</td>
<td>Primary resource for design questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Technical Experts</td>
<td>Specialty groups as needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Matter Experts (SME)</th>
<th>Roles &amp; Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Team Experts</td>
<td>Internal SMEs work with external SMEs to review and validate project cost and schedule estimates. They provide objective review and comment regarding project issues, risks and uncertainty. At the end of the workshop the SMEs should provide a brief (i.e. one page) summary of their thoughts about the workshop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency Experts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Consultants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost-Risk Team Members</th>
<th>Roles &amp; Responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Lead</td>
<td>Conducts risk elicitation and manages meeting during risk elicitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Lead Assistant</td>
<td>Assists with risk elicitation and meeting management during risk elicitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Lead</td>
<td>Conducts Base Cost Review and validation; manages the meeting during cost review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Lead Assistant</td>
<td>If needed, assists the cost lead position, as appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop Coordinator</td>
<td>Coordinates the agenda and participants’ discussions, works with the Project Manager to insure the success of the workshop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 – Workshop Team (Typical Participants)
The criterion for project workshop team membership has to be “Who is absolutely critical to identify the problems we are dealing with?” The criterion of “criticalness” should include not only technical expertise and responsibility but also problem-solving and team skills. Workshop participants should:

- Be involved, and
- Be heard – in relation to their responsibility and/or expertise.

Key elements necessary for a successful workshop include:

- **Functional Team Attend Relevant Sessions** – The workshop should be attended by SMEs in sufficient numbers to represent each of the functional classifications for risk.
- **Project Management Attend All Sessions** – Beyond the functional team attendees, the project management team must be in attendance at the risk workshop to show that all elements of the project are accurately represented.
- **Provide Input on Risks in Session** – During the workshop, the attendees will be asked to provide the following information on the risk items that have been identified in the risk list:
  - The probability of a specific risk occurring
  - The impact on the project if this risk occurs, and whether the risk applies to both cost (in dollars or percentage of the base cost estimate) and schedule (in months), typically expressed as a range
  - The activity that a specific risk impacts, which includes any activity defined in the project schedule, such as construction, design, and ROW
  - When relevant, risk probabilities or impacts can be correlated to reflect the specific nature of the associated risks

### 13.3 How a Quantitative Risk Analysis is Performed

#### 13.3.1 General Process

Once risks are identified and have been screened via qualitative analysis, they can be analyzed quantitatively. Recall that identification includes a thorough description of the risk and risk triggers. With quantitative analysis the probability of occurrence and consequence if the risk event occurs must also be documented.

The aim of the process is to examine how risks can be mitigated and how cost vulnerabilities can be managed from the onset of a major project. A thorough and dedicated effort is concentrated on identifying, analyzing, and managing risk. It is important to repeat that contingency and risk reserve values must not be added to baseline estimates prior to traditional risk analysis or a facilitated probabilistic analysis. If contingency values are added prior to analysis, the final risk reserve amounts will be significantly flawed.

The following key inputs must be integrated into the risk-based model:

- Flowchart schedule
- Base cost estimate with uncertainty, mapped to flowchart activities
- Risk register with risks assigned to flowchart activities
- Escalation factors by activity or activity group (R/W, design, construction, etc.)
Within the model, all costs and event risks must be assigned to a specific flowchart activity or set of activities. Escalation rates also should be assigned to each activity to account for the cost of delay. Additionally, for activities where schedule overruns will lead to additional overhead costs, monthly overhead delay costs should be assigned. Figure 18 provides an overview of the risk-based modeling approach.

13.3.2 Tools and Techniques

1. Gather and Represent Data
   - Interviews – can be formal or informal settings, such as smaller group meetings and/or larger formal workshops.
   - Subject Matter Expert input – participating collaboratively with the Project Team and cost-risk team can participate in interviews or contribute opinions in other ways such as surveys (questionnaires).
   - Represent data in terms of probability and impact, impacts can be represented using discrete distributions or continuous distributions.

Figure 19 provides an example of risk quantification, showing the key elements of the quantification of the risk. At the completion of the workshop, the risk register should be populated with all key risk factors to the project.
2. Quantitative Risk Analysis and Modeling
   - Project simulation using Monte Carlo technique to generate a probability distribution of project cost and schedule based on uncertainty and risk effects.

13.3.3 Quantitative Risk Analysis Outputs
1. Risk Register – the risk register begins during risk identification and is further developed during analysis (qualitative and/or quantitative); the risk register is a key component of the project management plan.
   - Prioritized list of quantified risks – those risks that have the most significant impact (threats or opportunities) to project objectives. (tornado diagrams, expected values, decision trees)
   - Probabilistic analysis of the project. Estimated cost and completion dates and associated percentiles or confidence levels.
   - Quantitative analyses can be conducted several times throughout project development; trends can be identified, mitigation strategies can be implemented and monitored, the risk profile of a project evolves and changes as the project is developed and knowledge is gained and design changes occur.

13.3.4 Post-Workshop Adjustment and Validation
When the workshop is over, it is over! The workshop is a “snapshot” examination of the project and issues of concern should be brought up during the workshop. Elicitation of risks and their characteristics are completed by the end of the workshop. The modelers need to complete the modeling and analysis based on the information generated at the workshop, without interference and disruption due to post-workshop wrangling and debate.
Following the risk assessment workshop, the Project Team may be given the opportunity to review the populated risk register and follow up on any key items that are unresolved. At this stage, the following materials should be finalized:

- Base cost estimate, stripped of contingencies and escalation, with base cost uncertainty ranges
- Project flowchart schedule, representing the logical progression of the project delivery
- Risk register populated with input from the risk assessment workshop

Additionally, the Project Team should communicate with the risk team to determine the timeline for development of initial results and deliverables.

Following the completion of the analysis, risk response actions are to be developed and incorporated, by the Project Team, into the risk management plan. Benefits of the process are seen during the weeks and months following the workshop as the Project Team uses information gained from the workshop in their day-to-day decision making and project development activities.
14. Risk Response & Value Engineering
Following identification and analysis of project risks Project Managers and Project Teams must respond to the identified project risks, focusing on risks of most significance, in order to shift the odds in favor of project success.

Early in project development, activities and information can seem chaotic and coming from multiple directions and multiple sources. Risk management provides a structured and disciplined way to document the information, evaluate and analyze the information, and emerge with a well-organized and prioritized list of project risks. This prioritization rescues us from indecision with information we can use to direct our project risk management resources.

14.1 Risk Response: Actions

Actions in response to risks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Avoid</td>
<td>1. Exploit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Transfer</td>
<td>2. Share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mitigate</td>
<td>3. Enhance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Accept</td>
<td>4. Accept</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14.1.1 Threats
For threats, risk response is a plan to seek answers to questions such as: what will one do if the event risk happens, can a risk be avoided, and what plans can one put in place prior to the event risk to minimize the impact if it occurs? Common risk response strategies for threats are:

- **Avoid** – Changing the project scope to eliminate the impact of a risk. Some threats can be avoided entirely. This can be done by changing the way the project is performed or by de-scoping the portion of the project that contains the risk element. Getting the job done in a risk-free environment is likely to cost more. Eliminating the risky scope might disappoint a critical stakeholder or degrade the business reason for performing the project.

- **Transfer** – Moving a risk to another party who is more capable of handling the risk (such as the developer or insurance company). This may involve the payment of a fee (outsourcing to a skilled expert or fixed price construction contracts) or a premium (insurance). Transferring risk will almost always have an added cost. Some risk, such as schedule risk, cannot be transferred. Even though one can contract (transfer) the schedule responsibility to third parties, if they are late, the project is still late.

- **Mitigate** – The Project Team may seek to lessen the impact of a specific risk item, which may involve the consumption of additional time and/or money. Mitigation usually requires positive action and has a cost. Mitigation may require new work packages and controlled like any other part of the normal project. Mitigation will affect the project budget and schedule. Mitigation can be a very effective strategy and is often better than a “do nothing” approach.
**Accept** – Decide to not take action to deal with a specific risk. After trying to avoid, transfer, or mitigate the threats to the project, the project will be left with residual risks, which are threats that cannot be reduced further. In active acceptance, the Project Team sets up a contingency reserve fund to account for the residual expected value of the remaining risks. The passive form of acceptance involves merely acknowledging the risk and moving forward on the project without reserves, which may seem sensible for risks with small expected values. Another form of risk acceptance is denial. Professional risk management seeks to reduce the use of denial as a strategy.

**14.1.2 Opportunities**

For opportunities, risk response is a plan of action designed to capitalize on the potential beneficial project cost and schedule opportunities. Typical risk response strategies for opportunities are:

- **Exploit** – Proactively take advantage of an opportunity.
- **Share** – Assign ownership of the opportunity to a third-party who is best able to capture the benefit for the project. Examples of sharing opportunities include forming risk-sharing partnerships, teams, or joint ventures, which can be established with the express purpose of managing opportunities.
- **Enhance** – Take action to increase the probability and/or impact of the opportunity for the benefit of the project; seeking to facilitate or strengthen the cause of the opportunity, and proactively targeting and reinforcing its trigger conditions. Impact drivers can also be targeted, seeking to increase the project’s susceptibility to the opportunity.
- **Accept** – Take no action when a response may be too costly to be effective or when the risks are uncontrollable and no practical action may be taken to specifically address it. At times, it is not possible or appropriate to take advantage of all opportunities, and the Project Team should document them and at least provide awareness that these exist and have been identified.

Ultimately it is not possible to eliminate all threats or take advantage of all opportunities – we can document them and at least provide awareness that these exist and have been identified, some term this ‘passive acceptance’. In some cases, in some industries, a contingency reserve is established to deal with the aggregate residual risk that has been accepted, some term this ‘active acceptance’.

As we continue through project development the project risk profile will change. Typically as we successfully respond to risks and our project knowledge increases our risk exposure will diminish. In effect we can retire risk reserve as risk events are successfully avoided or mitigated or we have passed the time during which the risk is active.

**14.2 Risk Response Tools and Techniques**

After we have identified and analyzed the risks we know where to focus our efforts. The output from the analysis provides a ranked risk register with the risks of greatest significance to project objectives determined. Response actions to significant risks must be cost effective and realistic.

**Critical risks must be met with vigorous response actions**, lower ranking risks should receive response actions commensurate with their significance.
14.2.1 Planning Risk Response Actions

The risk response action that is selected is influenced by the level of the risk. High impact and high probability risks require aggressive responses (threats should be avoided and opportunities exploited if possible).

The Project Team should identify a risk response strategy and assess the costs and impacts for each identified risk. The goal of the risk response is to reduce the overall impacts of the risk on the project objectives.

![Risk Response Planning Chart](image)

Figure 20 – Risk Response Planning Chart

The risk response planning chart graphically differentiates risk responses with regards to the probability of occurrence and impact of the specific risk. The colored response zones, illustrated in Figure 20, are general recommendations. The Project Manager and Project Team should adjust the zones to more accurately represent their project.

Risks with high potential impact should be avoided or mitigated an attempt to reduce overall impact on the project objectives. Risks with low impact or very low probability of occurrence should be passively accepted, i.e., risks with negligible effects should be acknowledged and monitored but require no additional action. Risks that fall in between high and low impact should be accepted actively or transferred.

The Project Manager and Project Team may choose whatever response they deem most effective, however, comparing the expected cost of transferring the risk to an external entity and the expected cost of actively accepting the risk provides a method to determine if the risk should be transferred or accepted actively. The costs associated with responding to a risk should be compared to the expected cost of the risk to determine if a response is beneficial. It is important to note that “costs” associated with risks should be measured in both money and time because risks affect both the cost and schedule of a project.
14.2.2 Documentation of Response Actions

Document the response action by describing the action, which work activities it will affect and the cost of the response action. Identify the person(s) responsible for successful implementation of the response action. Also consider the time impacts of the response action and how the risk response may affect the overall project and/or other risks.

14.3 Value Engineering

Value Engineering (VE) is the most effective technique known to identify and eliminate unnecessary costs in product design, testing, manufacturing, construction, operations, maintenance, data, and processes and practices.

Value engineering has been in existence for over 60 years and is called different things (e.g., value engineering, value analysis, value management, and value methodology) by different groups, but still, the basic concept/process is very similar. In 1997, SAVE International, an international society devoted to the advancement and promotion of value engineering, published a “Value Methodology Standard” in an effort to provide a generic description of the overall process.

Value Engineering is a systematic process used by a multidisciplinary team to improve the value of a project through the analysis of its functions. Value is defined as a fair return or equivalent in goods, services, or money for something exchanged. Value is commonly represented by the relationship:

\[
\text{Value} \approx \frac{\text{Function}}{\text{Resources}}
\]

Where function is measured by the performance requirements of the customer and resources are measured in materials, labor, price, time, etc. required to accomplish that function. A value methodology focuses on improving value by identifying alternate ways to reliably accomplish a function that meets the performance expectations of the customer.

In order to qualify as a VE Study, the following conditions must be satisfied:

- The VE Team follows an organized Job Plan that includes, at a minimum, the six phases identified in the following section. Function Analysis, as defined in this document, is performed on the project.
- The VE Team is a multidisciplinary group of experienced professionals and project stakeholders. Team members are chosen based on their expertise and experience with the project. Sometimes individuals who have relevant expertise; but are not directly involved with the project are added to provide a different point of view.
- The VE Team Leader/facilitator is trained in value methodology techniques and is qualified to lead a VE Study Team using the Job Plan. The SAVE International Certification Board certifies, with the designation Certified Value Specialists (CVS), those individuals who have met specified training requirements and have demonstrated competency in the application of the Job Plan.
14.3.1 VE Job Plan

VE is a systematic process that follows a “Job Plan,” which outlines specific steps to analyze an issue and achieve the maximum number of feasible alternatives to address the issue at hand. Within the context of risk response planning, the issue may be a specific event risk or a series of event risks that can potentially be addressed through value engineering.

Value engineering is applied by a multidisciplinary team to improve the value of a project through the analysis of functions.

The VE Job Plan as shown in Figure 21 consists of the following sequential phases:

**Information Phase** – The team reviews and defines the current conditions of the project and identifies the goals and issues that the team is facing.

**Function Analysis Phase** – The team defines the project functions using a two-word active verb/measurable noun context. The team reviews and analyzes these functions to determine which functions need improvement, elimination, or creation to meet the project’s goals.

**Creative Phase** – The team employs creative techniques to identify other ways to perform the project’s function(s).

**Evaluation Phase** – The team follows a structured evaluation process to select those ideas that offer the potential for value improvement while delivering the project’s function(s) and considering performance requirements and resource limits.

**Development Phase** – The team develops the selected ideas into alternatives (or proposals) with a sufficient level of documentation to allow decision-makers to determine if the alternative should be implemented.

![Figure 21 – Value Engineering Job Plan](image-url)
Presentation Phase – The team leader develops a report and/or presentation that documents and conveys the adequacy of the alternative(s) developed by the team and the associated value improvement opportunity.

14.3.2 Combining Cost Risk Assessment and Value Engineering

Cost risk assessment/analysis and value engineering augment each other well. By participating in the risk assessment process and understanding the project risks, the value engineering team gains a much better understanding of the project and potential issues. The blending of these two proven processes has demonstrated better utilization of resources.

The following is an example of how the value engineering phases are integrated into the risk analysis process:

- **Information** – Participate in the development of the risk register, and review contingencies and bid items that are set up to mitigate risk.
- **Functional Analysis** – Include the secondary function of “reduce risk.”
- **Creative** – Brainstorm ideas on how to respond to risk (avoid, mitigate, transfer, or accept).
- **Evaluation** – Include a risk assessment as part of the evaluation criteria.
- **Development** – Develop recommendations that reduce risk, and include a risk assessment for value engineering recommendations that modify the original design.
- **Presentation** – Present both the value engineering findings as well as risk analysis findings.

During the information phase of the workshop, members of the Project Team should explain the development of the risk register and educate the value engineering team about the baseline project as well as potential event risks. As the VE process moves into the creative phase the VE Team begins brainstorming various ideas to address the major project event risks.

Identification of Value Engineering Alternatives

The threats and opportunities defined in the risk assessment workshop are carried over into the brainstorm list to be evaluated along with ideas defined by the value engineering team. Ideas are generated based on the functions identified in the functional analysis phase; additional speculation is performed on the function of “reduce risk” to address the major risk factors identified in the risk assessment. The ideas are evaluated and drafted into recommendations.

Development of Risk Response Strategies

The objective of risk response strategies is to reduce the likelihood and/or impacts of threats and to capitalize on opportunities.

The VE Team can develop some of the risk response strategies; however, not all risks identified require the use of a value engineering team to define strategies. The Project Manager, with a small focus group of Project Team members and/or subject matter experts (SME), can complete the risk response strategies while the VE Team is developing the recommendations. The Project Manager and Project Team can address risks, such as staffing, scheduling, or management risks, while the VE Team addresses the more technical risks dealing with the design and construction.
It is a coordinated effort between the risk lead and the value engineering team leader to determine which risks are responded to by value engineering and which risks need further development. It is also important to define any additional risks that are created by potential implementation of the VE recommendations. The additional risk must be correlated to, and mutually exclusive to, the implementation of the VE recommendations (i.e., these risks can only potentially occur when the VE recommendations is considered in the analysis).

**Incorporating Value Engineering Recommendations into Risk Analysis**

Similar to quantifying the initial risk, the VE Team needs to evaluate the effects of the risk response strategies and how they can modify the likelihood of the event risk, the cost and/or schedule impact of the event risk, or both.

Not all VE recommendations fit into the original risk profile as risk response strategies. Some of the recommendations do not mitigate a risk they simply add value to the project. These recommendations are treated as a threat or an opportunity dependant on the increase or decrease in cost or schedule. The VE Team will quantify the impact of the recommendation in terms of budget and/or schedule, and then a likelihood of implementation for each recommendation needs to be applied to each recommendation to finalize the quantification for modeling. This likelihood will often be assigned by the Project Team or project management, as it is a decision of whether to include the value engineering recommendation within the project.

At the completion of the workshop, the risk register should now include the quantification and description of value engineering recommendations and appropriate risk response strategies.

**14.4 Risk Allocation/Ownership**

Appropriate allocation of project risks is critical during the development of any project. Risk allocation is even more critical for design-build contracts because many of the risks that are traditionally managed by NDOT can and do become the responsibility of the design-builder. However, if inappropriate risks are allocated to the design-builder, they will need to include the additional cost in their project proposal to manage these risks.

In recent years, NDOT has chosen to use the design-build method of project delivery to better manage their risk. One reason is that project delivery via design-build provides more flexibility in risk allocation. Using design-build allows for the transfer of risks traditionally borne by NDOT to the design-builder. This is attributable mostly to the single point of responsibility and earlier procurement characteristics of design-build. Most notably, the risk for errors and omissions in final plans and specifications is inherently transferred from NDOT to the design-builder. However, this flexibility should not result in shifting all possible risks to the design-builder because it leads to the potential for higher price proposals, excessive changes, and disputes. The flexibility can be beneficial only upon proper allocation of the risks.

Identification of which risks to transfer begins at project inception. Throughout the project development, NDOT must work to advance the design far enough so that the related risks are tolerable for the design-builder. The central premise is to then clearly allocate the risks to the party that can best control them to avoid any confusion, disputes, and litigation. FHWA’s design-build contracting rule recommends identifying and allocating various project risks such as:
• Governmental risks, including the potential for delays, modifications, withdrawal, scope changes, or additions that result from multi-level Federal, State, and local participation and sponsorship;
• Regulatory compliance risks, including environmental and third party issues, such as permitting, railroad, and utility company risks;
• Construction phase risks, including differing site conditions, traffic control, interim drainage, public access, weather issues, and schedule;
• Post-construction risks, including public liability and meeting stipulated performance standards; and
• Right of way risks including acquisition costs, appraisals, relocation delays, condemnation proceedings, including court costs and others.

The proportion of NDOT's risk ownership in a project varies depending on the project delivery method used (see Section 5.14 Project Delivery Methods). Design-Bid-Build, Design-Build and Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) each have their own risks (opportunities and threats).

Figure 22 on the next page give a synopsis of these risks. Please refer to the NDOT Project Delivery Selection Approach (PDSA) document located on the Project Management SharePoint portal. Additional information about which risk are shared/allocated can be found in Appendix C. and the Pioneer Program Guidelines.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disadvantages/Threats</th>
<th>Advantages/Opportunities</th>
<th>Structure/Schedule</th>
<th>Project Delivery Alternatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear process equals a longer schedule</td>
<td>Traditional, well-known delivery method</td>
<td>Design-Build</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No control over General Contractor selection</td>
<td>Simple procurement process to manage</td>
<td>Design Build firm controls contingency costs</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No design or cost input from contractor</td>
<td>Defined scope</td>
<td>Not suited for small projects</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of flexibility for change</td>
<td>Lowest initial price</td>
<td>Requires additional design effort</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can create adversarial relationships</td>
<td>Good for simple, uncomplicated projects</td>
<td>Change management may be expensive</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not very well suited for complicated projects</td>
<td>Single point of accountability for design and construction</td>
<td>Not suited for small projects</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That are not schedule driven and not subject to change</td>
<td>Enables fast track delivery because construction begins before design is complete</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
<td>Owner Input to Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project cost defined early in the process</td>
<td>CMAR selected on qualifications, and competitive price proposals</td>
<td>Owner and CMAR control contingency jointly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Figure 22 – Comparison of Project Delivery Methods
15. Risk Monitoring and Control

For the most part we may have little or no control over the external environment but we do have control over how we interact with it. We have control over our state of readiness, we can look ahead and improvise and adapt. We can control the size of our response to identified risk events and the quality of our documentation. We have control over how we integrate risk management into our project management plans.

As the project develops, the nature and magnitude of risks will change. Typically, as the Project Team successfully responds to risks and the project knowledge increases, risk exposure will diminish. In effect, risk reserves can be retired as event risks are successfully avoided or mitigated, or as risks have passed the time during which they are active. The risk management plan documents how risks will be monitored and controlled during project delivery, and provides risk response strategies associated with them.

15.1 Documentation of Response Actions

After we have implemented response actions, we must track and record their effectiveness and any changes to the project risk profile. Did the response actions have a positive or negative effect on achieving project objectives? If so explain how and why in the risk management plan.

An important aspect of risk management planning is to develop and document how the Project Team plans to respond to individual risks. There are three activities to accomplish this:

1. Incorporate risk monitoring into the risk register.
2. Identify risk monitoring criteria.

Document the response action by describing the action, the work activities it will affect and the cost of the response action. Identify the person(s) responsible for successful implementation of the response action. Also consider the time impacts of the response action and how the risk response may affect the overall project and/or other risks.

Determining the appropriate metrics for the project, ensuring they are not burdensome and affect behavior. Too often, metrics change behavior to provide better metrics not better performance. Set the amounts and conditions for use of the project risk reserves. Establish the final objectives of the project with stakeholders to improve the chances of project success. Confirm endorsement of team members and stakeholders as the project plan evolves.

15.1.1 Incorporating Risk Monitoring into the Risk Register

The project’s risk register can serve as a risk tracking tool and contains columns for risk response planning and tracking. A subset of the risk register containing the risk response section is shown in Figure 23. The Project Team should assign a “risk owner” to track and record the effectiveness of the strategies and any changes to the project risk profile, as follows:

- Document the response action by describing the action, the work activities it will affect, and the cost of the response action.
- Identify the person(s) responsible for successful implementation of the response action.
- Document whether response actions have a positive or negative effect on achieving project objectives in the risk management plan.
- Consider the time impacts of the response action and how the risk response may affect the overall project and/or other risks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional Assignment</th>
<th>Risk Owner</th>
<th>Risk Name</th>
<th>Review Frequency</th>
<th>Risk Response Strategy</th>
<th>Risk Action Plan (Steps Needed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Delay in Environmental Assessment Re-Evaluation</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>Track status monthly with FHWA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agency</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>Need for Design Exceptions</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Mitigate</td>
<td>Move to finalize design, review risk quarterly to determine need for possible design exceptions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 23 – Sample of Risk Response Section within Risk Register

Not all risks identified require immediate management. Often, a Project Team needs to prioritize the risks for which it plans to develop strategies in the future in an effort to make the best use of the time available. An example would be to begin with the risks with the highest cost and schedule impacts. The following is the methodology for developing risk response strategies. Value engineering can be used to assist in the development of risk response strategies.

15.1.2 Identifying Risk Monitoring Criteria

Given the demands on NDOT staff in the delivery of their projects and on Project Teams with limited resources, it is often difficult to provide proactive management and monitoring for every risk identified. Therefore, criteria must be established for the number of risks, dollar value for significant risks, cost of planned response actions, etc. One good tool in establishing these criteria is the Pareto Principle. The Pareto principle (also known as the 80-20 rule) states that, for many events, roughly 80% of the effects come from 20% of the causes.

15.1.3 Reviewing Response Strategies

Periodic reviews of how effective the response strategies are in mitigating or avoiding the identified risk should be conducted. These reviews should include cost and time of implementation. The lessons learned and information generated from these reviews should be input into the project scope, schedule, and budget.

15.1.4 Helpful Hints

- Be thorough and tenacious in gathering status update information for risks.
- Monitor status and trends continuously (scope, schedule, cost estimates, quality of product, etc).
- Address problems and issues immediately; anticipate and discuss in advance if possible.
- Communicate.
- We can monitor and control a number of things in our risk management efforts, including:
- Our state of readiness
- Our commitment to looking ahead, and being prepared to improvise and adapt
- The robustness of our risk response actions
- The quality of our documentation
- How earnestly we integrate risk management into our project management plan
- Keeping our RMP up-to-date, including the RMPS spreadsheet

- Our preparedness to provide the following performance data regarding our risk management efforts:
  - Number of risks identified
  - Number of significant risks, as determined through quantitative analysis
  - Dollar value of significant risks
  - Estimated cost of planned response actions
  - Estimated value of costs avoided through risk management
  - Actual cost of response actions
  - Estimated Actual value of costs avoided through risk management
  - Estimated amount of delay (months) avoided through risk management
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16. Use & Reporting of Risk-Based Cost Estimation Results

All efforts should be made to deliver the project within the established cost and schedule budget. Project Managers and Teams must not plan on using risk reserves from onset of a project. They should avoid or mitigate threats and exploit opportunities. If the avoidance of a risk is not possible, the team should try to minimize the likelihood of occurrence or reduce the impact of threat.

Early in the project development process, a base cost estimate is established and then a risk assessment is conducted to provide the Project Manager the foundation that will be used to measure project delivery performance. This risk-based cost estimate will be escalated to the year of expenditure (YOE) and then used to establish the initial project budget.

16.1 Risk Reserve Approach

For all projects over $100 million in total cost along with other projects that have had a quantitative analysis a risk reserve approach is used to establish the project budget. The following steps should be used to establish a budget with a risk reserve:

1) Establish a base cost estimate that includes uncertainties.
2) Identify project risks and perform a quantitative risk analysis.
3) The risk reserve is the 70th percentile cost (YOE) minus the base cost estimate (YOE).
   Note: Caution should be taken when there are large opportunities and/or threats within the risk register. These risks can sway the 70th percentile cost and should be reviewed closely before establishing the project risk reserve.
4) The Project Manager shall review the risk reserve with the Project Management Division Chief.
5) The project budget is the base cost plus the risk reserve.
6) Update the project budget at major project transition points by conducting a formal base cost estimate review and updated cost risk assessment and analysis.
7) The project cost range is reported the 10% percentile for the low estimate and the 90% percentile for the high estimate (see section 16.5 Reporting of the Results).

16.2 Risk Allowance Approach

For projects that have only had a qualitative risk analysis a risk allowance approach is used to establish a project budget.

1) Establish a base cost estimate that includes uncertainties.
2) Identify project risks and perform a qualitative risk analysis.
3) Select an appropriate percentage of risk allowance from Table 10 to account for risks associated with the project and/or the project phase. Table 10 is meant to be used as a guide only and contains an element of subjective assessment of risk. Use the table with judgment based on personal knowledge of project details and Project Team input.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Impacts</th>
<th>Planning (Conceptual)</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Final Design (Preliminary Estimate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>+15%</td>
<td>+10%</td>
<td>+7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>+10%</td>
<td>+7%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>+7%</td>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10 – Guide for Risk Allowance

4) After selecting a percentage to use for risk allowance review the amount with the appropriate division chief in charge of the program that the project is being funded, e.g., the Project Management Chief for capacity improvement projects, the Roadway Design Chief for 3R projects, the Structures Division Chief for bridge rehabilitation projects, etc. and/or the project sponsor.

5) The project budget is base cost plus the appropriate risk allowance (Base Cost + (Base Cost * percentage)).

6) Update the project budget at major project transition points (e.g., planning to environmental to final design) and/or when a formal base cost estimate review is conducted (e.g., 60%, 90% design).

7) The project cost range is reported as base cost for low estimate and base cost plus risk allowance for high estimate (Base Cost + (Base Cost * percentage)).

As the project is developed and project risks are reduced, the risk allowance factor should also be reduced. For example the Project Manager may decide to use a 15% risk allowance factor for establishing their budgets during the project’s planning phase due to high level of project risks. During the environmental phase of the same project, they may decide to use a 5% factor since they have accounted for or addressed a number of risks associated with the project. This is only an approximation and it is strongly suggested that a quantitative risk assessment is conducted to establish the appropriate risk reserve for your project.

Market conditions, like having a minimum of potential bidders, may warrant an additional 1-5% added to the risk allowance.

If the selected amount of risk allowance exceeds the high risk impact at any point in design serious consideration needs to be given to having a quantitative risk assessment.

16.3 Accounting for Schedule Risks

To account for risk and uncertainties in project duration a buffer can be established in the project schedule. The duration of the buffer is established through risk analysis (risk reserve). The buffer duration is the 70% percentile minus the base duration. If the risk based buffer duration exceeds 30% of the base schedule, the Project Manager should seek approval of the Project Management Division prior to finalizing the project schedule. The project buffer, managed by the Project Team, allows for the protection of the project end date by managing risks associated with the schedule. The project buffer should be applied to tasks of the project that the risks are associated with.
The buffer must be managed to ensure it is not wasted and it is available if needed. The task buffer can be used if needed when risks are realized. If a risk occurs and the buffer is used the Project Team must develop strategies to accelerate the remaining portions of the overall schedule. For example the Project Team may work overtime, add additional staff, or hire consultants to complete the task.

For external reporting, the project schedule is reported as a range (start – finish). “Start” is the actual start date of the project or a project phase. “Finish” is the anticipated completion date of the project/phase with risks included.

16.4 Frequency of Estimate Updates

Evaluate/update the base cost estimate with its uncertainty every 4-6 months or when any of the following occur:

- New information is gathered or processed (quantity change or new items)
- Major design levels are completed (30%, 60%, and 90%)
- Volatile price fluctuations occur
- Highest cost items change
- Major scope changes
- Prior to each cost risk assessment workshop

NOTE: The level of effort for estimate updates is scalable and should be appropriate for the amount of change.

16.5 Reporting of the Results

If post-response figures are not available, the pre-response figures may be reported, and adjusted later. Only report the escalated base cost estimates and the escalated risk reserve estimate. The risk reserve may be reported either by project phase or as a single number in the construction phase. Reports will then correspond to the 70th percentile estimate, using NDOT escalation tables.

For public reporting of the project cost and schedule it is recommended that the 10% and 90% percentiles be used as the outer ranges. See the examples in Figures 25 and 26.
16.6 Risk-Based Estimate Management

Project Managers are responsible for managing their projects to the base cost estimate that has been escalated to the year of expenditure. The risk reserve or allowance is just that, and should be held in reserve for use if and when risks materialize. Having a risk reserve/allowance provides the Project Manager and Team flexibility in managing project risks.

1) When risks occur the Project Manager is allowed to utilize up to half of the risk reserve/allowance amount without any prior approval from the Project Management Chief. The Project Manager may delegate ownership of individual risks to Project Team members as necessary.

2) When half of the risk reserve/allowance has been expended, the Project Manager must discuss how the remaining risks will be managed with the Project Management Chief.

3) When three fourths of the risk reserve/allowance has been expended, the Project Manager must obtain approval from the Project Management Chief before any additional amount of risk reserve/allowance can be used.

4) If the risk reserve nears depletion or is depleted, despite active risk management on the part of the Project Manager and Team, decisions will need to be made to either adjust the scope of the project or to increase the budget. These decisions will need to be made by the appropriate division chief.
Resources

Project Risk Management – Guidance for WSDOT Projects:

Cost Estimating Manual for WSDOT Projects:

Minnesota DOT Estimating web page:
http://www.dot.state.mn.us/cost-estimating/estimating/index.html

http://dotapp7.dot.state.mn.us/edms/download?docId=670233

Utah DOT Estimator’s Corner:

WSDOT Plan Preparation Manual:
http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Publications/Manuals/M22-31.htm

Cost Estimate Process:
http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/ProjectMgmt/RiskAssessment/Process/

CEVP Guidelines and Glossary:
http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/ProjectMgmt/RiskAssessment/workshop.htm


Instructional Letter: Inflation and Market Conditions Applied to Base Estimate:

WSDOT Online Project Management Guide:
http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Projects/ProjectMgmt/PMOG.htm

RS Means: http://www.rsmeans.com/
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Appendix A – Basis of Estimate Template

This Basis of Estimate (BOE) template prompts Project Teams to think about their projects and costs. Please include this form with all estimates and estimate updates. The Project Development Checklist (PDC) from the Project Development and Scoping Guidelines is also suggested for use during the Planning Phase of a project and can be found on SharePoint. The following BOE form is a suggested format for your use in documenting the Basis of the Estimate for your project. The text in blue is to be replaced with your estimate’s project information.

Project Name: 
State Route(s): 
Location: City, County: 
Date Prepared: 

Project Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sponsor (Lead Agency):</th>
<th>Nevada DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Person:</td>
<td>Name/Title/Tel Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Organization (Sponsor or Consultant):</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimator or Lead Designer:</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimator or Lead Designer's Organization:</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start of Construction:</td>
<td>MM/YY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimating Processing Software:</td>
<td>Excel or iPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate available in Excel format:</td>
<td>Yes (desirable for estimates to be available in Excel, required for estimates to be available in iPD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Breakdown Structure (WBS):</td>
<td>Very Basic (describe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project No.</td>
<td>######</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database for cost estimate:</td>
<td>Sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of Measurement:</td>
<td>Scaling and CAD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose
Describe the project, its purpose, timing and location.

Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission/Design:</th>
<th>Improve safety and congestion at ####### I/C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimate Type:</td>
<td>Parametric, Deterministic or Stochastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type :</td>
<td>Upgrade existing facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New structures required:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Structures which need to be modified:</td>
<td>Assumed structure is replaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition:</td>
<td>Bridge and buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous Materials:</td>
<td>Anticipated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands Issues:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Impacts:</td>
<td>Not anticipated, based on database research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American (Tribal) Issues:</td>
<td>Not anticipated, based on contacts made to date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm water regulation update:</td>
<td>Estimate based on updated information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise walls:</td>
<td>Anticipated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe in paragraph form the basic scope of the project.

**Methodology**
Describe the primary estimating methodology used for the cost estimate. Several different methodologies may be used in one estimate. Also list the schedule or timeline for the estimating process.

**Design Basis**
Describe the types and status of engineering and design deliverables used to prepare the estimate, including any design assumptions.

**Planning Basis**
Describe the project management, engineering, design, and construction approach used to prepare the estimate. This should include proposed or assumed working schedule, construction sequence, etc. List overall project milestones and project schedule.

**Cost Basis**
Describe methods and sources for determining bid item pricing. Provide detailed backup of the data in the attachments.

**Allowances**
Describe allowances in the cost estimate. Include their purpose and how the allowance amount was determined.

**Assumptions**
Discuss all assumptions not covered in other areas of the Basis of Estimate. Examples are listed in blue.

- Construction funding all at once
- Environmental regulations don’t change
- Today’s dollars, unknown future escalation rate (Mid-point of construction could change.)
- Undeveloped properties remain undeveloped. At this time there are no known proposed developments on the properties, although some of the properties are for sale.
- There are good soils.
- Captured major bid items
- Traffic control cost-based on past experience and District philosophy doesn’t change
- Right of Way is not needed to relocate the gas line.
- The project is in the process of selecting a preferred alternative. At this time there are two alternatives, a preferred alternative should be selected by MONTH AND YEAR. The estimate is based on alternative #### with the thought that it may be the more expensive of the two options.
• The quantity calculation precision guidelines and rounding rules as described in the NDOT Project Estimation Policy Guidelines and Estimate Building Procedures Manual were followed or were not followed for the following reasons (state the reasons).

Exclusions
List those items NOT INCLUDED in the cost estimate. Select those items that an outside person might think are included but are not.

Exceptions
Describe any item that does not follow NDOT standards for cost estimating.

Risks
Describe all threats and opportunities that surface during the preparation of the cost estimate. This can become the basis for a risk management plan, as required on all NDOT projects greater than $100 million.

Estimate Quality Assurance
Describe the quality assurance plan for the estimate. What reviews or benchmarking has been done on this estimate?

Reconciliation
How was review comments incorporated into the estimate? How does this estimate compare to the previous one preformed for this project? What are the differences and how are they explained?

Project Funding and Scheduling
The request for scheduling and programming was submitted to Financial Management on MM/DD/YY and revised on MM/DD/YY.

The Scope/Budget Change and Programming/STIP Revision Request Form were submitted on MM/DD/YY. This form shall be processed and submitted with each Preliminary, Intermediate, 90%, and PS&E project milestone submittals.

Estimating Team
List all parties involved in preparing the estimate. Phone and email records should be kept of all the people who had input into the estimate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Name and Contact Info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity Survey</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit Cost Development</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summarization and Presentation</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate Review &amp; QA/QC</td>
<td>Name and Contact Info</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments
Attachment A: Reference Drawings
Attachment B: Additional Attachments (as necessary)
## Basis of Estimate Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Has NEPA/SEPA process begun?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Has a preferred alternative been selected?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Have any environmental mitigation measures been defined and included in the estimate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Has an alignment been established?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Has a grade been established?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Have right of way requirements been researched and priced?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Has a typical section been established?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Have the geotechnical site conditions been researched?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Have potential geotechnical cost issues been factored into the estimate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Has a drainage report and concept plan been prepared?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Has a noise analysis been performed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Are sound walls included in the estimate?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Have retaining wall types been defined?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Has a traffic analysis (modeling, HCM, LOS, etc.) been performed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Have pavement design reports been reviewed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Has a pavement life cycle cost analysis been performed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Has a preliminary construction phasing strategy been developed to help estimate traffic control, detours, temporary structures, temporary construction easements, lanes, etc.?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Were potential detours evaluated for traffic volumes and vehicle classifications?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Have any investigations been done in regards to potential major utility impacts?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Has a conceptual landscaping and aesthetics plan been developed?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Are there any design deviations that are or expected to be of concern?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Were other projects used as metrics of comparison for the estimate? If so, please list projects.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Has funding been identified for: Design/PS&amp;E?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Has funding been identified for: Right-of-Way?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Has funding been identified for: Construction?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B – Sample Risk Elements

(Adapted from Washington DOT)

Technical Risks

- Design incomplete
- Right of Way analysis in error
- Environmental analysis incomplete or in error
- Unexpected geotechnical issues
- Change requests because of errors
- Inaccurate assumptions on technical issues in planning stage
- Surveys late and/or surveys in error
- Materials/geotechnical/foundation in error
- Structural designs incomplete or in error
- Hazardous waste site analysis incomplete or in error
- Need for design exceptions
- Consultant design not up to Department standards
- Context sensitive solutions
- Fact sheet requirements (exceptions to standards)
- Others

External Risks

- Landowners unwilling to sell
- Priorities change on program
- Inconsistent cost, time, scope, and quality objectives
- Local communities pose objections
- Funding changes for fiscal year
- Political factors change
- Stakeholders request late changes
- New stakeholders emerge and demand new work
- Influential stakeholders request additional needs to serve their own commercial purposes
- Threat of lawsuits
- Stakeholders choose time and/or cost over quality
- Others

Environmental Risks

- Permits or agency actions delayed or take longer than expected
- New information required for permits
- Environmental regulations change
- Water quality regulations change
- Reviewing agency requires higher-level review than assumed
- Lack of specialized staff (biology, anthropology, archeology, etc.)
- Historic site, endangered species, wetlands present
- EIS required
- Controversy on environmental grounds expected
- Environmental analysis on new alignments is required
- Formal NEPA/404 consultation is required
- Formal Section 7 consultation is required
- Section 106 issues expected
- Project in an area of high sensitivity for paleontology
- Section 4(f) resources affected
- Project on a Scenic Highway
- Project in a floodplain or a regulatory floodway
- Project does not conform to the state implementation plan for air quality at the program and plan level
- Water quality issues
- Negative community impacts expected
- Hazardous waste preliminary site investigation required
- Growth inducement issues
- Cumulative impact issues
- Pressure to compress the environmental schedule
- Permits expire
- Others

**Organizational Risks**
- Inexperienced staff assigned
- Losing critical staff at crucial point of the project
- Insufficient time to plan
- Unanticipated workload
- Delay getting approvals, decisions
- Functional units not available, overloaded
- Lack of understanding of complex internal funding procedures
- Not enough time to plan
- Priorities change on existing program
- New priority project inserted into program
- Inconsistent cost, time, scope and quality objectives
- Others

**Project Management Risks**
- Project purpose and need is poorly defined
- Project scope definition is poor or incomplete
- Project cost, scope, schedule, objectives, and deliverables are not clearly defined or understood
- No control over staff priorities
- Too many projects
- Consultant or contractor delays
- Estimating and/or scheduling errors
- Unplanned work that must be accommodated
- Communication breakdown with Project Team
- Pressure to deliver project on an accelerated schedule
- Lack of coordination/communication
- Lack of upper management support
- Change in key staffing throughout the project
- Inexperienced workforce/inadequate staff/resource availability
- Local agency issues
- Public awareness/support
- Agreements

**Right of Way Risks**
- Utility relocation may not happen in time
- Freeway agreements
- Railroad involvement
- Objections to Right of Way appraisal take more time and/or money
- Others

**Construction Risks**
- Inaccurate contract time estimates
- Permit work windows
- Utility
- Surveys
- Buried man-made objects/unidentified hazardous waste
- Weather related
- Others

**Regulatory Risks**
- Water quality regulations change
- New permits or new information required
### Appendix C – Risk Allocation/Sharing

Appropriate allocation of project risks is critical during the development of any project. Risk allocation is even more critical for design-build contracts because many of the risks that are traditionally managed by NDOT can and do become the responsibility of the design-builder.

Identification of which risks to transfer begins at project inception. Throughout the project development, NDOT must work to advance the design far enough so the related risks are tolerable for the design-builder. Risk should be allocated to the party that can best manage them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Design Issues</th>
<th>Design-Bid-Build/CMAR</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Design-Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Scope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Definition</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing Performance Requirement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary survey/base map</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotech Investigation - Initial Borings based on preliminary design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geotech Investigation - Initial Borings based on proposal</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish/Define initial subsurface conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial project Geotechnical Analysis/Report based on preliminary Design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal specific Geotechnical Analysis/Report</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan conformance with regulations/guidelines/RFP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan accuracy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformance to Design Criteria</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Review Process</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design QC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design QA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Review Time</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Scope</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constructability of Design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contaminated Materials</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Agency, Utility, Railroad Issues**

<p>| Identification of initial local agency impacts | X |        |        |           |       | X |
| Obtaining Initial local agency permits | X |        |        |           |       | X |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Design-Bid-Build/CMAR</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Design-Build</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Establishing initial local agency requirements</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing final/actual local agency impacts</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modifications to existing local agency permits</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of initial utility impacts from preliminary design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish initial Utility Locations / Conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defining required utility relocations from preliminary design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation of utilities prior to contract</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation of utilities under agreement during contract</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified agreement with private utility based on final design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified agreement with public utility based on final design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to Utilities under Construction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification of Utility Locations/Conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination with Utility Relocation efforts during contract</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unforeseen delays - Utility/third party</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility/Third Party Delays resulting from proposal/modified design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of RR impacts based on preliminary design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtaining initial RR agreement based on preliminary design</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with RR under agreement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other work/Coordination</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Party Agreements (Fed, Local, Private, etc.)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with Third Parties under agreement</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination/collection for third party betterments</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination with Other Projects</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination with Adjacent Property Owners</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBE compliance</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety / Safety QA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Quality/Workmanship</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>Design-Bid-Build/CMAR</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Design-Build</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials Quality</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials documentation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material availability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial performance requirements of QA Plan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Construction/Materials QC/QA Plan</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction/Materials QA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction QC</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction QA Procedural compliance auditing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction IA testing/inspection</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Staking</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spill Prevention</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents within work zone / liability</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Party Damages</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations and Maintenance During Construction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance under Construction - new features</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance under Construction - existing features</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of Traffic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity/Cost of Nevada State Patrol Callbacks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of Nevada State Patrol Callbacks</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to Utilities under Construction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falsework</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop Drawings</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment failure/breakdown</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Methods</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Construction / At Risk Construction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Relations</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of defined mitigation measures</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warranty</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Force Majeure / Acts of God</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strikes/Labor Disputes - on site labor</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tornado/Earthquake</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidemic, terrorism, rebellion, war, riot, sabotage</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archaeological, paleontological discovery</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>Design-Bid-Build/CMAR</td>
<td>Design-Build</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension of any environmental approval</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Law</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawsuit against project</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm/Flooding</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire or other physical damage</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Differing Site Conditions/Changed Conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed Conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differing Site Conditions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completion and Warranty</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment/definition of any risk pool</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term ownership / Final Responsibility</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D – Risk Breakdown Structure

Adapted from Washington State DOT Risk Breakdown Structure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater and Soil Contamination (PE, RW, CN)</td>
<td>ENV 50</td>
<td>ENV 40</td>
<td>ENV 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stormwater, Pollutant Runoff Treatment</td>
<td>ENV 60</td>
<td>ENV 50</td>
<td>ENV 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Permitting</td>
<td>ENV 70</td>
<td>ENV 60</td>
<td>ENV 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Environmental issues</td>
<td>ENV 80</td>
<td>ENV 70</td>
<td>ENV 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other UTL Issues</td>
<td>UTL 10</td>
<td>UTL 900</td>
<td>UTL 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Issues</td>
<td>UTL 20</td>
<td>UTL 10</td>
<td>UTL 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other STR Issues</td>
<td>STR 10</td>
<td>STR 900</td>
<td>STR 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Environmental Permitting</td>
<td>STR 20</td>
<td>STR 10</td>
<td>STR 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other MGT Issues</td>
<td>MGT 10</td>
<td>MGT 900</td>
<td>MGT 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Issues</td>
<td>MGT 20</td>
<td>MGT 10</td>
<td>MGT 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Issues</td>
<td>MGT 30</td>
<td>MGT 20</td>
<td>MGT 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Issues</td>
<td>MGT 40</td>
<td>MGT 30</td>
<td>MGT 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CTR Issues</td>
<td>CTR 10</td>
<td>CTR 900</td>
<td>CTR 800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other CTR Issues</td>
<td>CTR 20</td>
<td>CTR 10</td>
<td>CTR 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 30</td>
<td>CTR 20</td>
<td>CTR 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 40</td>
<td>CTR 30</td>
<td>CTR 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 50</td>
<td>CTR 40</td>
<td>CTR 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 60</td>
<td>CTR 50</td>
<td>CTR 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 70</td>
<td>CTR 60</td>
<td>CTR 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 80</td>
<td>CTR 70</td>
<td>CTR 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 90</td>
<td>CTR 80</td>
<td>CTR 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical Items</td>
<td>CTR 100</td>
<td>CTR 90</td>
<td>CTR 800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **ENV:** Environmental
- **UTL:** Utility
- **STR:** Strategic
- **MGT:** Management
- **CTR:** Critical

**Notes:**
- Each level corresponds to a specific section of the guideline.
- The categories are organized to reflect the hierarchical risk-based cost estimation approach.